COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEARING

STATE CAPITOL HARRISBURG, PA

MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING ROOM 60, EAST WING

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2020 9:30 A.M.

PRESENTATION ON
HOUSE BILL 1983
HORSE RACING COSTS OF DRUG TESTING

BEFORE:

HONORABLE MARTIN CAUSER, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE STEPHANIE BOROWICZ

HONORABLE RUSS DIAMOND

HONORABLE MARK GILLEN

HONORABLE MARCIA HAHN

HONORABLE JOHNATHAN HERSHEY

HONORABLE RICHARD IRVIN

HONORABLE MARK KELLER, MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE JOHN LAWRENCE

HONORABLE DAVID MILLARD

HONORABLE MARCI MUSTELLO

HONORABLE CLINT OWLETT

HONORABLE DAVID ZIMMERMAN, SECRETARY

HONORABLE EDDIE DAY PASHINSKI, MINORITY CHAIRMAN

HONORABLE DANILO BURGOS

HONORABLE CHRISTINA SAPPEY

* * * * *

Pennsylvania House Of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

INDEX

TESTIFIERS

* * *

NAME	PAGE
RUSSI	ELL C. REDDING, SECRETARY, PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE6
BRIAN	N SANFRATELLO, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, PENNSYLVANIA HORSE BREEDERS ASSOCIATION (PHBA)28
RUSSI	PRESIDENT AND CEO, HANOVER SHOE FARMS PRESIDENT, UNITED STATES TROTTERS ASSOCIATION (USTA)41
HEATI	HER HUNTER, THOROUGHBRED BREEDER, CHESTER COUNTY, PA47
TODD	MOSTOLLER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, PENNSYLVANIA HORSEMEN'S BENEVOLENT AND PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION (PHBPA)54

SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY

* * *

(See submitted written testimony and handouts online.)

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: I'd like to call this
4	meeting of the House Agriculture and Rural Affairs
5	Committee to order and ask you to join me in the Pledge of
6	Allegiance.
7	(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
8	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: I'm going to first ask
9	the members that are here to introduce themselves and we'll
LO	start with Representative Borowicz.
11	REPRESENTATIVE BOROWICZ: I'm Stephanie Borowicz,
L2	representative in the 76th district.
L3	REPRESENTATIVE KELLER: Good morning. I'm
L 4	Representative Mark Keller. I represent the 86th district
L 5	which is all of Perry County and part of Cumberland.
L 6	REPRESENTATIVE IRVIN: Representative Rich Irvin.
L 7	I representative all of Huntingdon County and parts of
L 8	Center and Mifflin County.
L 9	REPRESENTATIVE MILLARD: Good morning. I'm David
20	Millard. I representative the 109th district, Columbia
21	County.
22	REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Russ Diamond, Lebanon
23	County, the 102nd district.
24	REPRESENTATIVE ZIMMERMAN: Representative Dave

Zimmerman. I represent the northeast part of Lancaster $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

25

1 County. REPRESENTATIVE GILLEN: Mark Gillen, Berks and Lancaster Counties. 3 REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Representative Owlett, 4 5 the 68th district, all of Tioga County, part of Bradford 6 and part of Potter County. 7 REPRESENTATIVE HERSHEY: Representative Hershey from all or parts of Juniata, Mifflin, and Franklin counties. 10 REPRESENTATIVE HAHN: Marcia Hahn, 138th, 11 Northampton County. REPRESENTATIVE MUSTELLO: Marci Mustello from the 12 13 11th district which is in Butler County. 14 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: John Lawrence, the 13th 15 district which is southwestern Chester County and a part of 16 Lancaster County. 17 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: I represent McKean, 18 part of Potter, and Cameron counties. 19 MINORITY CHAIRMAN PASHINSKI: Representative 20 Pashinski, Luzerne County, 121st district. 21 REPRESENTATIVE BURGOS: Good morning. 22 Representative Burgos, 197th legislative district, 2.3 Philadelphia. 24 REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: And Torren Ecker. I 25 represent parts of Adams and Cumberland County and I'd like

to thank the Chairman for letting me sit in on this.

Thanks.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: We're glad to have you with us.

I want to thank all the members that are here today. We're here to conduct a public hearing on House Bill 1983 sponsored by myself and also sponsored by my cochair, Representative Pashinski. And the focus of the hearing today is horse racing costs related to drug testing of horses. So we have an interesting panel here today and looking forward to the testimony presented.

I am trying to keep the focus on the issue at hand dealing with paying for drug testing for horse racing although I know that it's impossible not to talk in some respects with the elephant in the room being the Governor's proposal to withdraw funds from the Horse Race Development Trust Fund. But we're going to do our best to stay on focus with the sunset that will be expiring in June.

When we negotiated the comprehensive legislation four years ago that made major changes for horse racing in the Commonwealth, it was negotiated at that time that we would pay for drug testing out of the Horse Race

Development Fund, but we did put a four-year sunset in place so that the legislature could come back and reevaluate that four years latera. And it's hard to

believe that it's been four years already, but it's here and it's -- June is coming very rapidly. So the legislation would simply remove the sunset, is what we have before us today.

So we're here to take testimony on that. And our first presenter is no stranger to this committee, certainly, the secretary of the Department of Agriculture, Russell Redding.

Secretary Redding, welcome, and --

SECRETARY REDDING: Good to be here. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: -- we're glad to have you here and you're welcome to proceed.

SECRETARY REDDING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Chairman Pashinski and members of the committee, thank you. It's always good to be with you. I want to say thanks, Mr. Chairman, for the introduction of 1983 and recognizing the sunset provision as you note, but also the bipartisan support for the bill.

You have my prepared testimony. I'll just provide a few highlights. In 2016, as you note, the Horse Racing Act was amended to, among other things, include a four-year provision that required expenses related to the collection and testing of race horses for medication to come out of the Race Horse Development Fund. And here we are, four years on, that sunset provision expires on June

30 of 2020.

2.3

Quite simply, without the extension of the sunset, the costs revert back to the State Racing Fund which cannot financially support the testing. House Bill 1983 deletes the sunset provision to allow the current practice of costs being paid for out of the racing fund to continue and it's the right thing to do.

But here's why it's important. To accomplish the testing, the department contracts with the University of Pennsylvania, New Bolton Center which is the Pennsylvania Equine Toxicology Research Lab location. This lab is only one of four in the U.S. that has been fully accredited since 1996 by the American Association of Laboratory Accreditation to perform such drug testing.

The approximately 35,000 samples that are tested there annually are tested for the presence of foreign substances. The lab also further does analysis, toxicology research, provides recommendations on therapeutic medications, thresholds for consideration by the commission.

The testing is the basis of the integrity and we spend a lot of time in the industry talking about integrity without the tests and the testing, so as we note here today, it's been critical and certainly continues to be, but without addressing that provision of sunset, we've run

the risk of not having the testing and, of course, then leading to integrity questions.

Testing also allows the commission to use the results for research to further inform discussions and standards, regulations for health and welfare of all the participants. So as noted, I appreciate the support, the recognition, the support and provision, and the desire to address the sunset provision by deleting that so we can continue the current practice of covering these costs out of the Race Horse Development Fund. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Thank you, Secretary Redding. I appreciate your support for the bill.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: And the, you know, bipartisan support that we enjoy on the bill recognizing that we want racing to continue in the Commonwealth and we know that it's important for us to continue funding the drug testing so that we can continue to having racing. So I appreciate your comments.

We'll open next to questions from the members.

SECRETARY REDDING: Okay.

SECRETARY REDDING: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Are there any questions from members?

Representative Diamond.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Mr. Secretary, can you

just for the public's interest, can you just quantify how
much money this is on an annual basis?

SECRETARY REDDING: Yeah, so thank you. The cost is approximately \$10 million for testing. And again, that's through the Pennsylvania Equine Toxicology Lab but it's about \$10 million.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Other questions or comments?

Representative Lawrence.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Secretary, it's good to see you.

SECRETARY REDDING: It's good to see you as well.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: I appreciate you being here today. And I do want to try to stay on the topic at hand here, but I'm looking at an op-ed that you submitted on February 23rd that references House Bill 1983 with regard to the testing costs, but it also goes on to talk more specifically about the Governor's proposal to redirect \$200 million out of the Horse Race Development Fund. And you know, this op-ed speaks pretty positively about that proposal.

September 7th, 2017, you sent a letter to this committee regarding what you described at that time a proposed \$27 million raid on the same fund. So that's

about ten percent of what the Governor has proposed. You described it as a raid, a \$27 million raid from the State Racing Fund.

You go on to say that approximately 1,000 breeders and 2,500 horse owners benefit from these funds every year and that redirecting these \$27 million will create, quote, uncertainty among the racing industry which further perpetuates the misperception that Pennsylvania does not seriously support our equine industry and it risks throwing the state racing industry into further turmoil just as we are starting to achieve some long, sought after stability and certainty. That would be devastating to the industry and the more than 20,000 jobs it supports. Those are your words.

SECRETARY REDDING: Yeah, I recognize them.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So what changed in the last three years that a \$27 million raid would cause chaos and devastate the industry but now this \$200 million, I'm not going to use the word raid, but you used the word raid, this \$200 million redirection is apparently not a problem?

SECRETARY REDDING: Yeah. Representative, thank you. Thanks for reminding me of my own words. I did say that and I think, you know, having been around this conversation since, you know, 2004 when the initial conversation started about how do we sustain the industry,

I've been party to, you know, many of the conversations and supportive.

2.3

I don't believe that it's inconsistent to have an expectation of sustainability at the same time being supportive of an industry. So we find ourselves today with a \$3 billion investment being made over the last 15, 16 years. Part of this is looking at all of the other needs that we have in the Commonwealth. And I said this before with the Governor's vision for Pennsylvania that we have to start looking at the issues of workforce. You've got to look at the, you know, the retention of citizens to the state. We try to reconcile, you know, all of these different sort of conversations with the needs that we have in our budget.

In this particular budget, the Governor has proposed, you know, repurposing money out of racing for investment in scholarships and workforce. I support that. I think you can support the scholarship and the need for and the workforce investments at the same time saying that the equine industry is important to Pennsylvania. I don't think they're inconsistent. I think all of us having been, you know, party to conversations to sustain the industry from 2004 forward, this should have an expectation that after a \$3 billion investment, that it is in some way sustainable without an every-year allocation from purses to

sustain it.

So I don't, I mean, I sit here today saying, listen, I know that. I've been party to it. I've, you know, tried to champion the industry and will continue to do that, but I arrive here, you know, pretty aware of the workforce needs that we have, both in agriculture and beyond. And a way to help address that is through our PASSHE system and the scholarships and encouraging folks to stay here in PA.

And also there's a convergence of other things.

I mean, part of this is about listening to the marketing planned proposals from industry and the concern about attracting a new generation of fan to the industry. Part of that is the same age group and the demographic that we have that are in the college age and trying to attract them in. I think that's an important note.

And then finally, you know, the world has change a bit, you know, since 2017 having been on the receiving end of so many calls and inquiries from the folks in the dairy industry. I began to think hard about what we invest in and how do we spend the dollars that we have in Pennsylvania, to be very honest. You know, we've invested \$10 billion in dairy. At the same time, we've invested \$600 million in horses. And we have, you know, two competing interests here, both important, of course. But

just putting out that there are a lot of decisions we have to make about where we invest.

So yes, supportive, continue to be a change as you note from 2017 to 2020, but still supportive, still believe in the industry but would encourage the industry to be looking at the sustainability in the business model and just as we have in the dairy industry, had a Dairy Futures Commission, this almost calls for a Race Horse Futures Commission.

You've asked us very pointed questions on the dairy side to support it. I think the same expectations should be here in terms of sustainability of the racing industry as well. So thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So Mr. Chair, I appreciate you answer. Mr. Secretary and Mr. Chair, with your indulgence, I would just like to share -- I'm not going to read this whole thing, but this is a letter that I received and again, I'm not going to share the whole thing, but just very briefly.

"As a fifth-generation farmer in southern Chester County, I'm very concerned for the well-being of my farm operation due to the Governor's proposed changes in the use of funds for the Horse Race Development Program. Most recently, our family has been let down by the shrinking dairy industry that seems to be ignoring and overlooking

the small family dairy farmer. We, like many former PA dairy families, found refuge in the ever-growing equine industry right in our very own community."

"To date, our two-generation farm" -- this is the son of the fifth-generation farmer -- "welcomes upwards of 40 horse customers daily as we provide them with hay and straw for their various enterprises that comprise the equestrian world."

"Since Governor Wolf has attacked the dollars of the Race Development Fund, the discussion amongst the customers at our sales facility is negative, full of distrust, and disgust. If my family no longer has sound revenue source from their sale of grain and hay, we would have to sell our farm."

"Selling a farm and moving to another state is not an easy task for folks who take pride in producing healthy food while being good stewards of the land, but it is a little easier to accomplish when aided by the feeling that the state government abandoned your industry and burdened you with the challenge of surviving the aftermath."

"I have no doubt that farm families like my own will find success whereever we may move to. The question is, can the state of Pennsylvania be successful without us?"

And I guess, Mr. Secretary, what I would ask is, what would you suggest I say to this individual, this farmer, in my own district who has invested significantly based off of the decisions made by the legislature in 2004 and more recently and even going to the extent in 2017 of putting these funds into a trust fund, which my understanding is would require legislation to alter, what would you say to him?

SECRETARY REDDING: Well, I would want to know, you know, a lot of things. One, certainly that the dairy history is intriguing. They made a transition out of dairy to other production ag, but staying in production ag so I'd like to understand a little more about the dairy issues. I would like to understand the market for his products that he's selling to the equine. Who are those folks in the equine industry? It's a big group of people, you know. There's race horses and many, many others. I would want to know that.

I think as we've talked about in our farm bill, you know, this whole question of planning, business planning, and taking a look at what the operations of any of these farms are and planning for some changes and diversification. I would want to have a conversation with them about how racing is funded and the sustainability from their perspective. What does that look like?

So there's a lot of questions I would have, but I would certainly welcome the conversation with them. It's an important one.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: I guess if I could just conclude, Mr. Chairman, if I have your indulgence? And I'm not saying that you have suggested this, Mr. Secretary, but I think there's a perception that -- I hear a lot about, you know, the Saudi sheikhs and the billionaires and they're talking advantage of all this money and everything.

In my experience and what I've seen in my own district, this money is going to family farmers selling hay bales off their own farm to try to keep the operation in business. This money is going to places like Oxford Grain and Hay, which I have a letter from them here as well which I will not bore the committee with. but I may read later if we have time, where they go into great length about how their business depends upon money from the equine industry.

The idea that moving \$200 million out of this fund would not have a significant impact on the decisions of where the equine industry will choose to site is foolhardy. The bottom line is this, we have a choice in Chester County and across Adams and many -- Lancaster, right. Are we going to be raising horses or are we going to be putting up houses? That's the bottom line.

We have a lot of folks who love to talk about

open space and I'm a supporter of open space. But what it
comes down to is there's a lot of folks who love looking at
the open space that someone else is paying the taxes on.

And the Horse Race Development Fund goes to pay for a lot
of taxes for a lot of farmers to help keep these family
farms afloat in a challenging industry.

So I don't want to belabor the point and I know I
am off topic. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate your indulgence,

am off topic. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate your indulgence, but I did want to just have a conversation about it and I appreciate, Mr. Secretary, your willingness to speak about it as well.

SECRETARY REDDING: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Thank you,

Representative Lawrence.

Chairman Pashinski.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PASHINSKI: Thank you very much, Chairman Causer. And thank you very much, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY REDDING: Mr. Chairman.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PASHINSKI: I'm smiling only because that's why we're having this hearing, to give everybody an opportunity to share their thoughts and to attempt to address the concerns that we have. So many times we're faced with unlimited problems and never enough

money. So we're always trying to find ways to manipulate dollars and try to get to the root of the evil of the cost of doing business and so on.

2.3

And Representative Lawrence, obviously, brings up some very legitimate points that I believe the rest of the members will do as well. But again, that's why we're having the hearing. I'm really supportive of Representative Causer in 1983 and so pleased that you are as well. So I look forward to -- I don't want to cut you off there, Mr. Secretary, but I look forward to also the members of the industry making their case as well.

SECRETARY REDDING: Yeah.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PASHINSKI: But I thank you very much for being here today. We'll work this out.

SECRETARY REDDING: Very good. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Representative Gillen.

REPRESENTATIVE GILLEN: And thank you. Good to see you and for personal full disclosure, I have a horse. I'm not involved in the Horse Race Development Fund but I have a 17-year-old. And we live on a farm and so we take keen interest in all things agriculture. And I appreciate the personal intersections we've had with you and my own daughters.

Depending upon the numbers you're looking at, economic impact of \$1.5 to \$4 billion in terms of the horse

racing industry, 20,000 to 30,000 jobs, how do you think the proposal in terms of moving those resources out of Race Horse Development Fund would impact on the jobs picture and economic development and the economic multipliers in Pennsylvania?

2.3

SECRETARY REDDING: Yeah. So we, you know, looked at those studies and have included, you know, the equine industry, even some of the work that we've done with Team Pennsylvania and I would say that in an industry where we have invested, you know, significantly as a public, you know, into racing, there are clearly going to be impacts. It's a \$3 billion industry, right, so there's going to be an impact.

I can't tell you what that sort of direct, you know, job impact would be. I don't know that. I would hope that as part of this conversation that we're having today and continued through this budget process as we talk about the investments we've made in racing, the public policy that we have, you know, decisions we've made relative to racing and those become, you know, part of this is the discussion we need to have about where you invest and how do we invest. And I don't think there's anybody here who doesn't appreciate what we have done.

The question is, where do you want to invest going forward, right? And I think the Governor is saying

to invest in, you know, the scholarships and students and to inspire a new group of people to enter this business is part of this objective. But I don't know, you know, an exact count on what would be gained or lost.

REPRESENTATIVE GILLEN: And I appreciate it. And short of an exact count, would it be fair to say that there would be loss of economic activity and loss of jobs as a consequence to this proposal?

SECRETARY REDDING: I think you're going to have like any, you know, any change you make in one status economically, there's going to be a potential loss but I just keep putting out the charge. I mean, I don't believe that it is only for the state to solve this problem. I think this is an industry that has asked. And every time they've asked from 1981 to 2004 to 2015, we've responded in the affirmative to support them in this, you know, relationship of trying to build and sustain the industry. There has to be, I think, a fair question to ask about the sustainability and the plans for the industry inside of itself to sustain this industry, right? I think that's part of our discussion.

REPRESENTATIVE GILLEN: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Representative Burgos.

REPRESENTATIVE BURGOS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Secretary, similar to Representative Zimmerman's

questions is loss of jobs. I'm concerned about it because, you know, in my district we have several -- I have several constituents that work at parks in Chester and we don't have an idea. We're just guesstimating.

SECRETARY REDDING: Well, we know the folks who are at each of the tracks. We know the folks who are licensed by the Racing Commission. We know from the economic impact studies that have been done by several organizations and entities that there are a lot of people attached to it. What is not clear is the extent that those folks who are, you know, identified in any of those studies are exclusive to racing. So it's difficult to say with any preciseness what the actual impact of jobs or impact would be.

But I'm not minimizing the point that when you redirect and repurpose dollars out of one sector it's still going to stay in the economy. It's just not, in this case, going to be paying purses for horses. There's still a significant industry there. I think that's a piece of what we're trying to address is that there's a significant industry there and surely there has to be other components of its economic capacity that are well beyond the purse structure that is in place today.

REPRESENTATIVE BURGOS: I guess, Mr. Chairman, it's the unknown on both sides of the spectrum because by

us having that 200 million available for the industry and to help our farmers and to help constituents like mine in one of the poorest districts in the Commonwealth to have a job, we have an idea what are the taxes that the state benefits from, our general coffers?

2.3

SECRETARY REDDING: I'm sorry. In terms of -REPRESENTATIVE BURGOS: In terms of us being able
to provide the fund for the thoroughbred, for the industry,
for the purses. Thank you. Like because there's a side
effect of this that we're doing this investment because we
believe and probably sure that we get a nice bump in our
coffers because of this.

SECRETARY REDDING: Yeah. I don't know that number what is the -- in terms of the taxes and the residual benefits that accrue to the Commonwealth. I don't know that number.

REPRESENTATIVE BURGOS: Because my concern of shifting moneys and programs that are working might have another side effect somewhere else in our budget.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SECRETARY REDDING: Fair point.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Representative Owlett.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Thank you for joining us today and thank you for your testimony and your work in agriculture is great and we need it in our communities.

I had the opportunity this morning to look at maybe another option because this is no fun for us. We're pitting our farmers against our education system which we all value. We all want to see both grow and sustained here in the Commonwealth. So it's a tough ask. But I mean, we were looking this morning at lapsed funds from prior budgets.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As of January 1st, we had over \$913 million in lapsed funds in the Commonwealth. Year-to-date, that probably is going to be closer to \$700 million. So I don't know that we have to take this money from the Horse Development Fund if there's lapsed money that we really can repurpose. I mean, it sounds like we're allowed to do There's almost \$100 million just in DCED which is economic development and so when we're talking about taking money from one pot and giving it to another, I'm struggling with the idea of taking it from agriculture and putting it into our PASSHE system which is struggling as it is. it be better to use some of these lapsed funds, maybe even some from economic development sources, which will reap dividends and, you know, for our Commonwealth? Would that be a better use of funds versus the Horse Development Fund?

SECRETARY REDDING: Well, I think the -- you know, in any budget season these are proposals. The Governor laid out a vision for Pennsylvania and included

his proposal, but it's a process, right? We go through this the next 90 days to have this conversation about whether it is at the right place. Even if the objective is the right one, is that the right place? I think that's all part of the conversation, all right. I think what we've tried to say in this budget is the investment made, we got to be proud of the investment made in racing to date. We have a pressing need on the education side for scholarship. We want to try to help there, identify the racing.

At the end of the day, if there are lapsed funds, if there's another way, if there's a discussion, I think that's the conversation we have through the whole process to say, you know, okay, that's one proposal. What are my options?

I don't know specifically, Representative, on the commitments of those lapsed funds --

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Right.

SECRETARY REDDING: -- that you've identified. I don't know how that -- I know how it works in the Department of Ag and there may be some residual commitments, but your point is, what are my options, right? And we should have that conversation.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Well, that's the budget process and we're going through that right now.

SECRETARY REDDING: Right.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: And I think people could maybe get a little bit more behind this if they were to support agricultural degrees but the proposal is PASSHE in general and scholarships so it's -- I think that's where the struggles coming from.

2.3

SECRETARY REDDING: No, no, that's fair.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: But I enjoy the process and I think we can get there where we can hopefully support everybody and get through this process. So thank you for joining us.

SECRETARY REDDING: Yeah, you're welcome. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Representative Zimmerman.

REPRESENTATIVE ZIMMERMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Secretary. Good to have you here.

SECRETARY REDDING:

REPRESENTATIVE ZIMMERMAN: So a little bit along the lines of Representative Owlett, so agriculture in general hasn't quite benefited from the great economy that we had this past year like some other sectors have, at least, you know, parts of agriculture have not benefitted from that. And so if the Governor would get what he's asking for, would you be an advocate of having those scholarships going towards agriculture scholarships versus

Always.

just in the mix of everything else?

SECRETARY REDDING: Well, I think it's an interesting question, right? So I think the conversations that I've had in many different workplace environments, I mean, the range is certainly agriculture degrees but there are needs for biochemists. I mean, there are accountants. There are things that are, you know, technology that are not about just the bachelor of science.

But I would hope that at the end of the day the types of jobs we're investing in through the PASSHE system and those kids would find their way to agriculture. I would love to have that happen but there's not a direct tie between support for -- in the PASSHE system, there are clearly degrees there that are applicable to ag, but there's not a direct connection between them.

REPRESENTATIVE ZIMMERMAN: Yeah, I'm a strong believer and if we're doing anything with funding in the agriculture that somehow it remains in agriculture and that there's a process and conversations to make sure it remains where I believe it belongs and that is in agriculture. But thank you.

SECRETARY REDDING: I appreciate that. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Mr. Secretary, thank you for your testimony. Thank you for being here today.

SECRETARY REDDING: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Obviously, the

Governor's proposal is on the mind of the members, of

course, and we know that we have this sunset that's sort of

impending and I sense the uncertainty amongst the members

of the entire issue dealing with, you know, the Governor's

proposal and how that intertwines with what we're dealing

to continue funding racing. So I know these questions are

going to continue. In fact, they'll continue this

afternoon at the appropriations hearing. So I think you

for your time and we look forward to continue working with

you.

SECRETARY REDDING: Yeah. That's mutual, Mr.

Chairman. Thank you. Thanks to the committee. I

appreciate the conversation, the exchange, the insight. I

think it's always a good process to talk about where we

invest and how we invest and the expectations we have for

the money we do invest, right? We've all been party to a

lot of conversations about agriculture both in trade and

tariffs and employment and that list goes on. So I add

this to that list of important topics to talk about and

where those investments are being made in the community.

It's also, as we have learned, an important moment for the industry to tell a story, and a very personal story, about where and why we do what we do,

right? I think that's part of this effort. So Mr.
Chairman, thank you for the time.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Thank you very much.

Next, we have a panel that's going to come up and the first testifier is going to be Brian Sanfratello, the Executive Secretary of the Pennsylvania Horse Breeders Association. And he will be joined by Russell Williams, the President/CEO of Hanover Shoe Farms and also the President of the United States Trotters Association and he'll be testifying next. They're also joined by Kate Demasi, a member of the Pennsylvania Thoroughbred Horseman's Association; Heather Hunter, thoroughbred breeder from Chester County; and Todd Mostoller, Executive Director of the Pennsylvania Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association.

Welcome folks. Thank you for being here with us.

And Mr. Sanfratello, you're up first and you may proceed.

MR. SANFRATELLO: Good morning, Chairman Causer, Chairman Pashinski. I'd thank you for providing the racing and horse breeding industry to the opportunity to comment on Bill 1983.

I represent, as the Chairman said, the

Pennsylvania Horse Breeders, but I'd like to add that the

views I provide and the testimony today on House Bill 1983

are shared by the six horsemen and breeder organizations

that make up the Pennsylvania Equine Coalition, both standardbred and thoroughbred.

House Bill 1983 addresses the upcoming sunset of language related to how the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Race Horse Testing Program is funded by the Race Horse Development Trust Fund. The first thing I wanted to make clear is that every stakeholder organization in our industry supports a robust testing program. It's important to our breeders, it's important to our owners, and it's important to our trainers that we maintain the integrity of the sport and ensure our equine athletes are treated properly.

Our industry is funded by breeder incentives and purses paid from the Race Horse Development Trust Fund.

They're paid to the top finishers in a given race and that money is used to pay trainers, grooms, jockeys, veterinarians, blacksmiths, many others employed in our industry. Pete Peterson is here today. He's going to pass out to you who does one race horse employ because the amount of people is too numerous to take time to review.

On the breeding side, it takes up to four to five years to see the fruits of your labor. You buy the mare.

The mare is bred. You find the stallion to breed the mare to, eleven-month gestation period and then approximately three years after that before the horse actually gets to a

race.

And not only does it affect the jobs in our industry, but our industry is a spill over industry.

Representative Lawrence alluded to it. Farmers that we buy hay and straw, feed companies, horse transportation, construction companies, new barns, fencing, and let's not forget the mushroom industry. PA's the mushroom capital of the world. Where does the manure come from? It comes from us.

So when we talk about 20,000 jobs, we're not only talking about jobs in our industry but we're talking about jobs across the board and many business throughout the state. And that money enables the people employed in our industry to support their families and also the spillover businesses to support their families.

The Race Horse Development Trust Fund benefits the Commonwealth, the larger sector, agriculture. We said the industry's responsible for over 20,000 jobs. We talked about \$1.6 million in economic impact. We talked about over 100,000 acres of open space.

As I mentioned, it takes a few years from inception to actually running in a race. If I'm an owner or looking to be an owner or looking to be a breeder, I'm looking at two items. I'm looking at the quality of the program and I'm looking at the stability of the funding

source, in this case the Race Horse Development Trust Fund.

Then I make my choice. And you have to remember that there

are probably a half dozen other states within a stone throw

4 of Pennsylvania that people can breed and race in.

From 2004 to 2009, everything was working fine. The breeding was up. Owners were coming in. They were spending money. From 2009 to 2016 what happened was we were on a roller coaster. Every budget -- and the breeders are hit worse because the budget comes out right at the beginning of breeding season, so every budget, someone was trying to plug a hole somewhere. We've contributed over \$380 million from the Race Horse Development Fund to plug budgets, some of which we're still doing today.

In 2016, we sat down and made some tough decisions and many of you were here during that process.

We wanted to -- on the thoroughbred side, we needed to enhance the PA program, the sire program, and fund commission expenses as Secretary Redding had explained. I got to tell you, I don't want to go through that again. I remember walking into the chairman's office and saying, Representative Causer, I can't leave today without coming to some sort of an agreement on what we need to do. And I'd like to thank everyone who was involved in that on both sides of the aisle.

So we got that all straightened out but there was

still one thing that remained to do. What happens next budget cycle? So in 2017 as part of the expanded Gaming Act, we put the race horse, when I say we I mean members of the coalition, the legislators from both sides, put the Race Horse Development Fund into a trust and that trust was signed by the Governor.

I just wanted to make one thing clear here, I keep hearing investments that the state has made in racing. I would ask you to look at the trust fund language. There are two lines in there that can't be any more clear. The assessments are not funds of the state and the Commonwealth shall not be rightfully entitled to any money described under the section.

So we have been taking care of ourselves through the money from the casino that flows to the Race Horse Development Fund. The state is just a pass through for that money. That money comes. It goes to the state and comes back out and is distributed to the horsemen's groups and the breeders have a restrictive fund which that money goes into.

So when we did those two things, guess what? It worked. From 2016 to 2019 on the thoroughbred side, we had a 47 percent increase in mares bred to PA stallions. And what made it even more impressive was that the national average, including Kentucky, was down 15.8 percent. That's

unbelievable. On the standardbred side, their 2019 sale at the farm show saw the highest average in sale history. How does it happen?

I attended recently the symposium in Arizona, the World Racing Symposium. People would come to me and they'd say, what's happening in Pennsylvania? Everybody else is down. How come you're up? Well, that reason is everyone was on the same page. It's easy when everyone's on the same page. Well, at the beginning or during the budget when we found out that the proposed budget was looking to take \$204 million from the trust fund, we said, geez, not again.

My phone and the phones of many of the coalition have been ringing off the hook for weeks. And it doesn't matter if you're breeders, whether it happens or it doesn't happen. The damage is already done. People who look back and say, wow, didn't this happen like between 2009 and 2016? Same thing happened. People who were going to bring horses, they're not bringing them. People who were going to invest that maybe didn't invest yet, they're not investing. I just wanted to make sure that you understood where we were and where we are now.

So we fully support the continued funding of testing out of the Race Horse Development Trust Fund but rather than make the funding extension permanent, the

Pennsylvania Equine Coalition would ask that you consider extending the sunset provision another three years. We also ask that you consider adding a provision that requires an annual audit or an annual accounting of how the money is spent.

2.3

We ask you to do this for two reasons. We believe the suggested language is important to maintain proper oversight by the House and Senate Ag Committees and ensure the money is being spent as intended. And the second reason goes back to the issues I raised earlier relating to the need to maintain stability and to ensure there continues to be long-term investment in Pennsylvania breeding and racing.

For these reasons, the Pennsylvania Equine

Coalition requests that you consider amending House Bill

1983 to provide for an extension of the funding mechanism

for a period of three years as well as include language

that provides for increased oversight on how the money is

utilized.

I thank you for giving us the opportunity to share our views today. I now turn it over to Russell Williams.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Brian, I have a question.

MR. SANFRATELLO: Yes.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Thank you for your testimony. So you're requesting the bill to be amended to extend the sunset three years and you're also referencing auditing. You'd like to see some auditing requirements in place. What specifically do you want to see audited? Are you -- I know there is an audit of the Horsemen's Associations right now that's provided through the legislature. Can you be more specific on what you would envision as far as an audit, who would do it, who would pay for it?

MR. SANFRATELLO: Well, an audit or accounting so that that money going towards testing, we'd be able to say, okay, did it actually go towards the testing or did it go somewhere else?

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: So you're looking for more detailed information regarding the money going to the Horse Race Commission and where it goes from there, how it's being spent from the commission?

MR. SANFRATELLO: Correct, correct.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Okay. Thank you.

Chairman Pashinski.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PASHINSKI: Thank you Chairman Causer and thank you very much, Brian, for your testimony and for all the folks that are going to be sharing your thoughts.

Just a few things to just think about.

2.3

Obviously, we wouldn't have the casinos if we didn't have racing, right?

MR. SANFRATELLO: Correct.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PASHINSKI: That's the first thing. The second thing is there's got to be a great love and admiration for the beautiful animal of a horse because I don't know that every single breeder or every single horseman is making a lot of money on this.

MR. SANFRATELLO: That is correct. It was funny, I was at a stallion show and I had asked, I said, everyone here who is rich please raise your hand. All I got was a lot of laughs.

MINORITY CHAIRMAN PASHINSKI: Should we ask that question here?

But the point I'm actually leading to is there has to be a certain amount of love and passion for what you're doing. You're taking a chance. You're taking a gamble. You're counting on your ability to be able to breed a horse to be able to win a race and not just one, but many. And in that process, you're creating jobs. You're buying property in Pennsylvania and you're contributing to the economy.

Once again as we were talking earlier with Secretary Redding, this is a great opportunity for question

and answer so we could try to find some common ground and make sure that we can maintain the quality that you had alluded to.

To think that in Pennsylvania we were leading the country, including Kentucky, with the finest horses and the system is pretty astonishing. That's a tremendous accomplishment. So I look forward to the continued testimony that you're going to provide and I feel strongly that we can certainly, as we have been in the past, continue to work together on this to a suitable conclusion. Thank you, Brian.

MR. SANFRATELLO: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Representative --

MR. SANFRATELLO: I'd like to turn it over to --

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: We have other

16 questions.

MR. SANFRATELLO: Oh, you have other questions? Okay.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Representative Diamond.

MR. SANFRATELLO: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Brian, thank you for your testimony. And I especially want to commend you for reminding people that it is casino revenues that funds this, not people's income tax, not people's sales tax.

There's such a misunderstanding out there that this is some sort of corporate welfare program. And in fact, some of our friends, you know, down on State Street who talk about actual corporate welfare, they try to include this in there. This is not what this is. This is a program that was set up at the casinos, as the Chairman pointed out, were set up to revive the race horsing industry.

So I want to thank you for making that comment.

I mean, it's important for the public to understand their sales tax, their personal income tax does not fund horse racing in Pennsylvania.

I did want to ask you about your recommendation for extending the sunset for three years. Is there something that's going to happen over the course of the next three years that you think at that time it would be okay to, you know, completely remove the sunset? Or is this something that you think maybe we should do a -- have an ongoing, you know, a five-year sunset, a five-year review period that continues every five years? Or is there something specific that makes the -- making the sunset go away uncomfortable for you now as opposed to three years from now?

MR. SANFRATELLO: Well, I'm hoping that everything stabilizes according to, you know, what's been happening here with taking the money from the Race Horse

Development Fund Trust. I mean, we don't know. We have category 4s that are going up in which we don't get any income from. We have expanded gaming of which we don't get a cut from that. VGTs, you know, you name it, it's coming.

So we don't know what type of a position we're going to be in three years down the road. So the Committee may decide three years from now that you would like to fund it another way because it's impossible to fund it from us. So again, right now, we don't know what's going to happen.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: Okay. So all of that really just underscores one of the other very important points you made is that your business, like many, many other agribusinesses, relies on predictability and stability and not somebody coming every year and going, we're taking the money out of here to do this other, whatever I want to do. We need that predictability and stability in the Race Horse Development Fund in order for your business to survive because just the threat alone is enough to harm your industry.

MR. SANFRATELLO: I guarantee you that if we have the predictability, we will continue to grow this industry. Right now, we're putting approximately \$1.6 billion back into the Pennsylvania economy. I don't know any other business that's taking in \$250 million, it's not even quite that much, but approximately \$250 million and giving back

\$1.6 billion. It doesn't happen. Let us continue to make it happen.

REPRESENTATIVE DIAMOND: All right, thank you.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Representative Gillen.

REPRESENTATIVE GILLEN: Thank you again for your constructive suggestions on HB 1983. I appreciate that.

What, if any, discussions -- just a relatively quick answer, I think -- what, if any, discussions did the administration engage in with the industry relative to the proposal for the massive shift and have there been any subsequent discussions after that announcement?

MR. SANFRATELLO: Well, we knew that it was going to -- that the Governor was going to have that within his budget probably a week or two before the budget came out. We tried, when I say we, people representing the coalition tried to explain that we didn't think it was a good idea because of this, this, and this that I've gone over. But you know, it didn't make any difference as of, you know, as of that point.

Since then, there've been, you know, quite a few misconceptions that have come out about our industry. We talked about a couple today, everyone being rich, you know. Most of our breeders are mom and pop breeders. They're not rich.

So we're going to do, you know, whatever we can and we welcome anything that the Governor's staff would like to suggest to us as far as what he feels the problem is and we'll tell him what the problem, you know, we'll tell him what the problem is. But I mean, you know, like I mentioned, it's our money. We're funding ourselves and we do give a lot back already. Hopefully I answered.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Thank you, Brian.

Our next testifier is Mr. Russell Williams. You may proceed, sir.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you Chairman Causer and Chairman Pashinski.

I'm going to -- you have my prepared testimony.

I'm going to do what I hate to do and leave out large parts

of that because you already have it and it's clear that

you're familiar with many of the points that are made in

it.

The United States Trotting Association has 17,000 members nationwide, 1,753 of those members live in Pennsylvania. That means 1,753 Pennsylvanians are involved in the standardbred business as owners, breeders, veterinarians, or some other respect. That's a little over ten percent of our entire membership. So Pennsylvania is an important landmark on the scene for us.

If you're not familiar with Hanover Shoe Farms,

it is on certain measures the largest horse breeding farm in the world. Founded 94 years ago, it spans 3,000 acres and is home to an average of 900 horses in a given year.

The 3,000 acres comprises 27 family farms. It's not all contiguous land. The farms were bought up one at a time so they still have the same configuration that they had 200 years ago when the land was first settled. Most of our 80 employees live on the individual farms or in houses on our land.

I counted again and there are about 47 families living on our property who would have to find somewhere else to live if we were forced to curtail our operations.

In 2019, we spent \$856,000 on hay and straw for our horses. That represents 6 million pounds of hay and straw that is raised and sold to us by our neighbors who are also farmers. We spent about \$260,000 maintaining the 200 miles of fencing that encloses our green pastures. We spent millions of dollars with Pennsylvania businesses for goods and services.

We had a good sale in 2019 so unaware of the Governor's ideas about the trust fund, we spent over \$2 million on new land and horses to strengthen our operation.

The first documented trotting race in America was in Philadelphia in 1806. So horse racing and breeding is a native Pennsylvania industry and we've been here a long

time. Pennsylvania currently is the leading the horse racing and breeding jurisdiction in North America, rivaled only by New York in certain years as was mentioned.

I would have liked the secretary to express his admiration, his pride in what we have accomplished over this time rather than asking for a conversation about sustainability because, in fact, we've done an excellent job with the money that's flowed through our hands.

Since 1939, the Standardbred Horse Sales Company has operated a week long horse sale at the Pennsylvania State Farm Show Complex. More than 5,000 individuals come to this sale and spend more than \$50 million and what mainly brings them there is the Pennsylvania product. Pennsylvania breds are the main attraction at this sale.

The Standardbred Breeder's Association supports
House Bill 1983. Testing is the key element of racing
integrity. It's essential to maintain this in a leading
racing jurisdiction like Pennsylvania. The Trotting
Association is heavily involved in this in all the states
and we have resources concerning testing, medication that
remain available to the Department of Agriculture if they
are interested. We would be glad to assist.

It's already been pointed out that the trust fund is not taxpayer's dollars. The 2004 Racing Compact permitting casino gaming in Pennsylvania is a remarkable

three-way win for Pennsylvania. It combines gaming entertainment and equine agriculture plus the tremendous economic impact that comes with that, and significant tax revenue. The state shares 43 percent. It therefore deserves the protection that the General Assembly has given it.

2.3

Thank you for permitting me to testify today and I'll be glad to answer any questions.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Thank you very much.

Our first question will be Representative Ecker.

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: Good morning and thank you, Mr. Williams, for your testimony today. I appreciate and being a fellow Adams County guy, I'm happy to have you here --

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: -- other side of the count. But in any event, can you speak briefly, you know, we clearly identified that the economic benefit is there. You guys have outlined that but can you speak briefly about how that has improved since the trust fund has been implemented in 2017? Has it improved? Has it stabilized? It certainly doesn't sound like it's gotten worse. Can you just talk about that time period?

MR. WILLIAMS: Since 2017?

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: Correct.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 2017 was sort of a landmark year for us because it cured the, we thought, the uncertainty problem that had come up several times in the years before that. And we've seen steady increases in all economic measures within our sport since that time. And 2019 was the best year in history for sales, for race results. Pennsylvania is really looked to by our entire industry as a model on all of these things.

One of the state pharmacologists made the comment at a meeting that with her modern testing methods, she can find a grain of salt in an Olympic-sized swimming pool. So testing is becoming highly technological and highly effective. Pennsylvania is in the forefront of that. So it's reasonable to think that Pennsylvania will remain in the forefront of racing integrity. That's key to preserving the economic advances that you're asking about.

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: And you may have said this already but could you just briefly, you know, estimate how many jobs, you know, benefit from your operation? I know it's a tough --

MR. WILLIAMS: From the standardbred operation in Pennsylvania?

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: From your particular operation itself, just to give some perspective.

MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, okay. Well, we have 80

employees of our own and if you count all of the farmers we deal with, people supplying fence boards, other forms of feed, I would say at least 500 Pennsylvanians are economically largely dependent on our operation.

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: Thank you, Mr. Williams.

Thank you, Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Other questions from members for any of our panelists?

Representative Lawrence.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I appreciate each of you taking time to be here today.

Heather, if I could ask a specific question to you being that you're from my part of Chester County and I appreciate you taking time to be all the way up here in the capitol today.

You had mentioned, I think, in an interview with KYW kind of the impact that the equine industry has with the mushroom industry. And Brian, you had brought that up a little bit earlier as well. And you know, mushrooms are a big business in Pennsylvania. For those who don't know, roughly, these aren't the exact numbers, but roughly half the mushrooms grown in the United States are grown in Pennsylvania and roughly, actually it's a little bit more than half the mushrooms grown in Pennsylvania are grown in

Chester County within, I would guess, you know, about a 20 mile radius of where we are in West Grove.

Could you speak a little bit to the economic impact of just if we saw significant dollars flowing out or significant equine operations closing in Chester County what impact that would have to greater agricultural community in Chester County?

MS. HUNTER: Yes. I don't know the exact numbers, but I have talked to some of the farmers and the mushroom growers and interestingly enough, some of the mushroom growers also own race horses. The Manfredis are a very big mushroom family and they also are breeders and own race horses.

I know every week the big truck comes in and takes our manure away. And I know it takes a lot of people's manure away and they compost it and then use it in the mushroom houses.

I also was talking to a farmer that helps us bale our hay and he does a lot of farming for compost, not just hay for the farms, but he also sells his hay to the mushroom companies for compost. So when I realized that, because I didn't really understand that. I always thought that a lot of the hay that came in that was bad, that would go, but he does specifically do that.

My farm's not very big. It's 87 acres and we do

do our own hay with the help of one of the local farmers.

He helps us out. But a lot of the farms around me in

Chester County, in West Grove, are breeding farms and have

race horses. That land is open and a some of that land is

also farmed. The farmers lease that land for hay and

mushroom compost. This particular owner leases land from

other horse farms.

It would be devastating if you think of the -- I know for me, this is difficult because I felt like when I was driving up here, I'm driving up to plead for my livelihood and my life. And I've been doing this all my life. My family's been doing it for generations.

Just my little farm, if we had to sell it and it was developed, that farmer would lose that. What about all the other farmers and the other farms were sold and those farmers and the compost that went to the mushroom farms? They would have to go out of state looking for it. Right now, they're in Toughkenamon, I'm in West Grove. They're a couple miles away. They would have to go out of state and get it and it would be so expensive that I don't think a lot of the companies would be able to stay in business. I think that that industry you would find would start falling apart. And it's that trickle down. I told somebody, it's really not a trickle down. It's a flood, at least in my area and other areas that I've talked to people. It's

devastating to think what could happen.

And I don't usually talk like this, but I felt like I needed to come here for everybody that I deal with every day. I'm not a rich person and neither are the farmers in our area. We're just hard-working people. The mushroom people are hard-working. The farmers are hard-working. My vet was at my farm last night at 6:00 checking a mare that needs to be bred today, this afternoon. The blacksmith arrives at 6:30 so he can get everybody done. And I didn't mean to expand on that but it is, it's going to be devastating.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So just to -- and I appreciate your comments. And you know, I was surprised.

I was speaking with a large grower who -- I didn't tell him I would talk about this today so I won't mention his name -- but he's a large grower just south of Kennett Square who said they're bringing in materials for composting from South Carolina already which I thought was remarkable that they're bringing in, you know, kind of the raw ingredients for compositing from that far away.

And I don't want to, you know, again, kind of put the spotlight on you, but just if you could, just very briefly, dispel some of the myths that we heard about, you know, this money is going to, you know, multi-zillionaires and this is the sport of kings and all this. Could you

talk a little bit more about the economic impact of, you know, the local places you're buying grain and hay from or the vet. You mentioned the blacksmith, right, the local economy and the impact of that that the breeding fund has when it comes to that kind of local impact.

MS. HUNTER: Yeah. I mean, we're a breeding farm and we own race horses and a lot of the farms around me are the same. They breed and they race. And the farmers for their hay, their grain, the straw. I deal with a small feed mill, a small feed store. I buy, oh, a couple ton every couple weeks.

My vet, as I said, she has a family. It's a twoincome family. They have three kids and she's on her own
in this practice. And it would be devastating because if
her clients and, you know, we lost this fund and these
farms shut down, we weren't breeding anymore, her
livelihood would be over. That's what she went to school
for, she studied, was to be a veterinarian and she
specializes in reproduction. It's not like she can move
into something else. She is a reproductive vet.

My blacksmith, that's his livelihood. And it goes out to the guy that comes and fixes our tractor, our hay equipment, the store that we go and buy the baling twine from and the seed from and our grass seed and our fertilizer. We just had to do maintenance on the old barn.

It's an 1826 barn. We supported some of the, you know, they came in, the lumber business and the man and his crew that fixed the barn. Our fencing. The electrician. He's there today hooking up a new hot water heater that we bought from a local store.

I can't -- I mean, it just is so widespread.

It's really widespread. And the vanning company, you know, the van companies that ship. There's a local man lives right around the corner, that's his business. He ships horses, specifically race horses because they're good at doing that. I just can't imagine and that's why I'm here because I just can't imagine what these poor people are going to do.

And we keep saying the number. So when we say 20,000 that are going to be affected but they have wives, they have children. It's not just the employees. It's their families.

My son is at West Chester. He's in bioecology environmental science, land preservation. We may lose him to this state and he's great kid and he's really smart and he wants to keep this state great. That's why he's in what he's in. But if we don't have farms and we don't have the open space and we don't have the need for fresh water because, you know, we don't have the -- it's all been taken away, he's going to have to leave the state.

```
1
                 I had three people pull out and go, as soon as
 2
       this came out, three people pulled out of foaling on my
 3
       farm. Three people. Those mares were due this week.
 4
       That's huge for me. That's my business. I do foaling. I
 5
       don't just do it for myself. I do it for a lot of other
 6
       people too. Three people when they heard, they pulled out
 7
       and went to Maryland. That's big.
 8
                 I still have people that are on the edge.
 9
       don't know yet. They had booked their mares but now
10
       they're not sure. I don't --
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So I think that brings
12
      up a good point, the timing of the Governor's announcement
13
       I don't think really could have been much worse --
14
                 MS. HUNTER: Oh, it's devastating.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: -- because decisions
16
       like that are being made right now.
17
                 So again, I really appreciate you being here
       today and coming to testify here in the capital.
18
19
                 MS. HUNTER: Thank you.
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you for making
21
       the trip.
22
                 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2.3
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Thank you,
24
       Representative Lawrence.
                 Any other questions from members? Representative
25
```

Irvin.

2.3

REPRESENTATIVE IRVIN: I really appreciate you being here today and giving your testimony.

MS. HUNTER: Thank you for having me.

REPRESENTATIVE IRVIN: I mean, that's very heartfelt. Sort of like us, you know, every two years we have to sort of plead for your jobs and our livelihood just like you're standing here today. So I understand.

MS. HUNTER: You know that feeling.

REPRESENTATIVE IRVIN: So I understand where you're coming from.

Myself, being raised in central Pennsylvania dairy industry, you know, I guess your testimonies here today have really sort of changed my opinion on it. I wasn't here four years ago whenever we made the decisions on the Horse Race and Development Fund and the testing of the horse racing industry. So this is very educational to me.

You know, like I said, I looked at -- dairy was our big industry where I live and it's obviously failing right now or having some struggling at this point. Horses we always looked at as, you know, money in front and work out the back but, you know, there are a lot of growing, you know, small farmettes and everything in our area that are sort of building in the horse industry. So I think it's

something important to Pennsylvania.

I guess my question as I move forward is going to go to like the whole panel here. If this testing, the \$10 million that's set aside for testing would sunset and, you know, to keep the integrity in the Pennsylvania horse racing industry, is there an option that you've looked at or you've thought about?

And I know you're making a recommendation from the Horse Breeders Association to amend this that it'll sunset again in three years, but while we have it open, while we're looking at it, I mean, my idea is let's put in it. And is there, and I might need to get some clarification from the legal staff, why -- is there any flexibility in the Horse Race Development Trust Fund that, you know, if times get tough that we can maybe look at a different, you know, pull back some funding on the testing or anything of that nature?

So do you have a contingency plan, I guess, you know, moving forward?

MR. MOSTOLLER: Representative, we will always be in favor -- my name's Todd Mostoller. I'm the Executive Director of the Pennsylvania HBPA.

We will always be in favor of paying testing for our industry. The reason we had asked for the provision was because of the uncertainties and it was brought up

about the category 4 casinos. In 2017, the legislature decided we were going to expand gaming further and I can tell you that we will see a good amount of cannibalization from the category 1 facilities. In fact, my office is at Hollywood Casino in Grantville, close to here.

With York opening at the Galleria Mall, we expect that potentially 20 percent cannibalization of existing revenues from that category 1 facility. So the first calculation under Act 71 from 2004 has to do with the gross terminal revenue at the category 1 facilities. Well, if we see a 20 percent decrease there, we don't know what that resource development fund, if it's left untouched, what the revenues are going to be there until we see this already mature Pennsylvania gaming market become what I would deem over-mature.

Because all we're doing is playing the shell game. We're moving revenues from one facility to another with the category 4s. What is the impact of that going to be? We don't know.

I know the York Galleria is scheduled to open up in the fourth quarter of 2020 and then Morgantown will also open, I believe, in 2020 sometime. So until we actually see what the impacts are and then by the time the three-year recommendation is up, we will know that, but we will also be dedicated to our industry and paying to make sure

we maintain the integrity in our industry.

REPRESENTATIVE IRVIN: Just another follow-up question, if I may, other states like Kentucky, New York, who's more of a benchmark in the horse racing industry, is there any funding that goes in -- outside funding that goes into the industry itself, that you would know?

MR. MOSTOLLER: Absolutely. In every single major racing state in the country, other than California, but they also get money legislatively, it's not through alternative gaming because they do not have it at the race tracks, every state around us, New York, New Jersey gets a subsidy. They're also -- New Jersey, I've heard the Governor claim that they get \$25 million. They're a much smaller industry. They have what, Monmouth on the thoroughbred side and they have the Meadowlands which runs a shorter meet. They don't have the sizing thing. We have six race tracks in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Kentucky, they have another form of gaming. It's not slots. They call it instant racing and they generate hundreds of millions of dollars annually from an alternative form of gaming.

So if Pennsylvania, if that funding from the agreement in 2004 would go away, it would put us at a huge competitive disadvantage with every state around us because they all have alternative gaming. And that was the intent

when Governor Rendell led the charge in 2004. That was the intent because we had Delaware to our south and we had West Virginia to our south and they were drawing that business to those states.

And the intent of Act 71 was to put us back on a level playing field. Well, since then, Ohio has gaming.

New York has gaming. New Jersey has their deal. And it's interesting, a number of those states had reached out to us for a copy of our law and they used that as the blueprint for the creation of their race horse development fund in those respective states.

So this piece of legislation in 2004 was viewed nationwide as the pinnacle of legislation to do what this legislation did. And we as horsemen, have held up our end of the bargain every single year since. We're looking for some like actions on behalf from the legislator's standpoint. Because I think you've heard everybody speak. The stability of the fund is the number one key for investment and people have invested hundreds of millions of dollars and multiple times now they felt like the rug's been pulled out from under them after they've made those investments.

REPRESENTATIVE IRVIN: Thank you very much. I appreciate that.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Thank you, Representative Irvin.

2.3

You know, that brings up another question that came to mind is you're referencing other states around us and we know how important it is to protect the integrity of racing. How do those other states pay for their drug testing cost for horses?

MR. MOSTOLLER: I do not know the answer to that. A number of them, it'll come from their general fund like Pennsylvania was previously. I do not know the answer to how they pay for their testing. I know they have very similar programs. It's not as extensive as Pennsylvania is because, quite frankly, we have one of the foremost labs in the world through New Bolton Center. And everybody on this panel, our organizations, we all contribute hundreds of thousands of dollars to New Bolton Center to make sure — and outside of what we do within the Race Horse Development Fund. We make contributions outside of that because that's how important integrity is to our game and we know, we don't take for granted the stature that New Bolton Center and the University of Pennsylvania has and we try to cultivate that.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: I think that would be interesting to know specifically how the other states do it. That's something that I think we'll definitely pursue.

And as a follow-up also to my earlier question dealing with the request for additional auditing of where the money is going, you know, another thought came to mind, the industry has a significant number of members that sit on the State Racing Commission and it's the State Racing Commission that authorizes the expenditures. Has there been a direct request to the State Racing Commission members for greater information about where the money is going?

2.3

MR. MOSTOLLER: Representative Causer, our position on that is based on history that we've seen previously. A number of years ago, the auditor general did an audit and we found that funds that we being -- that should have been allocated for the racehorse industry were being diverted to other areas. And we would be remiss if we did not learn from that experience and make that request to ensure that dollars that are intended for the expenditure within our industry stay with our industry because it was rather substantial. It was multiple millions of dollars that were being allocated to other areas within the Department of Ag and we don't mind paying, but we want to make sure that those dollars are going to the intended use.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: And I understand that, but that was from the State Racing Fund. That wasn't

necessarily the money that we were transferring to the Racing Commission to pay for drug testing. You know, previously Brian had said you wanted greater accounting of where that money is actually going and how it's being spent. My question is, you know, has there been a request of the State Racing Commission to give detailed information, this is exactly where every dollar has gone that has been used for drug testing?

MR. MOSTOLLER: They give a report at every commission meeting and if we would ask for that information we could get that. We just want to make sure that the information we're getting is correct and accurate.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Okay. My understanding is that that information is not very detailed and so I'm trying to get to the point of, you know, what is it exactly that we're looking for that we're not getting right now? That's -- you know what I mean? We know what's being appropriated in legislation for things that we're paying for but, you know, what is that we're trying to seek out? If we're going to amend this bill to ask for a greater accounting, what is it we're specifically looking for that we're not getting right now? That's what I'm trying to dig into.

MR. MOSTOLLER: Just looking for one hundred percent compliance with the Act.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN CAUSER: Okay. Thank you.

Any additional questions from the members?

Well, I want to thank the panel for being here today and for testifying. I'm glad to hear of the support for House Bill 1983 and obviously, there's a lot of concern relative to the Governor's proposal and we're going to continue discussing certainly that proposal. It will be discussed this afternoon at the House Appropriations

Committee public hearing with Secretary Redding.

I do also want to point out that the category 1 casino operators were invited to attend today and participate but they declined to testify. We do have written letters of support for House Bill 1983 from Penn National, the Meadows, Greenwood Racing, and Keystone Turf Club, Mohegan Sun. So I want to put that on the record.

So with that, I thank you all and this meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

(The hearing concluded at 10:58 a.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings are a true and accurate transcription produced from audio on the said proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

JENNIFER FERENZ

Transcriptionist

Opti-Script, Inc.