| ļ   |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 1   | HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA                  |  |  |
| 2   | COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSILVANIA  * * * *                                  |  |  |
| 3   |  |  |  |
| 4   | Fostering Successful Transitions for<br>Older Youth in Foster Care     |  |  |
| 5   | * * * *  |  |  |
| 6   | House Children and Youth Committee                                     |  |  |
| 7   |  |  |  |
| 8   | Main Capitol Building<br>Room 60, East Wing                            |  |  |
| 9   | Harrisburg, Pennsylvania   |  |  |
| 10  | Tuesday, May 14, 2019 - 9:30 a.m.                                      |  |  |
| 11  | 000  |  |  |
| 12  | COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:   |  |  |
| 13  | Honorable Karen Boback, Majority Chairwoman<br>Honorable Torren Ecker  |  |  |
| 14  | Honorable Barbara Gleim<br>Honorable Joshua Kail                       |  |  |
| 15  | Honorable Brett Miller<br>Honorable Tedd C. Nesbit                     |  |  |
| 16  | Honorable Todd Polinchock<br>Honorable James Rigby                     |  |  |
| 17  | Honorable Greg Rothman<br>Honorable Megan Schroeder                    |  |  |
| 18  | Honorable Wendi Thomas   |  |  |
| 19  | Honorable Tarah Toohil<br>Honorable Joseph Petrarca, Minority Chairman |  |  |
| 20  | Honorable Danilo Burgos<br>Honorable Danielle Friel Otten              |  |  |
| 21  | Honorable Kristine Howard<br>Honorable Brian Kirkland                  |  |  |
| 22  | Honorable Summer Lee<br>Honorable Ben Sanchez                          |  |  |
| 23  | Honorable Dan Williams   |  |  |
| 24  |  |  |  |
| 25  | 1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404<br>717.764.7801                    |  |  |
| ۷ ک | /1/./04./001   |  |  |

| 1  | COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:  |
|----|---|
| 2  | nunc Malanda  |
| 3  | Anna Malcein<br>Acting Majority Executive Director                |
| 4  |   |
| 5  | Brenda Zablotsky<br>Majority Legislative Administrative Assistant |
| 6  | Marana and Dana and Ir  |
| 7  | Maureen Bereznak<br>Majority Research Analyst                     |
| 8  | Kristin Bernard   |
| 9  | Minority Senior Executive Assistant                               |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
|    |   |
|    | L-Key Reporters   |

| 1  | INDEX OF TESTIFIERS                    |                    |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 2  | TESTIFIERS                             | PAGE               |
| 3  |  |                    |
|    | Remarks by Majority Chairwoman Boback  | 4                  |
| 4  | Remarks by Minority Chairman Petrarca  | 5                  |
| 5  | Marcia Hopkins                         | 6                  |
| 6  |  |                    |
| 7  | Youth Panel on Permanency              |                    |
| 8  | LaKeema Jones                          |                    |
| 9  |  |                    |
| 10 | Research & Policy Panel                |                    |
| 11 | Rachael Miller                         | 37                 |
| 12 |  | 4 9                |
|    | Juvenile Law Center                    |                    |
| 13 |  |                    |
| 14 | SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY            |                    |
| 15 | (See other submitted testimony and har | douts              |
| 16 | online.)                               |                    |
| 17 |  |                    |
| 18 |  |                    |
| 19 |  |                    |
| 20 |  |                    |
| 21 |  |                    |
| 22 |  |                    |
| 23 |  |                    |
| 24 |  |                    |
|    |  |                    |
| 25 |  |                    |
|    | Key Reporters                          |                    |
|    |  | orters@comcast.net |

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Good morning. Good morning. This informational hearing of the House Children and Youth Committee is called to order.

I would ask that you all please silence your cell phones as the meeting is being live-streamed and recorded.

The purpose of this hearing is to take testimony on the importance of family and permanency for older youth in foster care system and the transition to adulthood. Older youth in foster care are often an overlooked population.

They can sometimes be more difficult to place with families than younger children; and yet, they need family every bit as much as young children.

These young people have so much potential, but without critical support during this transitional time, they face out and that can be uncertain at best.

I welcome the opportunity to learn from our guests and to identify ways that we, as a legislature, can support and improve the way the system works for older youth. I'd like to thank our panelists for being here today, and especially the young adults, who are here to share their

2.1

firsthand experience and recommendations with us. 1 I'd would also like to thank 2 Representative Toohil, Vice Chair of this 3 committee, for her work in organizing this 4 5 important hearing. 6 Chairman Petrarca, would you like to say 7 a few words? MINORITY CHAIRMAN PETRARCA: Yes. 8 Thank you, Madam Chair. 10 I, too, would like to thank the 11 committee members and our panelists and invited 12 quests. It's certainly a difficult subject, a very 13 important subject when we look at this demographic 14 of older teens or young adults. 15 I agree with the Chairwoman that they 16 need every bit of help that we can give them. 17 we look at the foster care system, obviously, there 18 is never enough foster families and folks out there 19 to help at this important time of life for all of those involved. 20 21 So, I look forward to the testimony and, 22 again, thank you again for being here. 23 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you, 2.4 Chairman Petrarca. 25 Before we begin, we have a system where,

many times, our emotions run rampant, and we're all human, and that does happen. So, we have a 5-minute interval. You'll see it up here. You'll see the yellow light comes on to tell you you're coming to the end of your testimony. We do this because, our questions to you are just as important as your testimony to us, so I hope that that's all right with you. We'll give it a try today. And, of course, if there are things that need to be said beyond the time limit, you've got it.

With that, Miss Hopkins, would you like to introduce yourself and begin?

MS. HOPKINS: Okay. I'm good.

Good afternoon, everyone. Thank you to Chairpersons Boback and Petrarca, and members of the Children and Youth Committee, for this opportunity to provide testimony on this important issue of older youth permanency.

I'm the Senior Manager of Program and Policy at the Juvenile Law Center. We are the first nonprofit public interest law firm for children in the country. Juvenile Law Center advocates for youth in the child welfare and justice systems. We fight for children in these systems by using an array of strategies, which

2.1

include litigation, appellate advocacy, submission of amicus briefs, policy reform, public education, training, consulting and strategic communications.

At Juvenile Law Center, we also work directly with young people who are impacted by these systems to develop policy strategies and push for system reform. We do this through our Youth Advocacy Program.

Founded in 2008, Juvenile Law Center's Youth Advocacy Program has prepared young people ages 15 to 22 to lead advocacy and policy reform efforts in their local communities and beyond.

Our Youth Advocacy Program is make up of two projects; the Juvenile for Justice, which is a project that works with young people where permanent or former involvement in the juvenile justice system and Youth Fostering Change for younger people who are currently or formerly involved in the child welfare system.

Today you will hear from current advocates from Youth Fostering Change, Anthony, Keema, and Alexis, and later this afternoon from Jonathan. Our advocate youth, their lived experience and expertise about the child welfare system to make change and are best suited to

2.1

testify to you all today about improving permanency outcomes for youth in the child welfare system.

In our program, youth advocates develop leadership skills, political knowledge, communication and story-telling skills, and gain a sense of community. By sharing their personal experiences, youth advocates affect policy change through advocacy. By sharing their personal experiences, young people affect policy change through advocacy, media outreach and public education. Advocates determines the strategy to address an issue they have identified that's important to them based on their experiences.

They develop policy recommendations and create campaigns to raise awareness about the issue and their work. Past projects have addressed issues such as higher education for youth in foster care, poor treatment in placement and facilities, involvement in planning and in court proceedings. Last year, Youth Fostering Change focused on the issue of permanency or family for older youth.

In a moment our young people will lead their presentation to you on this issue.

It may come as a shock to you that, nationally, each year about 20,000 youth age out of

2.1

the foster care system without any permanent family connections. Many of these young people will leave the system and will face challenges of homelessness, gaining willful employment, and continuing to access supportive resources and overall face challenges to sustaining and supporting themselves.

In the State of Pennsylvania, 33 percent or 8,639 of Pennsylvania's foster youth are transition age youth. This means 14 to 21. Of these young people, 49 percent age out of care without any permanent connection to a family. 37 percent will go on to experience homelessness or unstable housing after leaving care. These numbers should be unacceptable in our state.

As someone who cares about young people and works in this field, it behooves me to say that we not only have an opportunity to improve the lives of children and youth, but we have an obligation to take action to implement law, policies and practices that will increase family connections, and connect young people to permanent, loving and supportive families. We must ensure that not one youth ages out of care without the support of a family and permanent connections.

2.1

I thank you all again for having us here today. I hope that you hear the testimony of Anthony, Keema and Alexis and that you are not just moved by their stories, but you are motived to take their recommendations for change.

I have the privilege to work closely with these young people who do truly transformative work. Anthony, Keema and Alexis are some of my best partners in strategically and thoughtfully thinking about existing laws and policies and how they can be best used, or new ones created to drastically change the experiences of young people in the child welfare system. They work tirelessly to push for system reform, using their own stories as a catalyst for change to ensure that other youth with experience in the child welfare system are loved, supported and grow up happy and healthy adults.

I hope that you will continue this work with us to ensure that every child leaves the foster care system to a family where they are treated with dignity and love and care for a lifetime.

I know at the end there will be time for questions, and we ask that you all understand that

2.1

there are some specific questions that may touch on very personal, sensitive movements with the lives of our young people and some of them may not feel comfortable answering those questions. We ask that you respect that, and we thank you for creating a space that allows young people feel welcome to share their stories and expertise. Thank you for having us.

MR. SIMPSON: Good morning, everybody.

I want to start off by thanking Chairpersons Boback and Petrarca, as well as the members of the Children and Youth Committee today just for giving us the opportunity to provide testimony on such an important issue as older youth permanency.

So a little background about me. I'm

22. I'm the father of a handsome young man who

motivates me to be great every day, and to continue

the work that I'm doing by fighting for youth for

change so that young men like myself and young

children like him all have opportunities to affect

change on any issue no matter the barriers placed

in our past.

I'm also a third-year youth advocate with the Juvenile Law Center and Youth Fostering Change, or YFC, is an

2.1

advocacy program at the Juvenile Law Center for youth who are currently or formerly involved in the child welfare system.

PEC researches issues affecting young people in foster care and analyzes current advocacy strategies. In 2018, the YFC's project refocused on older youth permanency. We decided on this topic because many of us aged out without families or connections to supportive adults, or we were about to leave the system without any permanency and were uncertain about our lives after foster care. It's our belief that all young people desires permanency and support of adult connection.

Both are not only essential to success in adulthood, but they're also based on our own stories and of our peers in foster care. That's why we created our tool kit, Tools-For-Success, with recommendations to improve permanency outcomes for children in foster care, regardless of circumstance or age.

This publication identifies some of the challenges we face or are still facing as older youth in care. Our publication focuses on what social workers and others working in the child welfare system can do to help support us in finding

family.

Most of our recommendations draw directly on the memories of our time in care, when those working closest to us did not consider or incorporate our experiences into our permanency planning. This will later prove to be a detrimental thought process that had negative reverberations throughout our lives.

For me personally, permanency planning was never a topic my legal or social work team had ever formally introduced. While they sometimes discussed post-secondary educational options with me, and may have asked what I envisioned for my best moving options, these talks were never focused on family or the reality of aging out. Instead, these conversations seemed to be mostly hypothetical, just to get me to think more about my future, but didn't really deal with the reality I was about to face when I left care and I would be on my own.

Having the ability to steer your life's direction is often the most daunting aspect of growing up for most young adults. But as a kid in foster care, this is all I craved. Having a permanency plan that was cohesive and had

long-lasting supports and reliable, familiar infrastructure would have allowed me to not only be motivated, but allowed me to picture my future as an adult. It would have made sure I committed goals and reflected by interests and strengthens, and that I had support of family and adults who could help me get there.

To improve permanency outcomes for older youth in the foster care system, we recommend focusing on relational and legal permanency during the permanency process. Legal permanency is when someone takes legal responsibility for you as a parent or a guardian. Relational permanency is when strong, long-lasting relationships with caring adults by both pursuing legal and relational permanency options for you in the foster care system is a vital and key aspect of having stability and multiple support of connections.

Having this equal focus on both legal and relational permanency is beneficial to a youth's overall legal standing. Doing this together provides them with additional positive consistent support and guidance as we grow up.

Examples of relational permanency can include connecting youth with support of kin who

may be unable to provide financial or supportive housing, but may provide them with connection to their culture, their family, or simply a willing ear or a shoulder to cry on. This should include connecting youth to other siblings or extended family members that offer a secure network of love and support.

To put it simply, to limit a young person to one group or one individual who is able to provide purely material things and cut off the potential for support network that more closely resembles the many adult connections that youth may have when they don't enter the foster care system.

It's my belief that a caring and supportive team backing a youth not only shows them that they desire to have a loving and safe environment and that there are adults who care for them, and to be removed from the home you grew up in is a traumatic event for any young person.

However, there's those times to do
better. There's still time to ensure that
thousands and thousands of kids entering the system
get quality treatment; that they never leave care
without permanent connections and resources to live
happy, healthy lives.

2.1

I hope you all consider the recommendations that we offer today. Thank you all for listening, and I hope that others like you who are in a position to really change the system continue to have an open ear; continue to listen to the children you hope to serve; listen to the youth in the foster care system who are now adults; listen to the young people who have experienced the system and all of its flaws; listen to the work groups that help support these youth, and resource parents who have seen with their own eyes in transforming power of love, and please let your decision today matter to the youth whose lives you have the potential to change.

Thank you again.

MS. JONES: I want to thank Chairpersons
Boback and Petrarca and members of the Children and
Youth Committee for the opportunity to provide
testimony in the important issue of older youth
permanency.

I'm an advocate from Youth Fostering
Change, and I have been in the program for two
years. Last year we chose to create a project on
permanency, and we are testifying today because we
do not want other youth to experience what we have.

Permanency is important to all youth, but especially for youth aging out of care and for those who may not have anywhere stable to go. Not having a stable home can cause more damage and traumatic experiences for them.

Permanency is also important because it means ensuring that a child can be in one safe loving home. No one desires to be placed in a foster home if the foster home causes more challenge. Youth desires to have a trustworthy family and looks at them like their own.

For me, when I was in care, I never stayed in one spot. I was always being bounced around from home to home. It wasn't fair because it's something youth had no control over. No youth should ever in an unsafe home or environment, constantly being moved around or placed in large group homes where they don't have any connections with caring adults.

To ensure that youth no longer
experience what we did when we were in foster care,
we recommend facilitating placement stability for
foster youth to gain permanency. To achieve
permanency, it should be known that foster youth
needs to be placed in a safe loving home

2.1

environment. They should be in stable living arrangements where they are secure, treated with respect, cared for and loved.

Youth shouldn't experience unexpected changes and where they live without being notified. Youth should be aware of where they are going to live in advance, including the location, when they will arrive, with whom they will stay with, and what kind of place they will live in.

Professionals who work with youth in care should also speak to the youth directly to learn what they want and need in a family. If you ask us, we will tell you. If a youth appears to reject the idea of permanency, caseworker shouldn't give up. Instead, ask the youth why they are hesitant instead of just ending the conversation. They may be hesitant because of past negative experiences that they've had.

Professionals should then continue to follow up with the youth about how they feel about where they are living, whether it's a foster home or a group home. They should ask the youth about working and any challenges in the placement. Both are key to building trust and achieving permanency.

Ensuring that youth are in a safe,

2.1

caring environment is key to youth achieving
life-long permanency. Having stability enables
youth to develop relationships with people in their
community and maintain supportive relationships
that last beyond care. Youth have a better chance
of gaining permanency when they are in consistent
placements where they are respected, listened to,
and connected to the resources they need.

For my story, having placement stability could have changed many things in my life for the better. I wish someone would have asked me what was going on and ensured that I was protected in my home, and that I wasn't constantly being moved around. I am now in a place in my life where I understand how not having much stability has affected my life, and how important it is for other youth in foster care.

This is why we all chose to work in permanency this year, and I truly hope that you all have listened to what we've shared today, and our recommendations from Tools-For-Success as a guide to professionals and policy makers like yourself to implement policies, laws and practices that ensure youth have placement stability and permanency before they leave the foster care system.

2.1

The decisions that you make moving forward can drastically change the outcomes for youth in foster care. Thank you for listening to me and my peers testify today. We look forward to continuing this work with you all.

Thank you.

MS. ANDINO: Good morning. My name is Alexis. First I also want to take the opportunity to thank Chairpersons Boback and Petrarca and the members of the Children and Youth Commitment for the opportunity to provide my testimony on the important issue of older youth permanency.

I'm an advocate from Youth Fostering
Change, and I have been in the program for three
years. When I first joined Youth Fostering Change,
I was still in the foster care system. During that
time, I had to deal with many hardships, but I
wanted to work closely with professionals to make
the well-needed improvements in the system. I
especially wanted to gain this experience as I'm
currently in college and hoping to put myself in a
position of power one day to be able to make the
policy changes that I want for future foster youth.

Based on my experiences and meeting with people who work with foster youth, it is evident

that while there are positive changes being made, there still needs to be many improvements to largely impact the lives of all foster youth. I believe we can do so much more together.

I'm proud to do this with YFC because it allows me to tell my story while fighting for future youth and encountering the system and give them a better fighting chance at a good life. It also helps me network and to be heard by professionals who are in a position to make a different like you all.

As Anthony shared, last year we focused our project on permanency. The work we did on the permanency focus is all important because everyone's definition of permanency is different.

And with the toolkit, we, as youth with experience in the foster care system, can shed light on what is really needed for better permanency outcomes for older youth.

Our needs do not stop at 18 or 21.

Permanency is life long. Think about your own
lives. I'm sure many of you still go home to your
parents and interact with your family members, and
you're all well over 18. Having those connections
for a lifetime is something everyone should be

2.1

entitled to. That's permanency.

Before I share some of our recommendations for change from Tools-for-Success, I would like to share some pieces of my story with you all. I entered the system at age 10 and moved around a lot. For the first seven years I was in foster care, I was in 15 different homes, two group homes and a shelter. Between the ages of 17 and 20, I was homeless.

After a year and a half of trying to get back into secure, I reentered at the age of 20.

I'm 21 now, and I never anticipated how hard it would be to get back in the system. It was really tough and emotional. I was not prepared to fully be independent and be on my own.

It also didn't help that the team of people who were supposed to have my best interest and mind, they didn't start my transition planning until three months before, despite me constantly asking them for help earlier. During these three months, I felt lost, stressed, and abandoned, and like the system was saying to me, hey, our job is done.

I fully aged out at 21 years of age.

This process didn't really support my needs, and I

didn't feel good about it at all, especially since
I have already gone through such a tumultuous time
in care. Now at 21, I, unfortunately, don't have
many support by supportive adults or consistent
people in my life. I believe that if I would have
received assistance earlier and help to build and
maintain these connections, I would have felt more
prepared and in control of my current situation and
the direction of my life.

My experience in the foster care system is why I know how important permanency is for all youth. I think it is especially vital for older youth who may be facing new transitions in their lives. Everyone should care about permanency because everyone needs somebody or some type of support. People should think about the system as if these youth could be their own children. Think about your own lives. At our ages, would you feel comfortable transitioning into adulthood without any assistance?

You all also wouldn't let your child or want your child to go through difficult journeys and life changes by themselves, so why should any youth in an unfortunate situation have to go through life without support? Many foster youth do

not have any control over why they're being put into the system, so feeling like someone cares enough to want to support you and advise you can make all the difference.

Now that you heard my story and have a little background on the issue, I want to take this time to offer some of our recommendations to improve permanency outcomes for older youth. I hope in time these recommendations will be used to help fix this issue.

We recommend communicating the importance of permanency and meaningfully engaging youth in the permanency planning process. To have successful permanency planning, youth needs to be on board, invested, and clear about their permanency goals. Listen to the youth's views and concerns. Engaging youth starts with including them in discussions and preparing them for planning meetings court dates.

To do this effectively, you must be mindful of previous trauma and adolescence development when communicating about a youth's case planning and when building relationships with supportive adults. Think about how meetings are planned, including the logistics for youth to

2.1

attend and fully participate.

2.1

We believe these recommendations can help positively impact youth by insisting workers start the permanency planning earlier and include youth in the individualized process from the beginning to end. It will help youth be more expressive and involved in their own lives and help them to be more self-sufficient. Positively engaging youth in this process also allows for professionals to build trusting relationships with youth to make it easier to work together to achieve permanency.

It is crucial for youth to understand how you, as lawmakers, can make sure youth in the system can transition into adulthood with proper resources and support. We believe this tool and all of you can play a key part in ensuring youth in the foster care system achieve permanency and never leave the system without support.

Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you very much. You all did an excellent job, and thank you for sharing your stories.

Are there any questions from the members?

1 (No response). 2 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: I would 3 like to start. If you would be so kind, and I know some of you did mention it, when did you enter the 4 system and how many placements did you go through 5 until you were -- until you aged out? I think you 6 7 started with 8 years old, did you say? MS. ANDINO: I actually entered at 10. 8 Throughout the first seven years, I was in about 15 10 different homes, 2 group homes and a shelter, and then I became homeless. I was like moving from 11 12 couch to couch. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: So -- And 14 how typical is that? 15 MS. ANDINO: It's very typical for youth 16 in foster care. It happens a lot more than people 17 see or expect. MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: So you were 18 19 in a shelter, a homeless shelter --MS. ANDINO: Yes. 20 21 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: -- for 22 youth? Thank you. 23 MS. JONES: I entered care when I was 24 born, technically, and then I was adopted twice, 25 and then I entered care again, and then I aged out.

1 Well, no, I didn't age out. I actually signed myself out when I turned 18. And I can't even 2 3 count how many foster homes and group homes I was in. I just know there was a lot. 4 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: 5 Alexis, 6 right now where are you and what are you doing, if 7 you can answer that? 8 MS. ANDINO: I now have my own 9 apartment. I'm working. I'm no longer in care. 10 I'm 20 years old. I have a son. I'm just trying to do what I got to do. 11 12 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Bless you. 13 Thank you. Anthony? 14 MR. SIMPSON: Yeah. I entered care at the top of my 16th birthday, and then remained in 15 care going to three different foster homes until I 16 17 was unified at 18. After that I experienced homelessness to different effects in different 18 19 varying degrees, just due to the fitness of the 20 situation I was unified back into. But, currently, 21 I am in a very stable position. 22 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: 23 Congratulations --24 MR. SIMPSON: Thank you. 25 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: -- to you.

Yes. Representative Otten.

REPRESENTATIVE FRIEL OTTEN: Thank you so much to all of you for sharing your stories.

They're important to hear; incredibly impossible.

I wanted to ask about, have you guys, as young people, have any access to organizations? I used to be a counselor for youth who are infected and affected with HIV and AIDS. And so, years ago, many of those children were in foster care. And because we had that community network for them through their childhood, through CAN, and programs we had throughout the year, as some of them were bounced around in foster care, we were able to follow them, be a support system for them, and as they aged out of foster care, be a community for them.

So I just wonder, have any of you had access to organizations that support you who are dealing with difficult situations that may be able to give you some of that community identity and purpose that is really difficult to kind of experience in a foster care system?

You know, what kind of access have you had to support communities?

MR. SIMPSON: Yeah, I'll start.

So, first went entering the system, I was introduced to the Achieving Independence Center in Philadelphia, which is essentially a place where you can kind of get resources in terms of life skills or financial management, things to that effect, which kind of did give me a good starting point in terms of not only dealing with these now, this big legal team that I have now and dealing with the different life changes that come with the 16 years of being out of the system and now transitioning into it.

Additionally, I also was in -- or still currently in the Juvenile Law Center, which just made me more aware and conscientious of the issues that do affect youth in the system that recognize that this isn't an isolated incident. This is an epidemic, to some extent. It's something that kind of does affect youth on a mass level and being able not only fight for change on the ground, but to also be a part of a team that does high impact litigation cases for youth who may be dealing with very traumatic placements, very traumatic -- just systematic marginalization of youth within the system. It does give me that community. It does make me feel like, not only I'm making an impact on

2.1

```
1
      a very small level, but also I'm a part of a huge
2
      wave that I feel like definitely is gaining
      traction as these issues get more and more
3
      publicized.
 4
                 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK:
                                               Point of
 5
 6
      clarification, also, if I may, before we go on.
7
      Adopted two times, LeKeema? Is it LeKeema? Did I
      pronounce that correctly?
8
9
                 MS. JONES: Yes.
10
                 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Did you say
      that?
11
12
                 MS. JONES:
                              Yes.
13
                 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: How could
14
      -- What happened? Is that something we may ask?
15
                 MS. JONES:
                             Yeah, I speak on it.
16
                 When I was first adopted, it was a lot
17
      of, like, stuff going on in the home that wasn't
18
      suppose to be happening. I was adopted with my
19
      older sister. It was me and two other boys in
      there, so it was two girls and two boys. And I'm
20
21
      pretty sure you get what I'm trying to say.
22
      had been the first home.
23
                 Then the second one I was getting abused
      and stuff.
2.4
25
                 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: I'm sorry.
```

Thank you for sharing that with us. That emphasizes even more how much help this situation needs with aging out. Thank you. Thank you.

A question?

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PETRARCA: A quick question. Marcia, a question; quick question for you.

Obviously, when we talk about life in foster care and we look at the issues and the concerns, maybe additional funding in some of the programs would certainly help. But to me it seems like this is a bigger issue than just funding.

MS. HOPKINS: Yes.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PETRARCA: What else could we be doing more specifically, or what else should we be looking at to help with this permanency question or per --

MS. HOPKINS: Sure. One, I think changing some of the laws and policies. I think there's also an issue with oversight. So, kind of the way that we run in Philadelphia, we have our DHS and then we have CUA, some places that contract out to provide those services to young people in the foster care system. There's often --

Everybody is standard of what they do in

Philadelphia. It's very different, and I'm just using us as an example because that's the one I'm most familiar with.

I think when agencies have a different

-- so many different standards of how they do

things, so one young person can be in one

organization or one county and things can go

flawlessly and really well. And then you can be in

another county or a different state or another

agency and things don't go so well for young

people.

So, often for myself I think there's needs to be some specific laws or policies in place to address those issues of oversight in a way in which agencies, our counties are administering and taking care of our young people, because the quality doesn't seem to be equitable across for everyone, and we know that.

I mean, in the State of Pennsylvania, you can go to varying counties and see the level of care looks very different in certain places. So, in my opinion, I think that's one of the highest things or one of the biggest problems, is that, it's not consistent across the board, and there needs to be some significant changes made at the

2.1

state level and locally at the counties, and kind of trickling down from folks who are overseeing the process.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PETRARCA: As we move forward after this hearing, any specific changes or ideas that you have, I would ask that you share them with us.

As I begin to learn more and more about the children and youth situation in Pennsylvania, it does seem clear to me that things vary greatly from county to county. And I think we do have to get a handle on that and try to streamline this process. So, thank you.

MS. HOPKINS: Yes. Thank you very much.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Alexis, did
you want to say something?

MS. ANDINO: It's fine.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: It's very important to us. So if you'd like to share, we'd appreciate it.

MS. ANDINO: I was gonna answer the lady's question and say, basically, when you said that there is -- there's Achieving Independence Center and there's also Juvenile Law Center, but the Achieving Independence Center is like the

minimum, so we definitely get support but it's not
as much as we need.

Like Anthony was saying, Juvenile Law
Center definitely was a crucial point for me. I

Center definitely was a crucial point for me. I don't really know how to explain it. But I feel like they helped me out a lot. It's like he said, it's like a community. I feel welcomed, and, you know, like, it's somewhere I should be.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you.

Any other questions for this panel?

(No response)

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: All right.

Representative Polinchock.

REPRESENTATIVE POLINCHOCK: Thank you, Madam Chair.

You both need to be very proud of yourselves today, because what you did has brought a lot of light. That was very powerful testimony.

I'm going to go back out to kids that I might talk about this with. We take for granted that we don't have these issues. I want you to know my office is right above us, you ever need a cup of coffee or you want to come hang out. I live outside of Philly, same thing.

I want you to know we're not going to

| 1   | forget this and we're gonna push on for that.      |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Please pass to LeKeema as well, this was powerful  |
| 3   | stuff. Thank you for doing that for us.            |
| 4   | MS. ANDINO: Thank you. I appreciate                |
| 5   | hearing that.                                      |
| 6   | MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK:                        |
| 7   | Representative Gleim.                              |
| 8   | REPRESENTATIVE GLEIM: I also want to               |
| 9   | thank you for being here today and sharing your    |
| LO  | story. I'm new. I'm a freshman. I'm just           |
| 11  | learning all of this information.                  |
| L2  | So my question is, do we have any data             |
| L3  | or information on what county, what area of the    |
| L 4 | state has the best practice for fostering?         |
| L 5 | (No answer).                                       |
| L 6 | MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Good                   |
| L7  | question.  |
| L8  | REPRESENTATIVE GLEIM: Is that the next             |
| L 9 | people, maybe?                                     |
| 20  | MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Perhaps.               |
| 21  | And if not, I would task you with that, but that's |
| 22  | something certainly that this committee can        |
| 23  | investigate.                                       |
| 24  | REPRESENTATIVE GLEIM: Okay. I would                |
| 25  | like to have that investigated, please, and maybe  |

1 we can get some feedback from the children because 2 that seems to be very powerful feedback. So, thank you. 3 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: 4 5 Representative Kail. 6 REPRESENTATIVE KAIL: Thank you very 7 much for coming here today. I really do appreciate it. Very quick question. 8 Is everyone on this panel from the same 10 county? Everyone from Philadelphia? MR. SIMPSON: Yeah. 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE KAIL: Okay. Thank you. 13 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Ouestions? 14 Any other questions? Representative Toohil. 15 REPRESENTATIVE TOOHIL: You did 16 wonderful, Alexis, LeKeema, Anthony, and Jonathan 17 in the back. He's supporting you, right? 18 You all did amazing. Your life 19 experiences are so important because, without you coming and advocating for change like you're doing 20 21 today, things will just go on and they will be 22 ignored, and it will continue to just be the same 23 cycle again and again for all the children born after all of us. So, really powerful. 24 25 And I wanted Representative Polinchock

to know that we're spending the day together
because we do have, it's Foster Care Awareness
month. So, Chairwoman Boback is going on the House
floor doing a resolution for Foster Care Awareness
month in Pennsylvania and nationally.

Then we're going to be having a press conference bipartisan with everyone at noon. Then we were trying to have lunch together, so you would get that cup of coffee then, okay?

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: No other questions from the committee?

(No response).

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: With that being said, we want to thank you. Please know your testimony did not fall on deaf ears. That's why we called you in today to share. We appreciate it more than you know how much you shared with us your personal stories, and your recommendations to make our Commonwealth better for children and young youth who will come after you.

So please know that our sincerity is there, and thank you so much. You did a great job.

Can we have a round of applause for us?

(Applause).

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you.

1 We invite you to stay here the rest of the 2 testimony. Thank you. With that, we're going to hear from our 3 research and policy panel. I'll give everybody a 4 minute to make themselves comfortable. 5 6 (Pause). 7 Rachael Miller is Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children. She's going to talk 8 about data and research. Jenny Pokempner, Juvenile 10 Law Center, she's going to discuss policy response. Who would like to start? 11 12 MS. MILLER: I will. MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Okay, 13 14 Rachael. Thank you. 15 MS. MILLER: So first, would just again 16 really like to thank the youth for being so brave 17 and honest and transparent in their testimony 18 today. Hopefully, I can make it through my 19 testimony because it was so powerful. 20 Chairwoman Boback, Chairman Petrarca, 2.1 Vice Chair Toohil, and distinguished members of the 22 committee, I would first like to thank you all for 23 allowing me to testify in today's incredibly important hearing. 24

25

My name is Rachael Miller, and I am the

Child Welfare Policy Director at Pennsylvania Partnerships for children.

2.1

pPC is a statewide, independent, nonpartisan advocacy organization focusing on the improvement of health, education and well-being of all children and youth across the Commonwealth. We are the only statewide advocacy organization with a policy agenda that spans the entire life of a child, and child welfare has been at the heart of our organization for over a decade.

Prior to my work at PPC, I worked at York County Office of Children, Youth and Families for 10 years. I served in the role of in-home and placement caseworker, direct service supervisor, quality improvement manager, as well as the educational liaison. I have firsthand experience serving children and families directly through the child welfare county agency, as well as the systems perspective on the foster care system in the state of Pennsylvania.

Today I hope to set the stage for you on a discussion of where policy and practice improvements are needed by discussing the data and outcomes for two very specific sources, as well as the research and outcomes of the nature of the

transition of adulthood for children in foster care.

The first is PPC's annual State of Child Welfare report, which provides a 5-year analysis of how Pennsylvania fares with practices around child safety, placement and permanency. This analysis is our contribution to improving Pennsylvania's child welfare system, not only providing county-level data, but also statewide and geographic trends.

The second is the Annie E. Casey

Fostering Youth Transitions Report which highlights outcomes for foster care youth transitioning from foster care to adulthood. And both sources confirm that while the Commonwealth has made great strides in improving outcomes for this population, we still have a lot of more work to do.

As of September 30, 2018, over 25,000 children and youth were placed in Pennsylvania's foster care system, with the placement population increasing by 13 percent over the past five years. And while we often correlate foster care with young, vulnerable children, the truth is that one-third of our foster care population are transition age youth. Those are those youth that are ages 13 to 21 who are transitioning from

adolescence to adulthood.

2.1

Positively, through historical advocacy efforts and emphasis on improving outcomes for this age group, the rate of transition age youth entering foster care at a first-time entry has decreased by approximately 10 percent over the past 10 years. We can speculate that this is an indication of more preventive front-end services being provided through the investigation and case acceptance process in an effort to avoid initial placement.

However, we really need to dissect the data to see if the policies enacted are really having a positive impact on the population.

So compared to the national average,
Pennsylvania has a higher rate of transition age
youth in foster care. According to the Annie E.
Casey Report and confirmed by PPC's State of Child
Welfare, transition age youth in Pennsylvania make
up 33 percent of the foster care population
compared to nationally, which is 25 percent. And
while first-time entries into foster care continue
to decrease for older youth, almost half of foster
care population that returns home subsequently
reenters care.

Additionally, 42 percent of
Pennsylvania's older youth population experience
three or more placement settings. That means
they're forced to move within multiple areas,
either foster care or group settings. They have to
become acclimated to new care takers, new
communities, new schools, and essentially
re-beginning their lives all over again. Every
move a child makes is one more traumatic episode in
addition to the circumstances that caused their
placement to begin with.

It is hoped that whether youth has a first-time entry into foster care or a supplement placement that they are placed with the most family-like setting and ideally with kin. We know that children and youth are best raised by their families. Family-based settings are often defined as foster homes, kinship homes, or specialized settings such as medical foster care or therapeutic foster care.

The least preferred setting for children and youth is congregate care, which includes settings such as group homes and residential treatment. These settings are institutional in nature, which has dormitory-style living, and the

youth are raised by staff rather than a parental figure.

2.1

Realistically, research shows that group care is rarely effective in addressing behavioral or clinical issues, and often it's harmful to the youth. Placement in group care prevents youth from having the opportunity to form relationships with supportive adults and people who can become permanent resources, and it often separates them from activities and people in their natural community.

The use of congregate care should be rare. And when it is used, it should be short term.

All efforts should be made to promote a nurturing and family-like setting, with supportive services within their own community. When we look at the data, almost half of transition age youth were placed in congregate care versus a family-like setting in 2018. And recent data also shows that youth of color of all age groups are least likely to be placed in family settings. This data and research on group care has significant negative implications for transition age youth.

Permanency planning and service delivery

is required as soon as the child enters placement and is to be provided until the youth exits to permanency. Permanency is one goal of the child welfare system, and ideally, youth returns to their own homes or placed with caring adults who commit to becoming their family.

The support, guidance and nurturing of family is also essential for youth to make a successful transition to adulthood. While it's probably no surprise, family and permanency are vital to transition to adulthood.

Counties have flexibility in the services that they can provide to achieve permanency. One key way Pennsylvania has chosen to do that is to deliver services through the Statewide Adoption Network also known as SWAN. There are multiple permanency services offered through SWAN, and they are all contracted through providers with the county child welfare agency that assist the child with understanding permanency and breaking down barriers to achieving it. I will briefly touch on some of these services offered through SWAN.

You have a family profile, which is often referred to as a home study and provides a

2.1

comprehensive overview of the family dynamics and assists with matching children to the families.

You also have a child profile, which is a comprehensive overview of that child's life history. You also have child preparation which includes a process of multiple individual sessions that helps children work through difficulties that can often become barriers to achieving permanency.

Then we also have child specific recruitment that assists with locating permanent resources, including family-finding efforts, and you have adoption finalization and post-permanency services. These services are critical to ensuring that a child is prepared for the permanency process and towards expediting permanency planning.

in helping youth find permanency, and many counties have been innovative in ensuring that these services meet the needs of older youth. However, data included in a report submitted to the legislature in 2016 by the Department of Human Services showed that older youth with the permanency plan of Another Permanent Planned Living Arrangement, also known as APPLA, are receiving these services in small numbers, reducing their

2.1

chances of achieving permanency.

All children placed through the child welfare agency are required to have a court ordered primary goal and concurrent goal, which are both worked on at the same time. The goals that can be assigned are re-education, adoption, permanent legal custodianship, placement with a fit and willing relative and APPLA. All goals, other than APPLA, indicate that the child is moving towards permanency being finalized in a family-based setting.

APPLA often correlates with residing in group settings and the message that permanency will never be achieved. It ultimately produces poor outcomes for the youth, which frequently results in the lack of lifelong connections to help transition into adulthood and navigating life's major milestones. 25 percent of transition age youth population currently have a court ordered goal of APPLA.

When we look at outcomes for youth at the age of 21, the Annie E. Casey Foundation has confirmed that foster youth in Pennsylvania have poorer outcomes than peers who reside with their biological family. Specifically, only 44 percent

of youth have part-time or full-time employment at age 21. Only 75 percent have obtained higher education or a GED compared to 92 percent of their peers, and only 63 percent have stable housing.

Beginning at age 14, once a child enters out-of-home placement, the county child welfare agency is required to assume transition planning with the youth. A transition plan is a needs assessment in primary life domains that assist with developing a formal plan to provide a successful transition to adulthood. Some of the areas covered include life skills, education, housing, mental health, behavioral health, among others. The plan is intended to assure linkage to appropriate services to teach the youth the skills in those areas to be most successful, and these plans are to be updated every six months and reviewed during a six-month permanency review hearings before the dependency court.

Additionally, the youth must be engaged in this plan as was testified by our youth today in order to obtain their views on how to move forward self-sufficiency and independence.

And while I have just provided a large amount of data for you all to process today, I'm

2.1

hoping that this provides a broad snapshot of transition age youth in placement in Pennsylvania as a further basis for discussion on our panel.

Historically, Pennsylvania has been among the leaders in the country on meeting the needs of supporting transition age youth in foster care. As we approach the 20-year anniversary of the federal law, the Chafee Act, that highlighted the needs of transition age youth, a wealth of data and research shows that older youth in the child welfare system continue to leave our system in large numbers without connections to family and are at risk for poor outcomes. We are faced with a challenge to do more and do better by these young children. I trust that Pennsylvania will meet the challenge and continue to be a leader in investing and supporting these youth.

I'm sure you're all eager to hear thoughts and ideas of where we can all go to continue to build upon our work that's already being implemented at the state and local county child welfare agencies. I'm really excited for you to hear about potential policy reform from Ms. Jennifer Pokempner from the Juvenile Law Center.

2.1

I just want to thank you for allowing me to speak today before this committee. As the data shows and PPC's State of Child Welfare report and confirms the Annie E. Casey Foundation, we still have a lot more work to do to improve outcomes for older youth in foster care. We hope that you take this data into consideration as you hear the rest of the presentation.

I thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you, Miss Miller.

Next we have Ms. Pokempner, and she is with the Juvenile Law Center.

MS. POKEMPNER: Thanks so much. And thank you, the Chairpersons, and committee members. We really feel your engagement in this discussion and really do appreciate it.

As you heard in the earlier panel, I work at Juvenile Law Center. I'm lucky to work with Marcia and these advocates. As Marcia said--And I'm sorry I have to give a little spiel--the Juvenile Law Center for children in the country, we worked on issues related to the juvenile justice and child welfare system over 40 years.

We work really hard with stakeholders and lawmakers and young people to really just improve the system and make sure that when youth and family has to come into contact with them, we really support and help them thrive. So we thank you for giving the opportunity to share our experience, which includes representing kids, but also working with young people as advocates.

As you heard from the young people and Rachael's testimony, it's really clear that we are leaving young people behind. Older youth in the foster care system are really aging out to poor outcomes. And as you heard, they're really experiencing treatment that we would not find acceptable for our own young people, our kids in our own home.

As Rachael said and the topic of this hearing really is permanency. While that's the word child welfare uses, we said it over and over, that's really just family, and that really is so important when we talk about the transition to adulthood. Being connected with family is probably the most important thing.

We definitely need kids to have an education, learn skills. But without the support

2.1

of family, that's really the foundation that gets you through those hard times and help you see the future in front of you. So we really do think for Pennsylvania and across the country, permanency family is the top transition to adult issue for youth in foster care.

As I said today, you did hear from young people about the practice changes that need to happen. And the questions I think to Representative Petrarca and others have asked is, we do want people to change practice, but we do feel that policy and watching the needs to be made if we really want to see any meaningful difference.

Because then, unfortunately, the data that Rachael mentioned and that you all do have in your packets is data we've seen year after year. So if we do want to change things, we're gonna have to do things differently.

In honor of a few things, because we did provide a lot of information about aging out, but it is really important to understand what that means and thinking about what kids need between about 16 and their mid-20's. What we are seeing is about 50 percent of youth aging out meaning they are on their own. We have not met our --

2.1

Philadelphia comes to them, which is when we took them into the system, that we're either going to help you get home or we're gonna find family for you through adoption, guardianship or kinship care.

So these are youths who we really have not fulfilled our promise to. And then we do say, bye, make it on your own. As Alexis said, young people are very aware of that feeling. And again, thinking about for some of you, we all made the transition to adulthood. Many of you probably still do have young people that are growing up in your homes, and you are probably very acutely aware of how much of your support means and how important it is probably to you. You want to give it to them. It's your privilege is what family is about.

But we do kind of leave these youth without those supports and say, we expect you to do everything, everything on our own. We know and shouldn't be surprised what the result is. It is youth really experiencing outcomes like homelessness and like relying on public assistance, and it's really because we are asking them to do something we're not asking other young people to do.

I think we do want to make clear that

2.1

these young people have so much potential as you saw and so much talent, they can meet all the challenges we want to put in front of them, but they need the same support all young people need.

What I do want to talk about today is how we can change law and policy to potentially make these changes. We have in your materials, and the young people mentioned, the Tools-For-Success. That is in your packet, and that's an excellent guide they put together which really speaks to help case workers and people working with young people can change their practice to prioritize and make sure families is an issue they're really focusing on.

What we want to talk about some of the legal and policy changes now that might help support that practice, but also make sure that practice is the norm. In your packets we have one of the materials that we produced in collaboration with PPC that has -- it's called Fostering Successful Transitions, Laying the Groundwork for Change Through Law and Policy Reform. That has more detail, but I just want to summarize kind of a three-policy areas that we hope the committee will consider.

2.1

efforts to find and support kin and relative caregivers. We know that if we can place or keep kids with their family, that is how they're gonna do best. They're gonna do best because they won't have as much disruption and, ideally, kin is able to help the parents of the child with reunification. But at the very least, they are able to provide that supportive home and be connected with that young person and provide that stability.

One way that we can improve those types of places for young people is, we do have a requirement that we have to have family finding, with means we just identify and locate kin. That's for every youth that comes into the child welfare system. What we're seeing is that that's not happening in large numbers for older youth.

So, we do think that that is a good practice. It's among the strengthens in Pennsylvania. But what we need is more accountability and more guidance in making sure that happens. So we do really think that it would make a great improvement if the law was more clear in making sure there's accountability that it is --

that older youth are receiving family finding; that there aren't exceptions to providing it, and that there is a requirement that you are notified and engaged.

We can't understand at all how important it is to involve young people. You saw today, even in very these heated and emotional issues, their voice is so important. If they don't understand what's going on, if they do not feel involved, you're not gonna be able to form a trusting relationship, and you're not gonna be able to make as much headway as you want in case work.

And you will face situations like you did today where you will have to work through a reaction or emotion, but we can help you get through that and move them through that process, and that is our obligation. So we need to engage them. Family finding is something they really do want, but they want to be educated about it and involved in it.

We also really think it's important that once we identify family and help place youth with family, we need to do more to support kin and relatives so they can care for children in the way we want them to be cared for. We have examples of

great programs that PPC did much advocacy around

Act 89 which created the Kinship Navigator Program.

That is a great beginning, but we do need more

because we --

You heard from the panel earlier, placement stability is crucial. We need to make sure families have what it takes and the support they need to provide good care for opting young people who have gone through a lot, and we want the families to have the support and not feel like they're alone.

The second area of recommendation is accountability for permanency services. You heard from Rachael we have a lot of great permanency services in Pennsylvania, Statewide Adoption

Network, and we have some great people from Diakon.

I think Rick Azzaro, who can tell more about the SWAN services.

But, these are these great services.

Child specific recruitment where we can recruit kin or other caring adults to help provide support for young people. Child profile where the child starts to think about who they are connected with. These are services we have.

As Rachael mentioned, when we look at

2.1

2.4

the numbers, for whatever reason, older youth is not getting them in the numbers that we need them to. If you look at the data for all three services, it's under 10 percent. So this means there are services that we know are effective, that we know are good and are available in the state, and older youth are just not receiving them.

So we do think, what that tells us is, to make sure those things are provided to young people, there does need to be more accountability.

I am saying that throughout this testimony, but I think it is true. One of the things we really want to emphasize is, we've got a lot of things going on in Pennsylvania. But, at the end of the day we are not ensuring that older youth receive them. So putting in accountability mechanisms to make sure youth are getting those permanency services in their case plan through the court reviews, we are making sure services we have are utilized efficiently. We want to make sure these things that are there for young people are effective are actually something that they receive.

The third area, again, is accountability for outcomes. You heard from Rachael and you heard from the youth, the numbers of youth aging out and

them being at risk for homelessness, for unemployment are extremely high. And it real does, I think, break everyone's hearts and really shock people to say, people who deal with the consequences of that are the young people; not us. And we, as a system of the community, are responsible. We do think that the law should be changed to make sure that there is accountability for outcomes.

When we demand certain outcomes, I think we will have people change practice and will see resources maybe used more efficiently, used more creatively. And so, some of the recommendations we think there should be -- We all, I think, in the room would say, no youth should age out to homelessness; that that should be unacceptable.

If we really do think that's the case, there should be a prohibition in our Child Welfare Code and our Juvenile Act that that cannot occur.

We also think there should be accountability in terms of data collection. We should have all these numbers that we're hearing about youth aging out to homelessness, employment, access to higher education, we're just looking at that data. We are not asking necessarily that the

2.1

counties report that and that there's some consequence if the data does not look like what we would like it to look.

And by consequences, I simply mean things like remediation plans, technical assistance. Again, we have a lot of great people in this audience who are able to provide great technical assistance, great advice. Who asked the question about who provides great services in this state. We've got a couple of those people in this audience. And I think that we have, like I said, a lot of strength within the Commonwealth. But, at the end of the day, we're seeing year after year the same results. And I do think that that does mean that we can't just keep saying that we intend to do better; that we care about these young people; that we really have to show law and policy that we expect more.

And we do stand -- I know PPC, Juvenile

Law Center, and many of the advocates that are in

the audience--like I said, there are many--we all

are working together. We want to help and work

with lawmakers to make these changes, but we hope

that we can leave this hearing and not just have

moved you, but have you said, we need to show the

youth that we do expect more. We want to provide them the same thing we want for our children, and we are willing to enact law and policy to make that. But we will help you in any way we can. We really do want to collaborate with you.

In the packet there's a lot of material. The data is in there. There's data about moves; how many moves older youth have on average in the foster care system, information on outcomes, and we're happy to provide more.

Again, we definitely are very grateful to many of the people in the audience, and many of the people in the audience work with transition age youth, and among the kind of leaders that we hope you'll look to when you're looking at who does this well and what can we replicate.

Thank you. I'm sorry I went over time.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: That was refer. Since you started it was just too interesting, we didn't even bother with it. So thank you.

May I ask, on the average, and if you could take me through the spectrum from birth until aging out, how many caseworkers, or how many juveniles, children are per caseworker?

MS. MILLER: Currently, the regulation indicate one caseworker to 30. The interpretation on whether that's 30 families versus 30 children differs.

There is currently some rewriting of the those regulations to reduce the number from 1 to 30 to 1 to 10. That's been worked on for almost two years now. So we're hopeful that that will be coming soon, but the caseload issue does impact caseworker staff retention.

## MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK:

Overwhelming. And as they -- As the students, the children, as they get to that age where they would be aging out, are the ratios basically the same?

MS. MILLER: It depends on county to county. Some counties have very specific units that work with transition age youth or youth that have the goal of APPLA, where some just have general case management units. So it really differs from county to county and the numbers of cases that they have coming in.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you. Chairman Petrarca.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PETRARCA: Jenny, quick question. You say that we should not allow

2.4

children to age out and go -- to age out into homelessness. I think we can all agree with that. But what does that mean? I mean, what -- What can we do? What should we do? How big of an issue is that? How expensive is that? What does it mean?

MS. POKEMPNER: It is a complicated issue. I think that by making a law and policy prohibition on it, you will start to see a lot of the things that are actually in law are already done more efficiently and more accountability around it.

So, for example, Rachael mentioned we're supposed to be starting to plan for the transition, regardless of whether that should be on your own, which we hope is not the case for a family at 14. We're supposed to be starting to look at what kind of skills, what kind of connection of support do you need as you get closer to adulthood at 14.

What you heard from Alexis is, rarely does it start that early and rarely is it done in a very comprehensive way. Because really, again, bringing everyone back to their your children, you are planning for your child's adulthood well before 14. You are thinking about school. You are thinking about their interests, their skills, but

2.1

you are really probably thinking about where are they going to be as adults. I really want them to flourish and thrive. And you are even thinking, I hope they're not homeless, but you are thinking I hope they have what they need to make it on their own.

I do think that it does seem and I know counties will be very upset if they getting out of prohibition and homelessness, how can we control that? But that is our expectation. I think it will make counties work more efficiently. I think it will make them pull on other resources.

Because, I will say, I do think under our laws the child welfare agency is ultimately responsible for these outcomes, but they should be pulling on and collaborating with other agencies and the community to meet this obligation, but they do need to be the leaders.

But in terms of things that we could support, I mean, we really could be making sure that, you know, we are helping youth do this transition planning and we are reviewing these cases where we have more oversight of, is this planning being done? Can we identify what are the challenging or trouble spots, and can we address

2.1

them? I don't think that we are doing that at this time.

It doesn't mean that everything is going to be perfect at 18 or 21, but I think we could do much more to give young people a fighting chance at 18 or 21 that they're going to be in a better place.

I think we do -- We're talking here today about reforms in the child welfare system.

But I think that we, in kind of the spirit of collaboration with other agencies, but we are seeing in the research and data that Rachael mentioned is, we know a lot more about the transition to adulthood not just for youth in foster care, but across the population.

And, again, if any of you have sons and daughters that are 20, 21, 22, 23, you have probably noticed that that transition to adulthood is a lot longer now, and that's for a lot of reasons. It's because of the high cost of higher education, the economy.

So, we have started to move to where we are supporting and looking at health insurance and other things to their mid-20's, and our child welfare system, our other systems haven't caught up

for our most vulnerable youth. So again, I think the child welfare system needs to do a lot more to improve this process, but I think we need to look to other agencies for help so that that bridge at 21, 22, 23 that there is more support.

But again, I think having this
prohibition and some really clear expectations is
going to make agencies do what they should have
done years ago and should be doing now. I think
when you ask counties to account for things and you
hold them accountable, it does move people to maybe
be creative; to open up the table; ask for the
housing agencies to come in; ask for the mental
health agencies to come in; how can we support
these young children? It's not all child welfare,
but they need to lead this.

MS. MILLER: If I may, I'd just like to add on to what Jenny was saying.

But I think that one of the things we can do that does not cost any money is, we can eliminate the goal of APPLA for any youth under the age of 18. When a youth has that goal, the county agency automatically assumes they are no longer -- that youth is not going to achieve permanency. Efforts to locate a permanent option often stops.

So I think that changing that aspect of allowing that goal for those youth changes practice as a result and really has them focused on, okay, permanency and those independent living skills.

The other part that I wanted to plug was the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 included the Family First Prevention Services Act. Under that, there is an opportunity to extend Chafee aftercare services to the age of 23, meaning, that the county child welfare agency doesn't have to stop offering housing services or linkage to community services or case management at age 21, but can actually offer that to age 23.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you. Representative Howard.

REPRESENTATIVE HOWARD: Hi. I have a question about the multiple placements in foster care. So, obviously, if there are stable placements, children would not be bouncing around to 18 or 19, which even are fewer are typical.

So what can we do to attract or recruit better foster parents, basically, who obviously is a failure of the system to find the right foster parents and the failure of foster parents to not be able to adequately do their job?

MS. MILLER: Again, under Family First Prevention Act, the state has to look at national model licensing standards that really provides guidance for high-quality foster care, as well as breaking down barriers to children and youth being placed with kin.

There are regulations that oftentimes become barriers to kin being fully licensed and being approved. So that is one process, as well as, there is opportunity under Family First to look at receiving federal funding to recruitment and retention of high-quality foster homes as well.

MS. POKEMPNER: I think there is a lot of good work being done on this, but I think you're right. As Rachael said, most people within the field will say it's less recruitment and more retention. I think what that tells us is that, as we mentioned with supporting kinship caregivers, we're not investing in those crucial resources; those families whether they're related or kin or unrelated who are saying they want to step up to the plate.

And we have, Garry Krentz from the

Resource Family Association was here, but had to go

up and meet about the tuition waiver bill for older

youth in foster care. He really speaks to how you can effectively support resource parents, particularly those who are caring for older youth. It really, it can be done, but I think it does take a financial investment and also a skill investment. We need to provide the training. We need to be there for them when there are bumps in the road.

We have some good models. Garry

Krentz's agency is a great example. The quality

parenting initiative is an initiative that's across

the country and is now working in Philadelphia.

What it attempts to do is really build on the skill

and strength of resource parents, and helps them

tell us what is it they need to be able to support

young people. I think we really need to that

advantage of all those opportunities.

And one of the things I think we really have to stop saying is, young people are not hard to place. We are having a hard time placing them and need to strengthen the families and help back them so that we can make that possible. I think when we do focus on retention, that we -- that we can do that.

We're seeing some great models across the country. In Illinois, there's a great resource

resource parents, and that's what we call foster parents, to provide support to other foster families. And that has been incredibly effective in meeting those at the middle of the night, I don't know what to do, and the call to the peer to get support rather than to call the child welfare agency and saying, come and pick up this child. That's what we need to avoid. So I think there is a lot of things we can do.

We really do love in Pennsylvania the creativity and autonomy that we give counties, but some of these issues we do think there has to be more leadership at the state level because, as Marcia said earlier, it shouldn't matter which county you are, what services you get. There should be some things that all young people have access to and still allow creativity and flexibility within each county, but really provide guidance and direction on some of these things that we think are kind of the back bone and foundation.

MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you.

Any other questions? Yes.

Representative Kail.

REPRESENTATIVE KAIL: You made mention

2.1

1 they start transition at 14. Who is they? Is that 2 the caseworker? MS. POKEMPNER: Obligation of the law is 3 that the child welfare agency, and that can be CYS 4 or --5 6 REPRESENTATIVE KAIL: Sure. 7 MS. POKEMPNER: -- private provider --REPRESENTATIVE KAIL: And --8 9 MS. POKEMPNER: -- should start planning with the young person. 10 11 REPRESENTATIVE KAIL: And how often a 12 week do they meet with the children? 13 MS. POKEMPNER: It does depend. Under 14 the law, the case plan needs to be revised every 15 six months. Caseworkers have to see young people 16 once a month. But chances are, they're seeing other people that are involved. There's a lot of 17 18 private providers involved in their case. You 19 heard independent living services. 20 So, there's going to be variation, but 21 that case plan with that transition plan should be 22 revised. 23 REPRESENTATIVE KAIL: And these case 24 workers have 30 kids that they're working with at a time? 25

1 MS. POKEMPNER: Yes, at least. 2 MS. MILLER: At least. 3 REPRESENTATIVE KAIL: Wow. Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: 4 Any other 5 questions? Yes. Representative Toohil. 6 REPRESENTATIVE TOOHIL: Thank you, Madam 7 Chair. I was wondering, both of you have done 8 such a phenomenal job, and thank you for your 10 tenacity with regard to these issues. 11 So when we're talking about, these are 12 Pennsylvania's children, so 8,000 children that are 13 at this transitional age, so they're all of our 14 When we look at the children in our own children. 15 home, we talk about things like college and 16 accessibility to college. If kids in my house want 17 to go to college, we get to talk about that, and 18 it's something that's also planned for. 19 So, do you have new numbers or more 20 recent numbers on college? I know it used to be a 21 very abysmal graduation rate. It was like a 22 3 percent graduation rate was the number of a 23 former foster youth getting to go to college and actually being able to have enough support and help 24

and networking that you're able to get through and

graduate.

Do we have any new numbers on that?

MS. POKEMPNER: I don't think we have

new Pennsylvania numbers. What we do have and -
Cindy Gore (phonetic) is in the

audience. I'm not going to pick on her and call

her over. I'm going to ask her to correct me if I

get this wrong.

One of the numbers we can use and at least shows how many young people around who have a foster care history are using what's called the Chafee Education and Training Grant. That's something that youth who are in foster care at age 16 or older are eligible for. That's not going to tell you the whole universe of who's getting to college or training. It's used for both. It's gonna give you a general idea. I think that's 392. And that does not tell you completion, but that at least gives us some numbers.

As I mentioned, some of our advocates are meeting with representatives today to talk about the tuition waiver, which we hope would provide another support for young people for higher education and training.

So, one of things that that bill has is

a request as part of the bill for data collection, because it's always challenging, and you all have been right to ask for numbers. We're not keeping track of a lot of these things.

And it's really key to be calculating things because -- so we can have a good response. We want to know if we're doing good enough. We want to know what we can improve. So part of that tuition waiver bill is, so that we can do data collection around how many youth in foster care are entering higher education, at least in the Commonwealth, for the schools that are in Pennsylvania. So we hope we would be able to speak more about that. Hopefully, that bill will be passed and that we would have more of that data.

But we do think families are so important. We think education as well. We hope that that will be part again of that whole thinking that an infrastructure we want to help to build for young people. I think that's a good example that saying it's not just child welfare. It is higher education institutions. They need to pitch in too because these are their kids as well.

So, Representative Toohil, we hope to have more numbers to you that we -- those numbers

2.1

| 1  | can improve.  |
|----|---|
| 2  | REPRESENTATIVE TOOHIL: Thank you.                   |
| 3  | MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Anything                |
| 4  | else?   |
| 5  | (No response).                                      |
| 6  | MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: With that,              |
| 7  | once again, we cannot tell you how grateful we are  |
| 8  | to all of our testifiers; real eye opener.          |
| 9  | I do want to explain for those of you               |
| 10 | who not been at a hearing like this before or an    |
| 11 | informational meeting, members do come and go       |
| 12 | because, I believe there were three mandatory       |
| 13 | voting meetings today. So, I just need for you to   |
| 14 | understand that that's why we're taping it so those |
| 15 | members can and other members can watch this        |
| 16 | again.  |
| 17 | So again, it's not falling on deaf ears.            |
| 18 | We thank you so much. You were wonderful, and       |
| 19 | thank you for teaching us on this important issue.  |
| 20 | MS. POKEMPNER: Representative, do you               |
| 21 | mind if I we recognize some great people in the     |
| 22 | audience?   |
| 23 | MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Absolutely.             |
| 24 | Go right ahead.                                     |
| 25 | MS. POKEMPNER: We were lucky to get the             |

1 team (phonetic) to testify, but we have a lot of experts and advocates that we work with, and I 2 might not get them all. But Rick Azzaro from 3 Diakon Family --4 MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: 5 6 Rick. MS. POKEMPNER: -- Resources. 7 Cindy Gore from the Child Resource Center. I think Dawn 8 Holden from Training Points for Children. 10 a lot for older youth. But one of the model 11 programs is Youth Villages LifeSet, and we really 12 think that program is excellent and hope it can be 13 a model. 14 When you ask who can help, what models for doing better transition planning, that's a 15 16 great model, and it's now being utilized at the end 17 of the system. We hope that it could be maybe 18 front loaded more so that we can start earlier. 19 I've already missed a couple people. 20 A VOICE: Garry Krentz. MS. POKEMPNER: Oh, yes, Garry Krentz 21 22 from Research Family Association Center. We just 23 want to say, the work we do, we have a lot of great partners, if I missed anyone, but we have a lot of 24

experts who want to help and work with you all.

| 1   | Thank you.                              |
|-----|---|
| 2   | MAJORITY CHAIRWOMAN BOBACK: Thank you   |
| 3   | very much.                              |
| 4   | MS. POKEMPNER: And we have materials    |
| 5   | over here if you want some hard copies. |
| 6   | (Whereupon, the hearing concluded).     |
| 7   | * * * *                                 |
| 8   |   |
| 9   |   |
| LO  |   |
| L1  |   |
| L2  |   |
| 13  |   |
| L 4 |   |
| L5  |   |
| L 6 |   |
| L7  |   |
| L8  |   |
| L 9 |   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  |   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  |   |
| 25  |   |
|     |   |

LKey Reporters-

1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, Karen J. Meister, Reporter, Notary 3 Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and for 4 5 the County of York, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and 6 7 accurate transcript, to the best of my ability, of 8 a public hearing taken from a videotape recording 9 and reduced to computer printout under my 10 supervision. 11 This certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under 12 13 my direct control and/or supervision. 14 15 16 17 Karen J. Meister Reporter, Notary Public 18 19 20 21 22 23

Key Reporters

2.4