COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
MAIN CAPITOL
HOUSE CHAMBER HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA
HARRISDURG, FENNSTHVANTA
BUDGET HEARING
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2021 10:09 A.M.
BEFORE:
HONORABLE STANLEY SAYLOR, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE MATT BRADFORD, MINORITY CHAIRMAN
HONORABLE ROSEMARY BROWN HONORABLE TORREN ECKER
HONORABLE JONATHAN FRITZ HONORABLE KEITH GREINER
HONORABLE DOYLE HEFFLEY HONORABLE JOHNATHAN HERSHEY
HONORABLE LEE JAMES HONORABLE JOHN LAWRENCE
HONORABLE ZACH MAKO HONORABLE NATALIE MIHALEK
HONORABLE TIM O'NEAL HONORABLE CLINT OWLETT
HONORABLE CHRIS QUINN HONORABLE GREG ROTHMAN
HONORABLE MEGHAN SCHROEDER HONORABLE JAMES STRUZZI
HONORABLE JESSE TOPPER HONORABLE RYAN WARNER
HONORABLE DAVE ZIMMERMAN HONORABLE AMEN BROWN

		2
1	BEFORE (continued):	
2	HONORABLE DONNA BULLOCK HONORABLE MORGAN CEPHAS	
3	HONORABLE AUSTIN DAVIS	
4	HONORABLE ELIZABETH FIEDLER (VIRTUAL) HONORABLE MARTY FLYNN (VIRTUAL)	
5	HONORABLE ED GAINEY HONORABLE PATTY KIM	
	HONORABLE emily kinkead	
6	HONORABLE stephen kinsey Honorable leannn e krbegge r	
7	HONORABLE benjamin sanchez ((W irtual)) Honorable peter schweyer	
8	HONORABLE JOE WEBSTER	
9	NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS:	
10	HONORABLE TIM HENNESSEY HONORABLE BARRY JOZWIAK	
1 1	HONORABLE JEFF PYLE	
11	HONORABLE CRAIG STAATS HONORABLE JOE KERWIN	
12	HONORABLE MIKE CARROLL	
13	HONORABLE JOE HOHENSTEIN HONORABLE BRIAN SIMS	
14	HONORABLE STEVE MALAGARI	
15	COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:	
13	DAVID DONLEY, MAJORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
16	RITCHIE LaFAVER, MAJORITY DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
17	ANN BALOGA, MINORITY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TARA TREES, MINORITY CHIEF COUNSEL	
18	TIME TRADE, TITIORETE COUNTRY	
19		
20	BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR P. O. BOX 278	
21	MAYTOWN, PA 17550 717-940-6528	
22		
23		
24		
25		

		J
1	INDEX	
2	NAME	PAGE
3	YASSMIN GRAMIAN (VIRTUAL)	4
4	SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	CUDMITTED UDITTED TO THE CETTON	37
11	SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMON * * *	Y
12		
13	(See submitted written testimony and	nandouts
14	online.)	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Madam
3	Secretary, are you there?
4	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Good morning.
5	I'm here, sir. How are you?
6	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: I'm doing
7	great, Madam Secretary.
8	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Great.
9	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: You are
10	going to be the only one testifying; am I
11	correct, Madam Secretary?
12	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: You're correct.
13	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good.
14	To get started, if you would raise your right
15	hand and I will swear you in, and then we'll
16	start with our first questioner.
17	* * * *
18	YASSMIN GRAMIAN,
19	was duly sworn or affirmed.
20	* * * *
21	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Thank you,
22	Madam Secretary.
23	And we will start off with
24	Representative Jesse Topper.
25	REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: Madam

Secretary, good morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Good morning.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: We know that this past year was certainly a challenge in terms of budgeting. We actually had to push off part of our budget until November. And it was about a day and a half before we enacted the second part of our budget that we had the request from the department for, at the time, 600 million dollars worth of bonding, and then later was pared back to about 475 million. That's a little bit of a jarring number, but probably what was more jarring was the timing of it, in that we had not heard about these shortfalls until right before that -- that budget was to be enacted. And, in the meantime, you know, we've gone through --Chairman Saylor did a letter, the treasurer, I know the governor's office got involved as well, as we tried to make sure we were in a position to continue with these projects.

Could you kind of explain to this committee what happened, how we got to that point from a cash flow standpoint and where

we're at at the moment?

ACTING SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sure. Be happy to.

So, as you know, we started the year, the fiscal year with a budget with absolutely no expectations that we were going to face a situation such as COVID, with a huge drop in the revenue that we saw starting at the middle of March. And, typically, the way we actually do our planning and programming at PennDOT, it's over a period of multiple years, two to three years, and we look at the forecasted budget that we see from the governor's office and the budget office, and based on the forecasted numbers, we develop our program, and we set a value for how much we're going to spend on the construction side, on the maintenance side.

Now, we monitor the revenue on a monthly basis, obviously. And as we get towards the end of the year, if we have a shortfall in the anticipated revenue, we adjust our program accordingly. And that was the reason that, back in '19/'20, we actually reduced the size of our letting program to 2.2

billion. And -- as well as '20/'21, we reduced it to 2.2 billion. But -- I'm sorry, '19 and '20. And so, you know, this is actually the normal process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What happened was, we got into the COVID situation, and we realized every month the revenue is going down. The traffic was at 40, 45 percent of the normal traffic through -- compared to the prior year. when the traffic goes down, the revenue goes down, obviously. We saw less of the revenue of the Motor License Fund coming into our treasury. As you know, 75 percent of our revenue comes in the gas tax. So, we saw a major decline in our revenue. We were extremely concerned about what's going to happen. And in July we started looking into where we are for the remainder of the year. This is after the seven-month's budget was passed in June, which was a reduced version. Immediately after that, we started looking into where we're going to be, because, obviously, you know, the program was set at a different level, with the projects that were out there already, and the revenue drops

drastically. Initially, we thought it was going to be around 800 million, but we saw traffic coming back. And the forecast that we were receiving from the Department of Revenue was around 600 million.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: So, you're actually saying it -- the closer we got to enactment of the second budget in November, revenues were actually getting better? Is that what I just heard?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: The revenue were getting better in compared to where we were in July. And coming to November, we still thought that we were going to have a shortfall of revenue by 600 million, based on all the forecasts that we received and everything. However, sir, we were counting on a lot of plans that never materialized.

We were hoping that the federal government would come through with some kind of a relief fund before the FAST Act expired end of September. There were a lot of the conversations about a highway/bridge CARES package, and we were hoping that we were going to get some.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: And in

December, the Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act was enacted, and that was 407 million for highways and bridges. Where —— what we enacted then in November, and now we're at now, can you give us —— as my time is running short —— can you give us a little bit of a snapshot of where we are at the moment?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes, correct.

So, the revenue actually did -- the losses in the revenue was reduced to 475 million by the end of November, and the reason for that was our appropriation level was increased back in the budget in November. Okay? We received the 407 million dollars in the federal relief package, and that a 407 million, most of it -- majority of it was spread in our construction projects and our letting projects. So, that's where we are right now.

Do we have a shortfall compared to where we were? Yes. And that is why we're reducing our letting program. We do have a chance to take a corrective action right now, because we are getting into a new budget season, we're adjusting our program. Our

letting is not going to be at the level that
we wanted to. We were hoping to be able to do
2.2 billion in '21/'22. We are going to keep
it at 1.9 billion, not to overexpand.

And part of the challenges we were having, sir, was because we were committed -- all the funds were committed to all these projects, and we had to find a way to pay for it. So, we are trying to be more careful about how much we are actually appropriating and spending on our projects.

REPRESENTATIVE TOPPER: I think reducing the letting until we make sure we know the cash flow is a good idea. I am not even so sure that bonding isn't something right now, with the interest rates, that we shouldn't look at. I just think that from a legislative standpoint, as we get ready for the budget, those conversations take months, not hours. And so, the more information we can get and the sooner we can get it I think the better off we can be.

Thank you very much.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 2 Representative Austin Davis. REPRESENTATIVE DAVIS: Thank vou, 3 4 Mr. Chairman. 5 Madam Secretary, thank you for joining us today. You know, I just want to 6 start off by saying, I sit on the Port 7 Authority Board in Allegheny County, and mass 8 9 transit, in our community and our region is a 10 lifeline for many working -- and struggling --11 class families particularly in the area that I represent, the Mon Valley. 12 13 You know, the pandemic has certainly changed everything -- everything across our 14 15 government sector. So, can you talk a little 16 bit about what you see the future of mass 17 transit looking like, pending the funding cliff that's coming up, pending the exiting of 18 the pandemic, all of those factors? 19 20 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, thank you for 21 that question. 22 The mass transit is in a very 23 critical situation right now, especially, you

mentioned, Allegheny County port authority, as

well as SEPTA, and we're very concerned about

24

25

the future of these two major transit agencies within our state.

We were delighted that the -- we're among the list of, you know, agencies receiving some relief through the CARES Act.

But this is a temporary fix for the time being, and we're very concerned about what's going to happen once Act 44 expires, which is in 2022, and what kind of source of funding we can identify to replenish the funding that's going currently to the public transit. As you know, majority of the money is going towards the capital program, some towards operational programs. But we are very concerned about the replacement of funding for Act 44.

What you mentioned about the impact of the COVID-19 on the transit agencies has been huge. It's been huge. And, you know, I understand SEPTA is losing a million dollars of revenue on a daily basis. I'm sure it's not much different in terms of percentage of the revenue with the Allegheny transit agency. We're very concerned about the future and the -- whether the transit system is going to go back into what it used to be in terms of

providing services that they used to with the ridership before COVID-19. They're working extremely hard, as you know, to make sure that they gain the confidence of the public so public feels comfortable using the transit agencies. Obviously, as people are going to get vaccinated, the transit riders get vaccinated, the operators get vaccinated, things are going to go back to normal. But until then, we're very concerned about how this is going to impact the services that are being provided by the transit agencies, major transit agencies.

You may have heard the testimony that was given by General Manager Leslie Richards, and she's talking about a number of different things to be able to manage, you know, the unfortunate situation, you know, including cutting services and lines and furloughs and a whole bunch of other things. People who are taking -- majority of the people who are actually taking these transit services, they depend on the transit services to get to their jobs, to their schools. A lot of them are essential workers. So, we're very concerned

about that.

We're putting a group together. It's being evaluated at the national level as well as state level on how this COVID-19 is going to impact the behavior of the public in terms of their confidence level of using the transit agencies, and we're hoping it comes back. But until then, we need to make sure that, you know, first of all, there is enough funding available, that they can continue with their operational costs, and then -- as well as we want to make sure that, you know, the condition and the states of good repairs for all their infrastructure is in place.

REPRESENTATIVE DAVIS: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Secretary.

I just -- I appreciate you delivering that sobering assessment of where mass transit is. I certainly want to be a partner with you and PennDOT to try to figure out that issue.

As you said and I think I said in my earlier comments, mass transit's a lifeline to many folks. I think there might be an opportunity in -- even in the fact that ridership is down, for us to maybe rethink the people that we're

serving. Maybe it's an opportunity to serve 1 populations that haven't been previously 2 served before. 3 4 So, I think we, as a legislature, and 5 you, as the department, need to be flexible to work with the port authority and SEPTA to make 6 those changes. But I look forward to being a 7 8 partner with you, moving forward, as it 9 relates to mass transit. 10 So, thank you, thank you for the 11 update. 12 And with that, Mr. Chairman, that 13 concludes my questions. 14 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next 15 questioner is Representative Struzzi. 16 REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Thank you, 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 And good morning, Madam Secretary. 19 In the line item budget for driver and vehicle services, there is an increase of 20 21 7.4 million, taking the total budget to 225.8 22 million in fiscal year 2021/'22. That's an 23 8.4 percent increase. And that is for a 24 program called Enhancing the Customer Service

Experience, I believe. And while I certainly

25

think that is definitely warranted, one of the top items we spend time on in our district offices are dealing with driver and vehicle services, constituent inquiries, issues that can't be addressed at the driver vehicle center at the Indiana mall and other issues with that.

for that question.

million dollars (sic) is going to be used to enhance the customer service experience and provide faster and more opportunities to have these things addressed without them having to come to our offices to deal with these issues?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes, thank you

There are a number of things that are happening with the driver's and vehicle services. First of all, when they talk about -- when we talk about enhancing customer service, it starts from the minute you get into the driver's vehicle service offices that we have, right, the locations. We wanted to make sure, when people come in, they have proper documents, they have -- they're not going to be spending a lot of time waiting,

going back and forth. So, we have created this triage for the customers when they get in, to be greeted and their documents get reviewed. We wanted to make sure, you know, they know which line to stand in and where to take the numbers, and if they have any questions, to address that. This is part of the in-person experience.

The other thing is, as you know,
we're actually digitizing -- making electronic
services available, I should say, to the
customers, you know. A lot of these services
that the customers couldn't do in the past,
now they're available to do it. They can use
a credit card to pay for any services.

The other thing that we wanted to make sure is, you know, facilities getting upgraded and updated for security reasons.

Those facilities that are actually being strengthened and improved for the REAL ID.

That's another area where we're spending the money.

The other thing that we're doing is, we are upgrading the system -- the antiquated system for DBS, you know, making sure they are

merging into one system. It's all part of the e-gov, and there is one-stop shop for everything, all services.

So, essentially, some of these are the kind of upgrades and updates that we're doing to the driver's and vehicle services that has required some expenditure and spending investment into it.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: And it also includes, I believe, an 11.6-billion-dollar -- is it an increase to maintain the photo fee?

Can you explain that?

mention maintaining the photo fee, there is -what I have to say is, the photo fee has not
been increased for several years. And right
now, we are losing money for the pictures that
are being taken, for customers when they are
getting a new license or new I.D. And that's
part of it. And we are actually allowed to
index the cost for the photo. So, we are
hoping to be able to do that, to recuperate
some of that cost.

REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: And just one final question, since I have some time. Our

Indiana driver licensing center in the Indiana mall, which I mentioned earlier, has been operating under extremely reduced hours, extremely reduced services, and it's my understanding that they're actually car pooling employees from the Punxsutawney license center to Indiana to staff the Indiana center while we are making customers in Indiana travel to Punxsutawney or Greensburg to get certain services.

Do you have any idea when the driver licensing centers are going to fully reopen? It seems kind of foolish to me to be car pooling from one driver license center to another when they could be fully open and providing those same services right in Indiana.

referring to driver license center or are you referring to the photo center? Because there are centers that are -- people can go and take their photos, but it's not a full center, and they're open two to three days a week. And what we have done is we have opened all our driver's license center as far as I'm

1 concerned, but the photo centers are not open. 2 REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Yeah, it's really -- people can't take their driver 3 4 license test at the Indiana mall, when they 5 previously could before COVID. So, they have to actually -- these kids have to go to 6 Punxsutawney or Greensburg to take their test. 7 8 And as far as I know, that's still the case, 9 and it is a photo center as well. Just -- we need to get this stuff 10 11 reopened and stop sending people all over the 12 place to take these tests is my point. 13 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sir, yes, you're right. And we need to make sure all centers 14 15 are open, but it's also a matter of resource 16 and distributing the resources across the 17 board to the state, to all the centers, to make sure that we are actually working 18 19 effectively and efficiently. REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Okay. 20 Thank 21 you. 22 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you. 23 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next 24 questioner is Representative Krueger. 25 REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: Thank you so much, Madam Secretary. Thank you for joining us for these hearings today.

So, I've got a question based on some calls that I've been getting in my legislative office. Last week, PennDOT announced a Pathways program that will enact new bridge tolling for nine bridges in the Commonwealth. One of those bridges, Madam Secretary, is the Girard Point Bridge, a double-decker bridge on I-95. And while it's located in Philadelphia, it is an important commuter route for folks who live in Delaware County in my district. I've got an economic concern about this and also a traffic concern.

So, my first question for you is this. Was a traffic study conducted before the Girard Point Bridge was put on this new tolling program?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Can you explain exactly what you mean by the "traffic study"? The traffic study is ongoing. So, currently, we're actually -- I can briefly tell what you we're looking into. We did a high-level traffic study to make sure that there is enough traffic on these bridges that can

provide the opportunity for us to create a project within a reasonable toll rate. So, that was the initial macro review of the traffic numbers, and the traffic numbers on Girard Point is pretty obvious to everyone. We have exact traffic numbers on those. So,

that's what we did in the past.

But as we're getting into details of this program, one of the things we're going to look into, in a micro level, is the traffic and revenue, because we wanted to make sure that, you know, again, the rate of the toll is going to be within the limits that's important to us, which is a dollar or two. That's one of the qualifying factors for picking all those bridges.

What was your next question, ma'am?

REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: So,

actually, on traffic, my particular concern is about traffic congestion, because anyone who drives on 95 has sat in traffic either getting onto this bridge or after getting off of the bridge. So, if we're going to be tolling it, my concern is that this could slow down traffic and cause even longer waits for folks.

1 So, has your traffic study looked at 2 the impact of traffic congestion for this 3 proposal? 4 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: We -- the way 5 that the bridges are getting tolled is 6 open-road tolling, as they call it. And, typically, what they do is they put a gantry. 7 8 Nobody stops to pay the toll. The cars can 9 drive underneath the gantry, and, you know, 10 they either have an EZ Pass and the toll gets 11 charged towards their EZ Pass, their account, or if they don't, it will take a picture of 12 their driver's -- from their license plate, 13 and they will bill the customers for the 14 15 amount of the toll. 16 So, there is no disruption to the 17 flow of the traffic. They don't have to slow 18 down. They can continue. They call it an 19 open-road tolling concept. 20 REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: So, there's 21 no reduction in speed limit before someone 22 approaches the tolling apparatus? 23 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: No, no. It's 24 not, ma'am. No.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER:

Okay. My

25

second question is an economic question. How much is the proposed toll going to be for this bridge, and will it be tolled in both directions or just in one direction?

mentioned earlier, we're trying to keep the tolls within a limit of a dollar or two. We don't know the exact number yet, as we are cranking the numbers and we are doing the economic analysis and we use our traffic and revenue model. And the consultants are going to be doing that. We're going to figure out the exact number. It could be a dollar. It could be two dollars. It could be a dollar sixty-seven. I don't know. I really don't have the exact number. That will be defined as the program gets further developed.

And you asked a question about whether it's going to get tolled on both sides, most likely it's going to get tolled on both sides, yes.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: North and going south would be charged.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. Again, we have to -- we are going to have more accurate

information. I don't want that to be, you know -- this is what we're trying to do, because we are trying to keep it within certain limits, right. We can eliminate one side for tolling, but what it's going to do is it's going to increase the tolling on the other side. So, we're actually -- this is going to be further evaluated and shared with the public.

REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: Madam

Secretary, my understanding is that this

proposal was approved by the public-private

transportation partnership board. What kind

of private partner would PennDOT need to move

forward with this proposal?

partner that PennDOT needs is a development entity. The development entity, meaning the folks who are actually funding the project.

They come in with their equity, and they invest into the project. They put a team together. The team includes designer, contractors. And there's going to be a lot of local contractors involved in this project, a lot of local designers in this project.

Typically, the development entity, 1 2 they don't come in with their own crew to do the work. They do this type of project as an 3 4 investment. And that's the private side. 5 And, of course, there's going to be all kinds of opportunities that we are looking into that 6 7 they should be taking advantage of that would 8 generate, you know, a low-interest rate kind 9 of an opportunity, bonding opportunity, or 10 other opportunities for the development entity 11 to invest into this project. 12 PennDOT is not putting any money into 13 the project, for your information. REPRESENTATIVE KRUEGER: Madam 14 15 Secretary, my time is up, but I do want to say 16 for the record, I do have some pretty serious 17 concerns. This is the only bridge in 18 southeastern Pennsylvania. This is a 19 high-traffic route, and I am going to request

concerns. This is the only bridge in southeastern Pennsylvania. This is a high-traffic route, and I am going to request some further evaluation and information for the legislators who serve the people who would be impacted by this toll.

Thank you, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN:

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN TOPPER: The

chair thanks the lady.

Madam Secretary, we are having some technical issues with your video feed; however, we can hear you fine, so we're going to continue. Our folks from our tech end will be looking at it. Your screen is frozen, but, again, we can hear you. So, as long as you're doing okay, we're going to continue with our questioning.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Okay, sir.

MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN TOPPER: All right. Next, the chair recognizes the lady from Bucks, Ms. Schroeder.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Thank you, Chair.

Good morning, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Good morning.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Morning.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the federal Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, as well as the CARES Act, provided PennDOT with a total of 154 million to distributed to local transit agencies. Additionally, Pennsylvania transit agencies received 1.4 billion dollars, direct

funding, outside of PennDOT's control.

What are these transit agencies using this funding for? And how will this impact their financial outlook?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, you mentioned the -- the way the money was distributed, we received 1.4 -- 1.1 billion of CARES money for the public transit agencies. And this money actually is -- and, by the way, the federal government provided the CARES funding directly to the major urban transit agencies, that being the port authority as well as the SEPTA. So, they received it directly.

Now, the CARES money that PennDOT received to be distributed to the public transit agencies, we used the funding to make sure that all the operational costs was being paid for through the CARES money, so that -- and this is just for the fixed route, for the share route, for all the public transit agencies within the state.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: So, I guess, to think long-term here and what direction we're going, what happens to mass transit when all the federal COVID funds are

fully utilized?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, it depends on which mass transit you're talking about. The urban ones, I just covered the situation on the port authority and SEPTA. We are very concerned about the future of SEPTA and port authority, and we wanted to make sure that hopefully the ridership comes back and the revenue comes back somewhat, there is enough money to cover them between now and when the ridership comes back.

But we are also concerned about both agencies having enough funding to be able to pay for the state of good repair and particularly the services they are providing. This is really important.

On the smaller agencies, we are actually working with the county public transit system that I'm referring to. We're working with -- Deputy Secretary Granger is looking into the amount of funds that we received under the CARES package and evaluating how long these funds is going to last. It appears that we will be good for the remainder of this year, into the next fiscal

1 year, but we are very concerned about what is 2 going to happen in the future years. Hopefully, by then, we're out of 3 4 COVID, life will go back to normal, and the 5 economy will pick up, and we shouldn't worry 6 about the stuff that we are worrying about 7 right now. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: So, you 9 feel that the COVID relief money that came in 10 will take them through into next year? 11 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. Yes. 12 REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: All right. 13 So, I just, you know, really want to emphasize the ridership for -- I live in Bucks County 14 15 and part of the southeast region, and SEPTA is 16 huge for our local economies and helping us grow. So, I think, as we plan here and going 17 18 forward, you know, we need to be looking long 19 term in, do we have enough, what do we need, 20 and then really communicate that and work towards that goal. 21 22 So, thank you so much. And that's 23 all. 24 I'll yield back my time. 25 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yeah. And I want

to emphasize that I don't believe the money we received from the federal government is going to be enough for SEPTA and port authority. The money that we received is going to be sufficient for the local public transit agencies. MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN TOPPER: Chair thanks the lady and thanks the Secretary.

Just an update for the members, due to the secretary's video feed being frozen, whenever the secretary's speaking, we'll show just a wide shot of this room.

Since the audio's working okay, Madam Secretary, we're just going to continue, as opposed to asking you to get in and back out of the Zoom meeting. We don't want to risk losing you. So, we're going to go ahead and just do it like that, as long as all the members are okay with it. I guess even if they're not okay with it, that's what we're going to do anyway.

Next, the chair recognizes the lady from Philadelphia, Ms. Bullock.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We cannot

And good morning, Madam Secretary.

1

2

3

5

6

22

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Good morning.

REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK:

In fact, one of the most notable moments and

4 separate transportation from racial justice.

separate transportation from racial justice.

landmark points in the civil rights movement

7 happened on a bus, when Ms. Rosa Parks refused

8 her seat. We also know that many of our

9 highways and roadways were built right through

10 the middle of communities of color and other

11 low-income communities throughout our

12 | country's history, separating and isolating

these communities from resources, jobs, health

care, quality schools, and so much more. If

we accept the fact that many of these

16 communities look the way they do today because

of the fiscal and policy decisions we made in

18 transportation in the past, then we can move

on and make investments in transportation to

20 correct that, rebuild communities and connect

21 them to the rest of us.

In doing so, I wanted to reflect on

the work that you have been doing in your

department to address racial inequity. I

25 notice that you have mentioned that in your

written report -- or written testimony, that you have been working in your department to dismantle systemic racism and inequities and that you hope to provide further details in the coming months.

your department had completed its own disparity study findings, with BBC Research and Consulting, which found that underutilization of minority— and woman—owned companies and businesses as it relates to contracting. Since a year from that report, and with the work that you have done in the past year, and the circumstances over which our country is reckoning with our own racial justice and inequity, can you please share with me any details in regards to the work that you have done, as well as any updates on the disparity study findings that was released in 2019.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sure. Be happy to.

So, talking about the disparity study, as you mention, the study was released in October of 2018, and we outlined some

measures that could be implemented to increase the small and minority- and woman-owned business participation in our contracting. We developed a steering committee to review the results and do some planning, short-term and

long-term measures, to address these findings.

We also put two work groups together, and there was much of the focus on, you know, developing the program that would address this disparity situation. One of the work groups looked into the programs that are available for diverse businesses within the Department of Transportation, as well as General Services, and also we wanted to make sure that there is enough information out there, there is education out there, there is partnership out there, and folks who are disadvantaged business enterprises or small minority businesses are aware of all these opportunities and the programs and so forth.

And then, the other thing that we were looking into is to make sure that we help testimony with the barriers to qualify to do work for Department of Transportation as well as DGS, what is it that they need to do or to

have to build the capacity, what kind of documentations they need, what kind of bonding and insurance they need to be able to qualify.

The other thing that we -- we are very focused on is to develop our mentor and protege programs, because we realize that, you know, hiring the folks is not enough. You really need to train them, help them out, put them with the folks who are a kind consultant and can assist them with the growth of the smaller firms.

So, we've done a number of different things. And, additionally, there are some other programs that we have introduced as a department, which is, specifically for small diverse businesses. And I'm really proud to report that since fiscal year '19/'20, we increased that program by 42 percent and -- compared to the prior year, and, you know, we did 25.1 million in '19/'20. And in '20/'21, we are hoping to actually achieve a higher number.

The other thing I want to mention is, you know, we're also just allocating some projects specifically for some businesses,

1	small businesses, to be able to compete on as
2	a prime consultant, and that's really
3	important to us, to increase their
4	participation in our programs in a number of
5	different ways.
6	REPRESENTATIVE BULLOCK: Thank you,
7	Madam Secretary. My time is running out. But
8	to the extent that you can share with me at a
9	later date any studies that you are doing
10	around planning, transportation, to improve
11	communities of color, I would love to discuss
12	that with you at a later time.
13	Thank you very much.
14	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sure.
15	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Chair
16	thanks the lady and recognizes the gentleman
17	from Wayne, Mr. Fritz.
18	REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Thank you,
19	Mr. Chairman.
20	And good morning, Madam Secretary.
21	We are sorry to see that we've lost the video
22	feed, but we can hear you loud and clear.
23	Again, thank you for joining.
24	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Good morning.
25	REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Madam

Secretary, this pandemic, along with the shutdowns and the governor's restrictions, have had a dire impact on mass transit ridership across Pennsylvania. In fact, just last week I was reading an article in the Philadelphia Inquirer, and that article — that article stated that SEPTA ridership is down 85 percent since pre-pandemic levels. And for our folks at the home, the folks watching this, I want to mention that SEPTA is an acronym. It stands for Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, and that authority serves Philadelphia and its surrounding regions.

So, Madam Secretary, running empty trains and losing a million dollars per day, this is SEPTA, our largest transit operator. What can you tell us about other transit operators in Pennsylvania?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: They are faced with similar situations there. Although, I have to say, the ridership is going up with the other transit agencies because of lack of choice. People -- it's not at the level that it used to be in the prior years, but, you

know, over 60 percent of the folks who rely on public transit within our counties, except for southeast -- I want to take southeast and southwest out of our conversation, as I covered extensively the story about port authority and SEPTA -- but the other transit agencies throughout the state, there are over 60 percent of our riders who depend on transit agency as the only mode of transportation.

They don't have -- they do not have any other ways or vehicles to get to their jobs, to their doctors' appointments, to whatever they need to take care of. And this is really important to us.

And for the longest time, you know, we were not able to collect any fare because we didn't have the proper installation of Plexiglass and all the requirements of the CDC in place, but we wanted to make sure that people can get to where they wanted to get during the COVID-19, and it still continues.

So, they're all faced with this challenging situation, of not generating the revenue they want. But as I said earlier, the CARES money is covering the cost of those

transit agencies for the time being, and we are hoping into the next year continues until life goes back to normal.

REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Okay. Okay.

Madam Secretary, I'd like to convey, please,
that my district and its many inexplicably
haggard roads, could very much benefit from
that injection of a million dollars. Again,
I'm using the SEPTA reference of a million
dollars losses per day. Now, that million
dollars would have a profoundly positive
impact in reshoring roads in my district, the
111th Legislative District.

So, Madam Secretary, please help me understand, as well as my constituents, help them understand, how we can and allow these massive sustained losses, however a private-sector business or a publicly held company could never survive or justify such losses. Please, help us understand why that can occur and what your agency is doing to stem those losses.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sir, when you talk about losses, you're referring to the losses to the transit agencies?

1 REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: I'm talking 2 about mass ridership losses. When I talk about a loss, I'm talking about a ridership 3 4 that collects a fare. 5 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Does that fare 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collection equal the cost to operate that transit?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. And as I mentioned, the transit agencies manage to survive through the money that they receive from the federal government through the CARES package and the later relief CARES package. So, that's how they manage to survive and recover the cost of losses that you're referring to.

REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Madam Secretary, and I just kind of want to extend a thought here, a notion that we are soon to see a toll on Route 81 in my district. And help us to explain how many vehicles a day are expected to travel and pay that toll charge, please.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, these tolls are being placed on the Route 80 (sic) in your district, and we have roughly around 33,000 ADT, average daily traffic, on that particular bridge. Which bridge are you referring to, sir? Nescopeck? We have about 33,000 ADT, average daily traffic. Around 30 to 40 percent of the traffic are trucks on that bridge. It's a major freight corridor. And it -- it's got some serious substandard issues that -- the curvature on the roadway; the condition of the structure; the substandard geometry; the bridge, which is substandard; there are some major safety issue with that project -- with that bridge, I should say.

And our hope is, through this program that we are introducing, that we could actually reconstruct this structure to the state of good repair, make sure that it's safe, it's brought up to all the current standards, it can tolerate the weight of the -- the current weight of the trucks that are using this facility, and it's going to last us for many, many years.

Again, this is a very important corridor for us. It's a freight corridor, and we -- now, more than ever, we wanted to make

1 sure that we are providing a safe facility for 2 the people within the corridor as well as the freight that's taking the e-commerce within 3 4 the corridor. So, that's the --5 REPRESENTATIVE FRITZ: Madam Secretary, please, if you'll just allow me. 6 My time is up, but I just want to end with 7 8 this thought, that we have a toll collection 9 on 81 in the 111th Legislative District that 10 immediately is going to help pay for a bridge, 11 but after that expense is covered, those funds 12 are going to go into the general fund and 13 could possibly subsidize mass ridership in places such as SEPTA, and I just don't think 14 15 that's fair. That speaks to an injustice. 16 I'll let my comments. 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 And thank you, Madam Secretary. MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN TOPPER: Chair 19 20 thanks the gentleman, recognizes the lady from 21 Philadelphia, Ms. Cephas. 22 REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Thank you, 23 Mr. Chairman. How are you this morning, Secretary? 24 25 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Good morning.

Thank you. How are you?

REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: I'm well.

Couple of quick questions. So, I first want to follow up with some questions that my previous colleague asked, Chairwoman Donna Bullock, in reference to the minority-inclusion report regarding

minority-owned businesses and contracting.

I want to get your thoughts on -- as we eventually move out of COVID-19, as you're getting dollars from the federal government, and as we're having a conversation about a larger infrastructure package, again, coming from the federal government to stimulate our economy, how do you -- how do you envision the work that you've been doing with minority-owned businesses being a part of that recovery?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: That's a great question. Thank you so much.

So, if you follow -- first of all, we're very anxious to see, you know, what's going to come out of the federal government.

And, if you followed the U.S. DOT Secretary Buttigieg and what he's saying and where he

wants to invest the money that's been much talked about, the 1.9 trillion or whatever that number is going to be, in infrastructure and transportation. Obviously, we don't have the details, but we know infrastructure includes broadband, education, as well as alternative clean energy, electrical vehicle technology, and so forth. That's a big part of where the investment is going go to. And when he talks about transportation, there is much focus on safety. Economic development and jobs is a big part of it. Social equity is a big part of it.

Now, there is much emphasis on this issue. And Representative Bullock talked about the highways that we've actually put through the neighborhoods and the communities, where it divided the neighborhoods and the communities. We wanted to make sure that, when we're investing into the projects, that everyone is going to benefit from it.

I talked about my toll project or the P3 program. We wanted to make sure that we don't impact in any harms way the folks who are actually in the vicinity of those

projects. It's part of the study that we're going to do later on with the environmental impact studies. Obviously, the communities that are going to be impacted will be evaluated, and we'll make sure that there's some kind of a provision put in place that, you know, reduces the impact and mitigates the impact.

So, the majority of the funding that we're going to see probably out of this next transportation bill or the relief package is going to be on the transportation side.

Again, as I said, safety, economic development, jobs, and social equity.

Innovation is also a big part of it. And also resiliency, making sure that we're addressing the climate change that is actually taking a lot of our resources right now to address it.

He just released -- U.S. DOT just released the notice of information for grants, 900 million dollars. And the way these projects are being evaluated, there is much of the focus on what are these projects going to generate or impact the climate change and how is it going to impact or improve the situation

with the racial equity.

So, these are the criteria that are being currently considered for the new infrastructure and transportation package.

REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: I appreciate that response, especially with an eye towards racial and social equity. But one of the things I'm a firm believer is that if it's not measured, it's not managed. So, ideally, as we're anticipating this infrastructure package coming down the pipe, I'd really like to see the department set some goals at the forefront so, again, we're being intentional about the recovery being equitable across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

So, I'd definitely like to follow up in reference to that.

My second question is centered around -- just as we are moving, again, out of COVID-19, and we're really trying to, you know, grapple with the new world that we'll be moving into, prior to COVID-19 there were a couple of public policy issues that were considered -- being considered in several counties, one being implementing congestion

taxes, the other being local municipalities establishing infrastructure banks.

Can you speak to just some of the innovative policies that we were considering prior to COVID-19 that you will really be aggressively looking to implement or recommending to implement as we move out of this pandemic?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sure. Be happy to.

Pathways program, this is a program that -it's a very comprehensive funding program to
address the funding needs Department of
Transportation, and it's not just one area.
Highway and bridge is the one that we're
pushing forward with our P3 program, but we
also wanted to make sure that we're addressing
all modes of transportation. The multimodal
is a big part of it. We had a lot of
discussions about the funding that's going to
transit systems: SEPTA, port authority,
public transit.

We also wanted to make sure there is enough money for our maintenance program. Our

1 maintenance program is being flat at best.

But, you know, we are actually losing revenue

3 on investing into, you know, into our

4 maintenance business because the cost of

5 personnel is going up, the material, we have

6 to manage the cost. So, there's multiple

7 issues that we're faced with on the

within our infrastructure.

8 maintenance side, too.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We -- as I have been saying in my previous testimony, we try to be as efficient and fiscally responsible with the money that's coming to the Department of Transportation.

Currently, we're seeing a huge loss of revenue in compared to -- I shouldn't say "loss of revenue" -- inability to spend in compared to where we wanted to be. There is a big gap in the funding that we have and the need to spend

We're estimating to be around 8.1 billion on an annual basis for our highway and bridge program, and that's why we are focused on this pathway, to be able to address some portion of this need that we have through this programs that we're introducing.

Again, it's introducing 2.2 billion

1	dollars worth of projects, with nine bridges
2	out there. It's going to address nine major
3	structures. And it's going to pay for it.
4	It's not coming out of our transportation
5	motor license fund and the transportation fund
6	that we typically spend on our highway
7	bridges. We are through this program,
8	we're going to be able to spread the money to
9	the other state and local bridges and highways
10	that are in much needed, because, as I've been
11	saying, the interstate system has been taking
12	a lot of our resources, as we have not spent
13	enough money and invest enough money in our
14	interstate system.
15	REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Thank you,
16	Secretary.
17	Thank you, Chairman.
18	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you.
19	MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN TOPPER: The
20	chair thanks the lady and recognizes the
21	gentleman from Venango, Mr. James.
22	REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you,
23	Mr. Chairman.
24	Good morning, Madam Secretary.
25	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Good morning.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: 1 I'd like to 2 begin, please, with an issue that I think it might be just in District 1, my district in 3 4 northwest Pennsylvania, although it may extend 5 over the entire commonwealth. I'll be brief. A couple months ago, it came to my attention 6 that the district manager had informed 7 8 local -- the local municipalities and the 9 chambers of commerce that no longer would we 10 be able to use state roads for such thing as 11 5Ks, parades, street fairs, things of that nature, which are fundraisers for local 12 13 charities and local chambers of commerce. the only possible route around that would be a 14 15 hold harmless, indemnity-type thing, which the 16 municipality would grant. I'm wondering if that's true 17 18 statewide, and if it is, is there anything we can do to soften that rule a bit to take care 19 20 of our constituents and our citizens. 21 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sir, were they 22 able to use -- are these state routes you're

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: I'm talking

about state roads. And for as long as I

23

24

25

referring to?

remember -- and if look at me on the screen, I
can remember a long time -- these roads have
been used regularly for these events.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. Well,
I'll be happy to look into it. But I can
share with you that, you know, in accordance
with federal highway requirements, we can't
actually issue permits for special events on
the state routes, but it has to be evaluated,
and, you know, we coordinate with the locals.
But, typically -- and this came out -- this
issue was -- this issue came up during the
COVID-19, when we received a lot of special
event permits, to be able to -- for the
business owners to be able to use those
facilities.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Let me interrupt you. That made sense, but we're coming out of other side of this COVID-19 thing, I believe, and if we can get waivers granted for some of these people, who will have insurance, such as a chamber of commerce to make sure there's insurance, we'd appreciate that very much.

But let me move on and ask another

question, if I may. Since you're on the board of the Pennsylvania turnpike, I wonder if you could address the issue of the recent increases in tolling on the turnpike only and what has been the effect of the travel, number of cars and trucks using that facility, and whether or not we anticipate continuing to increase 5 and 6 percent a year on the tolling. What do you anticipate the revenues are going to look like?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, to answer your question, yes, there will be increase to the tolling on Pennsylvania turnpike, and that's due to the commitment that was made under Act 44 for the turnpike to pay PennDOT, initially it was in the order of magnitude of 1 billion. This was prior, when the state was looking into tolling I-80. But when that didn't happen, the amount was reduced to 450 million, which is under Act 44. So, this is the Act 44.

Under Act 89, will expire, the payment of the turnpike to PennDOT by 2022. However, the debt for the turnpike is huge.

It's around 14 billion. A big portion of the

14 billion obviously is from the money that the turnpike has been paying to PennDOT, ultimately gets transferred into our public transportation, the multimodal services and public transportation that we talked about. And as part of that act that was put together, it was also agreed that, to make up and to be able to make the debt payment, that the turnpike will be increasing their totals annually by 6 percent -- to -- up until, I believe it's, 2045, but don't quote me on the year. I can get you the exact number, the exact year on how long they're going to be increasing the toll rates. But that is all tied together to the payment they've been making to PennDOT, initially close to a billion, now to 450, obviously it's going to go down. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Madam

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Madam

Secretary, I understand why the revenues are needed. My query was what is happening to the revenue stream? Are people finding other routes to go and avoiding the turnpike?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Well, they are --

there is -- obviously, it's hard to tell right

now because there is a combination of things that's going on. COVID-19 has reduced traffic numbers throughout the state. Obviously, turnpike is seeing less of the traffic, too. So, we can't say if the reduction of the traffic -- it's not a huge reduction, if there is any -- I'll be happy to get you the numbers -- but it's hard to quantify if the reduction is due to the COVID, less people are commuting, myself included and many of our colleagues, or it's because of the increase in the toll.

many people, a lot of people, actually prepared to pay the tolls to have a smooth ride. It's the best ride you can get on the Pennsylvania turnpike. I have to give them lot of credit for keeping the quality up there, their roadways, and also keeping — making sure it's safe and it's the fastest alignment to go from one place to another.

But there are people who prefer not to pay the tolls. They don't believe in paying the tolls, and they take other routes, and they have the time, they are not anxious

1 to get to any place, you know, any sooner, and 2 it's okay with them. So, that's where we are. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Okay. And I 5 thank you very much for that response. SECRETARY GRAMIAN: 6 Thank you. MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN TOPPER: Chair 7 8 thanks the gentleman. 9 Madam Secretary, I know it's tough 10 virtually because you can't see our 11 red/yellow/green button system that we have, 12 but there might be a time, as we continue 13 later into the morning, if you hear me ask you to wrap up your answer, that means we're 14 15 probably significantly over that time limit. 16 So, I don't want to be rude. It's hard when I can't see you, but I just wanted to make you 17 aware that of that. 18 19 With that, the chair recognizes the 20 gentleman from Lehigh, Mr. Schweyer. 21 REPRESENTATIVE SCHWEYER: Thank you, 22 Mr. Vice Chairman. 23 Madam Secretary, thank you for your 24 candor, for your answers and your support. 25 You know, today's been interesting so far, because we've had kind of a change in the tone here a little bit. We've heard positive things being talked about mass transit. In my six and a half years now, or six-plus years, as a member of the legislature, you know, usually that doesn't happen, usually transit, unfortunately, has been a one-sided, partisan issue. Now there's been love on both sides of the aisle for SEPTA, which is something that is fantastic.

But for me, who doesn't live in the SEPTA territory but lives in the LANTA territory, I'd like to point out and remind everybody that mass transit covers every county in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, not just the five counties in the southeast and Allegheny County for the port authority. And because of that, the concern that I have and the mass transit systems both large, small, media across the commonwealth have about the long-term sustainability and funding for our transit systems as a result of the turnpike funding, you know, I'm concerned about that. And we've talked about that periodically through the last couple of years,

certainly since I've been on the Appropriations Committee.

So, Madam Secretary, if you would, could you give us some sort of idea -- I don't think there's anything in this budget package about either providing a -- no pun intended -- but an off-ramp from that funding or looking to replace that funding for our mass transit systems for across the commonwealth?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sir, you're referring to Act 44?

REPRESENTATIVE SCHWEYER: Yes.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Okay. You're absolutely right. Again, as I mentioned earlier, this PennDOT pathway program is also a comprehensive funding plan to address the replacement of Act 44. And what we've done so far -- and it's work in progress -- is we are actually working with our partners, the southeast mobility partner, the southwest mobility partner. I don't know if you had a chance to look into the report they put together. This was years' worth of work and working with the partners in the southeast and southwest who are being impacted by this Act

44, developing the reports with multiple alternatives.

Additionally, reaching out to -we've reached out, I should say, to the
planning partners in southeast. We reached
out to DVRPC to see what other thoughts and
suggestions they have. There are some
statewide solutions to that. And there are
some regional solutions to that.

At the end of the day, you know, what we can do is provide a menu of the options that's out there and see, you know, what folks have appetite for. I mean, we wanted to work with the legislators. We wanted to work with the partners in southeast, southwest throughout the state, you know, representatives from all areas, to make sure that we do have a funding solution.

Act 44, when it expires, according to law, the replacement of the funding should come from sales tax, vehicle sales tax. But, right now, that is not available. It's being spoken for to other sources and other spending and part of the general fund. So, we're very concerned about what's going to happen.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHWEYER: I

understand, Madam Secretary, that vehicle sales tax is supposed to be the follow-up money, but, candidly, it's really just part of the general fund. You know, it was a way to, I guess, perhaps provide long-term sustainability, sustainable funding. But, candidly, that's really just 350 million dollars, whatever the dollar figure ultimately is, that would come out of our general fund. So, whereas we talk about that as dedicated funding, it really isn't. It's just money out of our general fund.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Correct.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHWEYER: However,
you know, you mentioned local options there.
We've heard a number of questions today about
decisions about tolling various bridges, and,
you know, the kind of impact that that may
have. I mean, I -- call me a skeptic, but
before I was ever elected to the House, there
were a number of people that supported that as
a way to come up with a funding solution that
doesn't necessitate perhaps the legislature
being involved in those conversations because

of our general lack of -- I don't want to say concern -- avoidance of providing funding solutions for the stuff that we all want.

Pushing a transportation solution off onto local governments, when they all -- every county already funds our local transit systems, is not going to be a viable solution.

That is us pushing our responsibility onto the locals.

Last question, and I only have thirty or thirty-five second left, but since you can't actually see, I'm going to guess you have a minute. If you could mention, has there been any talk within the department about consolidation of the smaller transit systems?

talks. And there's been conversations going on. But nothing has been finalized. And if there is any changes, definitely we will get you engaged, and we are working with the transit agencies. Obviously, we wanted to make sure, if there is any consolidation, that we're not taking any services away. And any savings from the consolidation is going to go

back into servicing the public.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHWEYER: There would be employee issues to keep in mind with that as well. But I'll leave it at that, Madam Secretary.

Mr. Vice Chairman, thank you, sir.

MAJORITY VICE CHAIRMAN TOPPER: The chair thanks the gentleman, recognizes the gentleman from Lancaster, Mr. Greiner.

REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Madam Secretary, for being here.

I want to follow up on what we've talk about the P3, you know, project and bridge program, and my colleague from Delaware County a while ago -- you were starting to explain the process. I think we need a major bridge/P3 101 class here. You had mentioned that this -- this project would generate 2.2 billion dollars in investments across the commonwealth. Now, just help me understand this. You were explaining to my colleague -- you were explaining to her that we have groups of businesses, contractors working together,

that PennDOT has no money invested in this at all, is my understanding. That they have all the investment.

My understanding is that PennDOT will maintain ownership of these roads, but, yet, there'll be a contract, maybe thirty years, where, the contractor's going to be responsible for the maintenance and the upkeep.

I guess a few questions. With my background as a CPA, I'm trying to figure out the accounting here. How do the contractors make their money? How does that work over a period of thirty years? How do they get paid? How does that work up front to start with? And then, how did you determine -- how do we determine what the level of tolling -- how do you determine what that would be per bridge?

I guess, in addition to that, I want to know how much revenue is going to be generated. And I had another colleague of mine, he had made a comment that that extra money will go into the general fund, but I don't -- I want to clarify that. I think it goes into a restricted fund -- I think you

even mentioned that -- for bridges only, not necessarily the bridge that's tolled, but the other ones throughout the state.

So, I wanted to maybe get an idea of the accounting -- the accounting of the money, how the contract is made, and those details, if possible.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you for asking that question, sir. And I didn't have a chance to -- actually to address the comment that you're colleague made, but this is an opportunity for me.

So, let's start with how this program is going to work. PennDOT is going to go into an agreement with a development entity to design, build, finance, operate, and maintain those bridges within the package. And there might be two development entities going after these nine bridges. And we are going to bundle them and distribute the work.

The way this program is being paid is -- or actually I should say it's funded -- is through the toll revenue. This program is designed to raise revenue, to address the state's -- you know, obviously the need of

this project, and it's going to -- the way
we're going to figure out the tolling amount
is, we figure out the cost of the
reconstruction of these projects, design of
the projects, how much it's going to cost for
the entity to maintain the projects for a
period of thirty years, how much it's going to
cost to collect the tolls. There's going to
be the back-office operations for toll
collection, which we're going to rely on
Pennsylvania turnpike -- we're partnering with
them for the back office operations. So, all
of these costs of administration, design,
construction, maintenance, is going to be part
of the cost.

The other thing that we will consider is there's going to be a reserve fund, because we wanted to make sure, if something happens to any of these structures, that there is some money allocated on the site that could be addressed. Let's say there is flooding, let's say there is another COVID situation, God forbid, and we lose some revenue that we were counting on, so we wanted to make sure that, at any given point, that this program is

solvent and it can pay for itself.

And based on the total number that we come up with of the entire cost over the life of project, we will figure out the tolling and also the traffic that uses this program, that goes over the bridges. We will figure out the tolling. And, again, initial calculations, we wanted to make sure the toll is not going to increase over a certain amount, it's within certain amount.

Now, if there's any -- putting all this cost together, if there is any excess revenue, it will go back into this system. It will go back into the districts as well as the planning partners. It will not go into general funds. I want to clarify that. It will not go into general funds. We cannot take the money from the tolling and put it into general funds. We are not allowed to do that under the P3 law or the Section 129, which is tolling the bridges.

Now, there may be --

 $\label{eq:REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: I'm almost} % \begin{center} \begin{center} \textbf{I} & \textbf{I} &$

But let me just ask, so, it's not

necessarily a breakeven, but we are generating revenue. Do you have an idea of what the average total revenue will be generated over that thirty-year period? Do you have an estimate of that?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Average monthly?

REPRESENTATIVE GREINER: My original question included, do we know what the total revenue generated annually would be. I know early on it might not be as much revenue, but if you annualize it, do we know how much revenue the state's going -- how much revenue, how much profits --

know that. We will know all those numbers, because the contractor or the development entity is going to get paid through availability payment, which is the money that we're going to collect from the tolling, and we make -- going into an agreement that we will make certain payments annually or maybe biannual -- I don't know how the agreement is going to be formed -- but we're going to make payments to the development entity based on the revenue we collect from the tolls. And we

should have all that information once 1 everything is finalized, with our traffic and 2 revenue and the cost of the project. 3 4 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you, Madam 5 Secretary. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 7 8 Representative Steve Kinsey. 9 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 11 And good afternoon, Madam Secretary. 12 Madam Secretary, earlier you 13 mentioned your concern as it related to Act 89, which sunshines in 2022, I believe. You 14 15 also talk about the loss of revenue -- over a 16 loss of revenue as well as the lack of 17 ridership on public transportation. And I agree with your assessment that long-term 18 19 sustained investments is critical for our communities to thrive and for residents to be 20 21 supported. 22 In 2020, you created the Office of 23 Alternative Funding. Madam Secretary, can you speak towards the progress of that office that 24

25

you created?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. So, this
PennDOT Pathway program is the program that
was initiated under this Office of Alternative
Funding. And the first project out of the
office or out of the program is the PennDOT P3
bridge program.

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: And in regards to recognizing that it just started in 2020, has there been any type of cost savings or any development regards to savings or additional funding that you foresee that's not coming in the general assembly or the federal government?

it, sir, this is an alternative funding initiative. And it's an alternate to the normal sources of funding that we are receiving, which is the motor license fund, gas tax, and the fees. And we are considering other options.

I mean, the first one is this P3

bridge project, which is going to be

completely paid through availability payment

from the toll revenue. We will evaluate other

options, such as managed lanes, construction

lanes, congestion pricing -- I'm sorry. There is going to be fees that will be included, and I mentioned earlier, you know, the different kinds of programs will be considered to address the transit funding that we need. But the first item that came out of this office is how to pay for the big need that we have on the highways and bridges.

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Great. And I appreciate you sharing that, Madam Secretary.

I want to jump to something else. I believe that yesterday PennDOT issued a memo that stated that expiration dates on commercial driver license and commercial learner permits have been extended and that the final extension is March the 31st, 2021.

My question to you, Madam

Secretary -- you may not necessarily have this information with you -- but can you tell me roughly how much money we generate by the renewal of commercial licenses and registration on a yearly basis?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sir, I can't give you the exact number. You're asking about commercial licenses. All I know is 75 percent

of our funding comes from motor license fund, and the rest is registrations and licenses and other fees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Okay.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Madam

Secretary, no, that's fine. I appreciate

that. I wasn't sure we had that information.

But the reason I'm bringing this up is there is bipartisan legislation -- and really this is not really for you but it's also for my colleague to understand this -there is bipartisan legislation that was just introduced in which -- in which we have Democrats and Republicans who have signed on to this legislation that would extend the expiration date of some of the PennDOT products. Instead of -- and, again, I appreciate what the administration has done in regards to the extension, and this wasn't the first extension. But, you know, we're looking to extend the PennDOT products for ninety days after the conclusion of not just this governor's declaration of disaster emergency but any governor's future declaration of

disaster emergency.

And the reason I asked the question about how much money we generate, I recognize that with the -- dealing with this pandemic, that we, as a state, are concerned about the revenue that's coming in, but I think, more importantly, we also have to be concerned about the businesses that operate in the state of Pennsylvania as well as the citizens who live here and don't want to put any type of undue financial pressure on any individual because of a pandemic that was not of their call.

So, I'm looking forward to working with the administration, and as I mentioned, this is a bipartisan effort to extend PennDOT products as it relates to commercial driver's license, commercial renewal, and registration. But, again this is a bipartisan product. This is a bipartisan effort to extend these products. And first and foremost, I think that we need to be concerned with the health, safety, and welfare of our citizens as well as the vitality of our businesses going forward.

So, we look forward to working with

1 the administration on legislation like this. 2 So, thank you, Madam Secretary. SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you, sir. 3 4 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 5 Representative O'Neal. 6 REPRESENTATIVE O'NEAL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 8 Thank you, Madam Secretary, for being 9 here. 10 I just want to go back to the P3 11 bridge tolling and, just a point of clarification from the previous question on 12 13 it. Do we have a projection of total revenue through this tolling program? I was a little 14 15 unclear by your previous answer. 16 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Total revenue --17 well, when you say "a projection of total 18 revenue," this program is going to generate around 2.2 billion dollars, somewhere of 19 2.2 billion dollars worth of --20 21 REPRESENTATIVE BRIGGS: And that's 22 annually, Madam Secretary? 23 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: No, it's a 24 one-time. It's for this program, for this 25 group of nine bridges. And it will generate

2.2 billion of funding to pay for these nine bridges.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEAL: Okay. So,

2.2 billion over the course of the thirty

years -- do I understand that correctly?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Well, the way the program is going to roll out is, we're hoping to go into construction in 2023, and this is when we're going to see a lot of work coming into -- I know, to the market on the construction side, on the labor side, on the supplier side. There's going to be a lot of design work between now and then for the consultant side.

As you know, PennDoT spends 75

percent of their -- of its revenue on the private side of the business. 75 percent of PennDoT's revenue go out actually to the contractors, to consultants, to suppliers.

So, this is an additional 2.2 billion that, over a period of -- and we think the program is going to get completed, in terms of construction, within three to four years. So, let's say, if the program starts, goes into construction in 2023, we're hoping that all

1 bridges are constructed by no later than 2026.

And that's a good amount of work for the industry between now and then.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEAL: I would certainly agree with that as well.

So, when we talk about these development entities and the contractors that are going to end up doing this construction work and the maintenance work, are there any -- is there any consideration or have we gone down the path, are we going to restrict this to Pennsylvania entities? Are we going to give preferential to Pennsylvania entities to do this work?

that there is going to be local contractors -this type of program, sir, usually the P3
programs, they're all being completed and
constructed through the local contractors. As
you know, the one we just wrapped up, the
Rapid Bridge Replacement, actually employed
over forty to fifty contractors to get the
projects completed.

Typically, the development entity, they don't have their contracting crew or even

designers. They solely rely on the local resources to get the projects done, not just for the construction part of it, but also over the period of thirty years, for the maintenance, they're also going to rely on the

local contractors to get it done for them.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEAL: Right. Thank you for that. And I think that's a pretty important component of this, to ensure -- if we're going to go down this route to toll these bridges and affect the local communities through this tolling, that we're also focusing on ensuring that this is supporting

Pennsylvania jobs as well.

You know, specifically talking about that tolling, you know, one of the issues I think I have locally -- and, you know, I'm from the southwest region, so the Bridgeville bridge over Route 50, I believe is, will certainly impact my district and my constituents. And one of the major issues that I see with this concept is really that, from my understanding, the tolling is to begin when construction begins in 2023. So, my constituents, if they're driving to the city

of Pittsburgh, will have to pay a toll, both north and southbound on I-79, for the privilege of driving through a construction zone for three to four years.

What's your response to the local community as local commuters have to incur these costs simply to -- to put up with additional traffic, additional construction traffic?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yeah. That's an excellent question, sir. It's a very fair question.

And I tell you, first of all, nothing is final yet, nothing is definite. We don't -- typically, this is how it goes.

Typically, we're allowed to toll the infrastructure, the bridge, as soon as we go into construction. We can put up the toll booths -- not the booths -- the toll gantries, I should say, and start collecting tolls. We are, by law, able to do this, Section 1129. However, we are also very concerned about the concerns that we hear, and we got to take that into consideration.

So, we are going to look into, first

of all, whether it's going to be feasible to put the toll gantry. The other thing that's really important to us is, as I mentioned, many times we wanted to make sure that the toll rates are being kept in a reasonable range. We don't want it to impose a large toll the people. And that's another thing that comes into consideration. If you start tolling earlier, the rate is going to be kept lower. And that's why the federal government is encouraging that if you can start tolling, collecting tolls, at the beginning of the construction, you can keep the rates lower.

So, that's another thing we need to consider. Okay. How much is going to impact the toll rate if we delay the collection of the toll. If it's like ten cents, twenty cents, not much, it's not going to have a huge impact on the commuters, then maybe that's a different story.

So, all these factors will be considered when we are actually doing the evaluation of when and how much and where to put the gantries.

REPRESENTATIVE O'NEAL: Thank you,

Madam Secretary. Looks like I'm out of time. 1 2 You know, one of the things I was hoping to get to is really just to talk about 3 4 funding alternatives, and hopefully you're 5 willing to work with the general assembly to consider all options before we toll our 6 constituents. 7 Thank you. 8 9 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you. 10 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next 11 questioner is Representative Brown, from 12 Philadelphia. 13 REPRESENTATIVE AMEN BROWN: Thank 14 you, Mr. Chairman. 15 And thank you, Secretary, for being 16 with us today. 17 I want to start off by reading a 18 quote from the Department of Transportation's 19 mission statement. It says: Enhance, 20 connect, and add value to our communities by 21 providing a sustainable, equitable 22 transportation system and quality services for 23 all. 24 So, in my district, we get a lot of

seasoned citizens, which is senior citizens,

25

1 but I like to call them seasoned citizens.

They come into our offices, and they have

3 complaints about paying both the driver's

4 license fee and then also the REAL ID fee.

And that cost is roughly around sixty-eight

6 dollars.

So, the first question is, what is your department doing to make REAL ID

9 accessible and affordable?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, your citizens don't have to get both. They can get one or the other. If they wanted to use their I.D.s to travel domestically, we would encourage them to get the REAL ID, because then they don't have to carry their passports or other, you know, proof of I.D. that's required for domestic travels. They don't have to get both.

and they do want to get a REAL ID, what's going to happen is, when they -- when we issue them the REAL ID, the cost of it -- sorry, not the cost -- but the amount of time left under driver's license will be added to the REAL ID. So, if they had three years left on their

driver's license, with the REAL ID, they're going to have another three years added to their time. So, that's the option.

Now, the other question is -- so, what was the other question, sir? I'm sorry.

REPRESENTATIVE AMEN BROWN: So, the question -- well, that question was, what is your department doing to make it accessible and affordable. I know there's a lot of paperwork involved with the REAL ID.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: There is a lot of paperwork involved in the REAL ID. There is a lot of systems change involved with REAL ID.

We did not get any guidance from the federal government how much to charge for the REAL ID.

It varies from state to state. Some states charge much less than what we are, and some states charge much more than what we are. So, we kind of took the path in the middle. And, honestly, the amount that we're charging doesn't cover all the costs to the department, because, as I mentioned, you know, Homeland Security requires -- Department of Homeland Security requires certain strengthening improvement to our system for security

1 reasons. So, we try to find a middle path 2 here on how much we are charging our citizens 3 for REAL ID. 4 REPRESENTATIVE AMEN BROWN: Okay. 5 Thank you. Next question is, how does your 6 7 department work with the Department of Aging about how this fee might possibly negatively 8 9 affect seniors in Pennsylvania? 10 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: You're referring 11 to the REAL ID fee, sir? 12 REPRESENTATIVE AMEN BROWN: Yes. Or 13 just any fees. 14 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: We do collaborate 15 quite often with the Department of Aging as 16 well as Human Services on a number of 17 different programs that we have. We recently 18 completed the program that was enacted for the 19 homeless I.D., which went into effect on 20 January 25th. We're very proud of that. 21 With regards to REAL ID and how we're 22 going to work with the Department of Aging, we 23 really haven't come up with any plan to reduce 24 the fees for senior citizens.

REPRESENTATIVE AMEN BROWN: Okay.

25

And so, based on the PA State Data Center's

2017 detail population estimate report, 2.2

million people in Pennsylvania that are

sixty-five and older, so the cost for housing,

food, and services continue to rise in

Pennsylvania and around the country.

Could you give us -- could you give us, as legislators, suggestions on actions that we can take to address how REAL ID requirement costs affect the older Pennsylvanians living on fixed incomes?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, with regards to REAL ID, sir, I currently don't have any solution to offer, to be honest with you. But I can tell you, we have a lot of consideration of the senior citizens through the public transit system that we are providing for them, our shared-ride, fixed route. There is all kinds of programs for senior citizens that would reduce the burden on them. I mean, we realize it's a burden, and -- especially during COVID-19, we went out of our way, making sure that those folks who are actually relying on the public transit can continue using the services. We put special hours for

We made sure that we're in touch with 1 them. them and making sure that our -- needs are 2 being met. 3 4 We even made some changes, working 5 with our partners on the shared rides. They 6 did things that, you know, they typically don't do but they wanted to accommodate that. 7 8 So, these are some of programs that we tried 9 to -- I mean, not tried, we've actually put in 10 place to assist the senior citizens with the 11 needs. REAL ID, I haven't come up with any 12 13 solutions yet, but I'm glad you're bringing it up. We can certainly look into it and see 14 15 what we can do about. 16 REPRESENTATIVE AMEN BROWN: All 17 Thank you. My time is up. right. 18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Madam Secretary. 19 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: 20 Sure. 21 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next 22 questioner is Representative Doyle Heffley. 23 REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Thank you, 24 Chairman. 25 And thank you, Madam Secretary, for

testifying today.

I have a couple of questions

regarding the -- I mean, this is a huge shift

for the state of Pennsylvania to go to the

tolling of these selected nine bridges. And

I'm very concerned, as a previous -- my

colleague had mentioned about the impact of

any types of tolls or increases, whether it be

licenses or registrations, on those on fixed

incomes and those that may have a tough

ability to pay.

So, we are looking at tolling these nine specific bridges in certain lanes, right? I think Route 80, I think there's three bridges that you're targeting on Route 80 and one on I-78. Has there been studies done as to what that impact will have on businesses, manufacturers that operate in there? So, what would be the cost estimate for, say, a tractor trailer or a vehicle to drive over one of these bridges in one way? And I'm assuming the tolling would be in both directions, east and west?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Correct. Well, for most part, but we haven't finalized it,

sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Okav. And that's going to be up to the person who essentially -- I mean, they're going to -- the private partnership will essentially own these bridges. I voted for the P3 bill several years ago, never with the intent to allow this type of activity under the P3, when we voted for the P3 bill that I understand the legislative intent was projects similar to what we have many Virginia around the beltway, Washington, D.C., where a company will come in and put in maybe additional express lanes and charge a toll optional. I never intended to toll these bridges.

So, it's a thirty -- it will be a thirty-year toll that will be on the bridge?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. The toll will be for thirty years. And once the project -- that's going to be the life of the contract with the development entity, which is thirty years. After thirty years, PennDOT is -- does not have the authority to collect tolls. Therefore, you know, whether there's going to be a change in the legislation to

allow and enable PennDOT to continue

collecting tolls and it will be continued as a

toll facility or are we going to another

agreement for the maintenance with another

private entity, that's how the tolling can

continue. Otherwise, after thirty years,

PennDOT is not going to be able to collect any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tolls.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: I mean, I'm really very concerned and disheartened by this proposal. I know back before my tenure, Act 44 was passed, and what that legislation ultimately did was bury the Pennsylvania turnpike in 14 billion dollars in debt, that myself and my children and my grandchildren will be paying for to subsidize mass transit agencies. And I understand the need certainly for mass transit, and I'm not saying we don't have to figure out a system for that, but to try to put all that burden on the turnpike and the ridership on there and the toll increases, and I see the same type of scheme right now playing out again.

What kind of economic impact study has been done in those corridors where these

tolls are going to be put on? I mean,

obviously, those costs are going to be -
those costs are going to be transmitted to

who's ever receiving the product or the person

who is commuting. So, what kind of economic

impact is that going to have in the

communities that rely on these corridors where

these bridges are tolling? What studies have

been done?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. So, that's a great question, sir. And the answer is, we are actually in the process of ruling out a NEPA study, which is a National Environmental Policy Act, and that -- this study will evaluate the impacts on many aspects of this project through tolling as well as reconstruction of it. We will evaluate all the environmental impacts --

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: But what about jobs, jobs and the economy? Obviously, we have -- we're looking at, you know, a proposal for a massive PIT increase, an energy tax increase, a natural gas increase, a tolling increase. In the meantime, people are shut out of work. Their places of business

where they would work at, a lot of them closed down, and they're hurting.

So, what about these manufacturers?

What about the dairy farmers in these areas that rely on the shipment of their product to go to market? So, you're going to -- if you're looking at two tolls, it could be up to forty dollars round trip in additional fees.

Who's going to absorb that? What kind of study has been done to say that maybe people in New York and New Jersey are going to buy their dairy from elsewhere because of these tolls?

I mean, has there been a thorough economic study before this proposal was rolled out? I'm waiting for a study. This is going to have a tremendous impact on everybody, whether it be in the I-95 corridor or the I-80 or I-78, on the farmers, the manufacturers, and every working-class resident in this commonwealth. I just don't think it was very well thought out.

It's nice to say 2.2 billion dollars in a financial -- looking at the money, but the impact -- because we're going to -- the

89 1 Pennsylvanians are going to be paying that tax, and they're going to be losing their 2 3 jobs. 4 Thank you. 5 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: May I answer that question, sir? 6 7 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Sure, 8 Madam Secretary, you may answer. 9 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yeah. Well, one 10 thing the gentleman doesn't consider is the 11 economic impact if we don't do anything. These bridges are not in a safe condition. 12 13 And there is thousands and thousands of, as you mentioned, trucks -- dairy trucks, 14 15 manufacture trucks, e-commerce -- going over 16 the bridges, not to mention the public that 17 are using these bridges. These bridges, all 18 of them almost, except for Girard Point, are 19 coming to the end of their life expectancy. 20 The money that we're spending year over year 21 to maintain these bridges in the state of good 22 repair is exuberant. It's huge. It's a huge 23 drain on our revenue.

We take that money and put it into your local system and your roadway system.

24

25

1 This is important. The safety of the people 2 is our responsibility. Thank you. 3 4 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Thank you, 5 Madam Secretary. Our next questioner is Representative 6 Torren Ecker. 7 8 REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Madam Secretary, for 10 11 being here. 12 I'm going to shift gears here a 13 little bit and just want to talk about the economic impact of some of the widespread 14 15 construction closures that happened last --16 last spring. Specifically, we know the 17 construction industry was shut down for 18 approximately seven weeks, maybe even a little 19 longer once we got things up and running, which obviously impacted transportation 20 21 construction. 22 My question for you, Madam Secretary, 23 is what impact did this have on some key 24 projects? We're talking a lot of bridge

construction today. What major setbacks did

25

that long-term closure by the governor cause PennDOT?

Well, sir, initially, we were obviously very concerned that we stopped the construction, but we were also very concerned about the safety of the public, the people -- the contractors and the construction workers as well as our own people who are going to be on the sites. And we actually partnered with the industry to come up with ways to address the concerns and the type of protocols that we need to put in place to make sure we create a safe environment for everyone.

We started the construction of the emergency projects immediately, within two weeks. And in six weeks, all construction projects resumed their activities and they were back into construction. The costs that we saw as a result of that was less than .5 percent of the total cost of all these projects. But, in the grant scheme, we actually have very many success stories ever since the construction began in terms of seeing the COVID-19 cases at the construction site.

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: Madam

Secretary, if I may, just as a quick follow-up -- or maybe I should have asked this first -- before those closures, did you have any conversations with the governor about the closing of the construction industry and its impact?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: I did. Not before, but after we received the Executive Order, I did have conversation with the governor. When we were getting ready to resume the construction projects, I actually talked to the governor, and I said, These are measures that we've taken, and we feel comfortable to make sure that the folks who are working at the sites are being -- you know, following the protocol that takes care of them and they're safe.

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: Great. And that's -- I'm glad you had those conversations afterwards. But it sounds like you didn't have them prior. Is that what you're saying? Prior to the shutdowns.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Prior to the shutdowns, no.

And then

finally, Madam Secretary, I just want to ask
the question, again, going back to kind of the
costs of the shutdowns, was PennDOT or the
Commonwealth forced to pay any kind of
penalties or late fees or, you know, because
contracts weren't completed? I think you kind
of addressed this. But just specifically any
delay costs that were associated with the
shutdowns?

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER:

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, I have to say something, sir, that the fact that the traffic numbers are down helped the industry and they expedited their schedule. They managed to get more work done during these last nine months or so. So, in terms of the schedule, they were not -- not much of a delay, if anything.

Obviously, there were some cost associated with the shutdown because, you know, they had people, they had to mobilize and demobilize. And there were some costs that they incurred because they stopped and they started, and then, you know, with the personnel side and other stuff that, you know, the materials being ordered or put on hold.

And -- but in the grand scheme, it wasn't a huge deal, no.

REPRESENTATIVE ECKER: Thank you for your time, Madam Secretary.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is Representative Rosemary Brown.

REPRESENTATIVE ROSEMARY BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, Madam Secretary, for all of your good work.

Obviously there's been a lot of talk today about highways and bridges and revenue streams, which is the reality of what we're dealing with, especially with the COVID-19 situation. But a lot of the calls that I receive and a lot of the conversations we have back in the district offices also are regarding three-digit and four-digit state roads. And I know you mentioned just a couple questions ago about revenue and money being able to go down into the county offices.

And my concern really is where are we at, what do you expect to be the funding level streams to the county maintenance offices? I mean, between paving and lines and potholes,

all the things that people drive every day, where do you see us at with that? And what are the expectations?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: That's a great question. Thank you so much.

And I have to tell you, as I said earlier today, you know, the revenue on the maintenance side hasn't been growing; it's flat. But we ended up spending 240 million dollars less between last fiscal year and this fiscal year investment into our maintenance budget. And part of it is because the revenue was not there, and we lost the revenue. And -- but what we managed to do is, we actually looked into our maintenance program, we wanted to do more. We want to do some major preservation work on some of these local roads, roads with lower number of traffic, but, unfortunately, we weren't able to do it. And we have to manage the activities and the work that we were doing on the maintenance side to match the budget that we had.

So, you know, we tried to take care of all the maintenance work, as much as possible, but we'd still like to do more. I

mean, we have over 20,000 miles of local roads 1 that are state-owned and haven't been 2 reconstructed for over, I should say, twenty 3 4 vears. This is a major need that we have. 5 And part of the reason, actually, Representative, that we're doing this Pathways 6 7 program, the bridge P3 program, is to be able 8 to shift some of the money that we're 9 currently spending on our interstate system 10 into our local roads as well as state routes. 11 I heard numerous times from your colleagues 12 about their concerns on some of these other 13 major state highways that are not seeing enough attention in terms of investments. 14 So, this is really a big reason that 15 we are actually pushing this P3 bridge 16 17 program, to be able to disperse and spend the 18 money on other systems. REPRESENTATIVE AMEN BROWN: 19 Thank 20 you, Madam Secretary. 21 Also, and then continuing with that, 22 have there been more conversations -- I know I 23 have asked previously when you were not into

have there been more conversations -- I know I have asked previously when you were not into your position regarding the formulas used to distribute those dollars to our local county

maintenance offices. There has been some conversations about those formulas not taking everything into account.

Are you aware of that and is there any work being done on that?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes, I am aware of it. I remember you were -- that was your concern last year. And we heard your concern, and actually we've put a team together at highway administration, and we're looking into the formulas. We are looking into expenditures. We are looking into ways we can actually reduce costs to put more money into some of those other areas.

So, we're looking into it now.

REPRESENTATIVE ROSEMARY BROWN: Thank you, Madam Secretary. And, you know, it's something that I hope that we can get some more details from you and the department this upcoming year. I know it's been a tough past year, so weren't able to move forward with that.

But I thank you for your work, and I look forward to seeing some of the details on that. Thank you so much.

1 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you. 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is Representative Hershey. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE HERSHEY: Thank you, 5 Secretary, for being here this morning. And thank you, Chairman. 6 My question is related to 7 registration stickers on -- that used to be on 8 9 license plates and the accompanying revenue. 10 So, when we passed Act 89 in 2013 -- and, 11 granted, I was not here at the time -- PennDOT 12 estimated that removing registration stickers 13 from license plates would save PennDOT 1 and a half million dollars annually, and that 14 15 eliminating the registration sticker would not 16 result in the loss of compliance for people 17 getting their vehicles registered. 18 So, we removed stickers on January 1st, 2017. Between 2010 and 2016 Pennsylvania 19 20 had an average annual increase in vehicle 21 registrations of just over 115,000 22 registrations per year. And that's using 2010 23 to 2016 numbers. 24 As soon as stickers were removed, 25 Pennsylvania lost, on average, the 234,000

registrations per year. And if that's 2017 to 2019, that's roughly a drop in revenue of 18 million dollars.

So, my question is, do you think
there's any truth to the claim that because
there is no longer stickers on license plates,
and because there's no proof of registration
that's visible to law enforcement, do you
think there's any truth to the claim that
there's no visible means of knowing that a
vehicle's registered and that people are just
refusing to comply because of that?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: I don't believe so, sir. We have no evidence to think that people are not doing their job because there is no sticker.

REPRESENTATIVE HERSHEY: Okay. So, what do you think -- and I know that there is a study that suggested that. But what do you think accounts for that drop in registration during that time?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: I don't have that answer. But I don't think it attributes to the stickers, the registration stickers.

We've looked into it. We've actually

evaluated. We don't see -- we haven't seen any evidence to say that, you know, the registrations went down. And I don't know where you got your numbers, sir. I'm sure you got it from a good source, but we have to verify that. But we have no indication that, you know, people are not following the rules because of that -- because of the sticker.

REPRESENTATIVE HERSHEY: Okay. We did pull these numbers from the PennDOT website that has registrations over time.

And, so, I do believe that it is a good source.

My next question is, have you realized that one and a half million dollars in savings?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: We have realized actually 12 million dollars in savings since 2017 for a number of different -- from a number of different areas. We have 7, almost 8 million savings in postage, almost 3.5 million in sticker inventory, almost half a million on saving paper and envelopes, and also the resources, the human resources that we have to use to send these out.

The other thing I have to mention is,

I don't know if the stats that you're actually

pulling up is for one year, but, typically,

the registration, it's a biannual registration

that's becoming available, so what you see is

for two years.

REPRESENTATIVE HERSHEY: Okay. And still, though, my next question is, you know, people are still paying double the price for two years. I just had to renew my registration recently, and I'm familiar with that process. But it seems that, even if it was more than a million and a half dollars that we're saving annually, if it was 12 million since 2017, it still seems that, in my opinion, we're losing 51 million dollars in terms of people not renewing their registration to save 12 million dollars. I don't know that this necessarily makes fiscal sense to me.

So, I was just curious if you have any timeline for correcting this, or if you do believe that there's a legislative fix that's possible for this.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: We do not see any

reason to correct this. We don't see this being a problem, sir.

Obviously, if there are legislators that think this is a problem, there's been bills introduced, the Senate Bill 954, which would reinstate the registration sticker, and it was given consideration in November of 2019. There a House bill, 1509, and was amended in September of 2020, to reinstate the registration sticker. I mean, if your colleagues think that this should be reinstated, I mean it can be debated, but we don't see a need for it.

REPRESENTATIVE HERSHEY: All right,
Secretary. Well, that actually concludes my
time. I do believe that this bill, the House
bill that you mentioned, passed on a pretty
overwhelming bipartisan basis last year out of
the Transportation Committee. So, I'll
continue talking about that with my
colleagues. And I believe I have other
colleagues with questions related to this
issue.

So, thanks for your time. I'm out of time now.

1 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you. 2 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is Representative Zach Mako. 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE MAKO: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Madam Secretary, for 6 7 being here today virtually. 8 So, as my colleague from Juniata 9 County just mentioned, we were just talking about registration, just a quick follow up on 10 11 that. Has Pennsylvania noticed a decline in registrations since 2017, since that Act 89 12 13 went into effect? SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sir, I can look 14 15 into it and get back to you. I don't want to 16 provide any inaccurate information. 17 REPRESENTATIVE MAKO: Oh -- and, once 18 again, these are definitely not "got you" 19 questions. This was definitely put into place 20 before you and were on the scene, so I'm not 21 trying to throw stones at all. So, yeah, if 22 you can give like a full accounting of the 23 registration, that would be great, so we can 24 see.

Just to touch on the biannual fee,

25

1 there is no discount for getting the two-year 2 instead of the one-year. And I know because I'm a sucker for it. I bought the two-year, 3 4 and my finance professors would be upset with 5 the time value of money. Is there any appetite to change that, 6 7 to give a little discount to potentially 8 promote a two-year registration? 9 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Not that I'm 10 aware of, sir. 11 REPRESENTATIVE MAKO: I was just going out on a limb, just wanted to see. 12 13 Just using some data that I was given 14 from the Department of Transportation, just 15 going back to the registration, I guess. 16 the surrounding states, they have the 17 stickers, and they've just seen an increase in 18 registrations in the last three years. Maryland's up a little, Virginia, Ohio, and 19 20 New York. Just something to consider. 21 Do we have a mode to actually check 22 to make sure that people are registered 23 without just running the license? 24 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: I have to look 25 into that, too. I'll get back to you.

REPRESENTATIVE MAKO: Okay. No worries on that.

And then my last question, we've been hearing from other secretaries in the last few days, and especially with the federal administration in place right now, there's been a huge push for -- lack of a better term -- renewables and using electric vehicles and going electric. You mentioned earlier, if I was listening correctly, you said 74 percent of the motor licensure fund is derived from the gas tax. Is that correct?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: That's correct.

and, once again, this is not a "got you"

question -- what is PennDOT looking at as far
as moving forward with electric vehicles and
trying to fill that gap? If there is a big

push for electric vehicles and more consumers
are purchasing electric vehicles, then I would
imagine the motor licensure fund would take a
hit. What is the Department's solution for
that moving forward?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, House Bill 1392 was introduced last year and much

1 debated, and there was a structure being put 2 in place, a fee structure for electrical vehicles. And, you know, there were some 3 4 debates over that for commercial vehicles, 5 noncommercial vehicles to be charged a fee. And we actually spent a good amount of time --6 7 this bill was introduced by Chairman Carroll. 8 And they did quite bit of investigation and 9 looking into the charges throughout the United 10 States, different states, and they gathered 11 information, and apparently there was a high 12 end, there was a low end. The high end was 13 around 270 dollars for the commercial vehicles and the low end was about -- I don't 14 15 remember -- 150, whatever. So, we took the middle of the road, charging 150 per year for 16 17 passenger vehicles and 250 for motor homes.

And then there much of a debate for the weights, how to -- you know, what is considered the weight for the electrical vehicles. Should the weight of the battery be included? At the end of the day, I guess the bill never made it through the floor. But I'm hopeful that it will get reintroduced, and there will be some fees in place to charge the

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

electrical vehicles.

And the other thing is, you know,

technically, those who actually do have the

hybrid car or electrical vehicles, they are

supposed to report the usage of it, so we can

actually charge them the right amount. But we

don't get that kind of a report. We

understand there is over -- almost 11,000

electrical vehicles in the state as of now;

hybrid, around 36,000; 46,000 all together.

And when we look into how much has been

reported, ends up 2,000 electrical vehicles.

So, we are hoping there will be some

So, we are hoping there will be some changes to the fees that are going to be introduce.

REPRESENTATIVE MAKO: Thank you,

Madam Secretary. I appreciate your responses,

and I'll look into that 1392, as my time is

up.

Thank you, ma'am.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Our next questioner is Representative Natalie Mihalek.

REPRESENTATIVE MIHALEK: Thank you,

25 Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Madam Secretary, for being with us today.

I just wanted to quickly follow up on the registration stickers. When those were eliminated, the Department of Transportation intimated that law enforcement would be able to quickly identify a vehicle's registration through the use of license plate readers. A grant program was actually to be established through PennDOT to assist local police departments in outfitting their parole vehicles. The vehicles in the state police fleet were to be outfitted with the readers as well.

In testimony from a 2019 House

Transportation Committee, the state police

testified that there are only six readers in

the entire fleet. Testimony also revealed

that the grant program was never established.

At a cost of 18,000 dollars for a single reader, and that doesn't include the installation -- as I understand, it needs to be mounted to the front fender -- or the cost to maintain it, it is cost-prohibitive for most police departments. In fact, of the 108

police departments in Allegheny County, I can count on hand how many patrol vehicles are actually able to afford this reader.

I believe it has also taken a toll away from law enforcement. A previous statement made by my colleague with the, you know, the toll taken away from law enforcement and then the previous statement intimating that there is a revenue loss associated with this.

Would PennDOT be, you know, open to supporting legislation that would require a visible registration sticker?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, with regard to the grant program you mentioned, it's my understanding that we offered the grant program to the legislature, but, ultimately, the general assembly declined to include that language in the legislation. I'll be happy to look into it further.

And, I'm sorry, what was your question? Again, we will be happy to work with you. I mean, we can discuss the specifics, but it appears that this is a major concern. It has come on up several times, and

we will be happy to work with you and look into it.

REPRESENTATIVE MIHALEK: Thank you.

And as I understand regarding the grant program, it was to be 1 million dollars, not appropriated by the general assembly but actually coming from existing funds within PennDOT's budget. But I'd be happy to discuss.

I just want to switch gears here just quickly. I represent parts of Allegheny and Washington County. The southwest region of the state accounts for about 20 percent of the revenues from the sales and use tax as well as the PIT, yet when it comes to spike funding, the southwest only sees about 11 percent. Spike is, of course, a mix of the state and federal funds at the discretion of the secretary of Transportation.

So, over the next twelve years, the southwest region is slated to receive 10 percent of the allocated 3.6 billion dollars in discretionary spike funds. I just want to compare that to Philadelphia and Harrisburg, who will receive 41 percent of those funds.

Can you comment as to the imbalance,

it seems, in the discretionary spike funds?

4 program our projects is over multiple years.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, the way we

5 And also, what we -- we have two separate

6 buckets of funding. There is the interstate

7 funding, and there is the tip funding. And

8 after we take all the money that's allocated

9 to the interstate, we put it into the

interstate bucket, we see how much is left,

and then based on the amount that's left, we

distribute it based on the formula, ma'am.

So, this formula is something that's

14 being discussed during the planning process

with all the planning partners. Obviously,

it's the commitments, we have to honor the

commitments that were made in the prior

18 administration.

3

When you refer to the spike fund, the

spike funding, again it's over a period of

several years, and when we start a project, we

22 wouldn't really stop the project in the middle

of it for any reason, and we continue to the

end of it. And that's why sometimes there is

some imbalances in the money that's being

spent from one region to another.

But over a period of time, I'd say

twenty years, you see this spike money is

shifting from one region to another. There is

a time that there are some big projects going

on in central Pennsylvania. There could be

some big projects going on in the western

Pennsylvania. There could be some big

projects in going on the northeast part of the

state and then in the southeast part of the

state. It's the commitment to the project

that will create this spike funding

allocation.

REPRESENTATIVE MIHALEK: So, in looking over a period of years, I was speaking to the last thirteen years, where the southwest region was only receiving about 11 percent of the discretionary funding. And then looking ahead for the next twelve years, and you brought up the interstate money, we are slated to receive 13 percent of that. And if you look at the rest of the state, so Philadelphia and the Harrisburg region are actually going to receive 35 percent of that money. And if you're looking at the central

1	portion of the state, they're going to be
2	receiving well over half. So, it just seems
3	like maybe "imbalance" is the incorrect word
4	to use, because it seems, you know, beyond an
5	imbalance, but there's it's not fair to the
6	southwest region of the state that does make
7	up that 20 percent of our state revenues
8	collectively, that we are not able to receive
9	those discretionary funds for the last twelve
10	years and for the next 13 moving forward.
11	And it looks like I'm out of time.
12	So, I thank you for your time today.
13	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Appreciate it.
14	But I just wanted to make the point that we
15	invested a billion dollars into the
16	reconstruction of I-70, so that's part of the
17	interstate system that we have to invest in
18	it.
19	Thank you.
20	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is
21	Representative Clint Owlett.
22	REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Thank you,
23	Mr. Chairman.
24	And thank you, Secretary, for being
25	here today.

I'm not going to -- I don't have any questions really on the P3 tolling stuff, just want to make a comment on it quickly. You know, we're in the midst of recovering from a pandemic. We were given a proposal that increases taxes and cost of living for everyone in the commonwealth. And here is another proposal that's being put out there for comment, for us to talk about, that literally will raise the cost of living on every single person in Pennsylvania. And I think it's just worth mentioning that timing is everything. We are in the midst of recovering from this pandemic. This is not the time to be having this conversation.

And I realize that there's infrastructure that needs to be fixed, I get that, but there are other governors and there are other, you know, states that are approaching this recovery differently, and that's what I -- I hope that we can just maybe press pause and really look to see how we can make sure folks can get in their homes and get back to work quickly.

Speaking of, like, real-life issues,

challenge for folks in my district and I'm sure all the districts across the commonwealth here. So, my question is, what adjustments have been made -- we're talking handicapped placards, license plates, all these documents. You know, PennDOT has been very, very slow in this. And I realize that there's going to be an adjustment and that people are working from home, but, why haven't we seen that increase? Why is it still such a long wait for these important documents that people rely on each and every day?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sir, for a while, as you know, the driver licensing centers were closed, and a lot of these documents were actually being extended so they weren't expired. People could continue using the current documents they had. We wanted to make sure at any given time nobody is dependent and not having the proper documents, when, you know, we were under COVID and we had to shut down some of our services.

So, all documents were extended, and we still continue to extend the expiration

dates on all the documents.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: What about new documents, such as handicap placards, registrations, titles -- registration, I realize you extended those -- but new documents? That's kind of what I'm talking about right now. Documents where -- you know, we have businesses that are trying -- and employers that are trying to purchase a vehicle to remove snow. And it just takes forever. They cannot get that license back for that vehicle, and then they can't, you know, go out and provide a service.

Those are the types of things -- I mean, we've been in this almost a year, you know. We need to -- I would think that we could have a process that would be a little more streamlined by now. And that's -- I guess that's my big ask, that you would please look at the real-life effects of this and how it affects, you know, and impacts the lives of those that are trying to provide a service in the community and fix some of those issues.

And lastly, we talked a little about, you know, a lot of mass transit. Rural

areas -- you know, rural areas have a different set of problems. I serve a rural community. You know, but we have talked about mass and urban and suburban areas today, and I just want to bring up an issue that hopefully you can help us with.

Recently, the House and the Senate passed legislation that included a very important ATV pilot program to be administered by DCNR, and this is really designed to take place in some of the most remote corners of the commonwealth and really help out our rural communities who have been struggling over the years. And it's something that they want.

Our townships have lead the way on this.

And my question to you is, you know,

DCNR has -- they've been working very hard to

incorporate this, because it is legislative.

You gave us a little civics lesson a few

minutes ago, but this is a very important

piece of legislation that has been passed. It

was incorporated into a bill last year. It is

on the books. DCNR has created a plan to

implement that; however, we have found out

that PennDOT is really holding this up and

possibly going to try to kill this project.

My question for you is, will you commit to do everything in your power to make sure that PennDOT does not hold this project up and thus hold up the will of the general assembly?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yeah, I'll be glad to answer that question. But before I answer that question, I want to have the opportunity to address the other two comments you made.

First of all, regarding the tolling,

I have to say, the tolling is not going to go
into place until 2023. That's two years from
now. And hopefully, I'm hoping, very
optimistic that our economy will be recovered
by then.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: I get that,

Madam Secretary. But you realize that timing
is everything. You're starting this

conversation in the midst of a pandemic

recovery. So, I'm just saying -- I'm not

disagreeing with what you're talking about,

I'm just saying timing is off.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Absolutely right.

Timing is everything. We got to start planning for the future right now. We can't just manage the decline of the situation.

That's one thing.

The other thing, sir, you mentioned about the placard and the license. We'll be happy to address it. We had a backlog that we caught up on that. If there's a specific one, please sent it to me and I will personally take care of it. We are very committed to deliver the services to people.

With regards to ATV, we're looking into that pilot project. We're working with the DCNR, and we wanted to make sure we can implement it in a safe manner.

REPRESENTATIVE OWLETT: Other states have done that. Our townships have lead the way. We don't ask for much, but when we do, in our rural communities, this is a big deal for us, this ATV project. A lot of planning has gone, you know, into effect in our local industries and tourist attractions. We need your help. We need you to work with us and be a problem solver in this. We don't ask for much, but when we do, we do ask for action,

1 and I would appreciate your attention into this very important issue in the 68th district 2 and all across north central Pennsylvania. 3 4 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: We will 5 definitely look into it. Thank you. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 6 7 Representative Dave Zimmerman. 8 REPRESENTATIVE ZIMMERMAN: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Madam Secretary, for 10 11 joining us here this morning. 12 I want to just circle back to 13 construction for just a moment. So, 2.2 billion was allocated for construction 14 15 projects. And somewhere along the way, that 16 number was moved down to 1.9 billion. So, it 17 seems like there was 300 million that just 18 kind of went poof. It evaporated somewhere 19 along that way. 20 Could you just speak to that a little 21 bit? 22 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yeah, sure. Be 23 happy to. 24 That number went down because of the 25 loss of the revenue from COVID. The traffic

1	numbers went down, the revenue went down. And
2	as a result, we had to adjust our construction
3	program. We are planning on doing 1.9 billion
4	for '21, fiscal year '21. And we are hoping
5	to do more, once the traffic gets resumed and
6	revenue comes back and all this other, you
7	know, opportunities that we are talking in
8	terms of generating new revenue, maybe with
9	electrical vehicles and hopefully something
10	from the federal government.
11	We are hoping to do more. But we
12	wanted to make sure that we can do, at a
13	minimum, 1.9 billion this year. And last year
14	we did less because we had less other revenue.
15	REPRESENTATIVE ZIMMERMAN: So, is
16	there a way you can give us a little more,
17	maybe in writing, just how that all came about
18	and the reasons for it that would help us?
19	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Absolutely. I'll
20	be happy to do that. I provided a write-up to
21	Chair Saylor on that and Chair Brown, and I'll
22	be happy to provide the same write-up to you.
23	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Great.
24	Looking forward to that.

25 On a second question, I'm very

1	concerned about the Pennsylvania economy and
2	especially the stability of Pennsylvania
3	businesses. So, with P3 projects and the
4	bidding process that you all go through, many
5	projects are being let to businesses outside,
6	you know, in other states or even in foreign
7	countries.
8	Can you speak more into that as well?
9	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: We are not
10	planning on sending any businesses to out of
11	country, for sure, or to other states.
12	Obviously, there's going to be a competitive
13	bidding process, and everybody who bids on the
14	projects, they should be qualified. There are
15	certain requirements to be able to bid on
16	these projects.
17	REPRESENTATIVE ZIMMERMAN: Okay. But
18	the bids will be going out to Pennsylvania
19	businesses. Is that correct?
20	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: That's correct,
21	sir. They have to be prequalified to do work
22	in Pennsylvania.
23	REPRESENTATIVE ZIMMERMAN: Okay,
24	good. Because that just seems prudent,
0.5	

especially, you know -- the idea of giving

1	contractors in Pennsylvania the priority just
2	seems like the right thing. So, thank you for
3	doing that.
4	And thank you for answering those
5	questions, Madam Secretary.
6	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you.
8	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is
9	Representative Sanchez, who is on virtual.
10	Representative Sanchez, are you
11	there?
12	REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Chairman,
13	thank you.
14	Madam Secretary, I realize it's
15	getting to be a long morning for you here.
16	Thank you for your testimony.
17	I wanted to
18	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR:
19	Representative Sanchez, if you could turn your
20	volume down. I think it's muffled because
21	it's pretty loud. Just a little bit.
22	REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Is that
23	better now?
24	Madam Secretary, I wanted to ask you
25	about PennDOT's investment we talked about

investment here today -- but PennDOT's investments in transportation enhancement technologies. I realize it may not be a great time for -- to look forward to those items, but, as you said, timing is everything. And someday, the benefits that can be achieved from traffic management, signal coordination, enhancements to walkability from technology, those are all very important and can't be overlooked.

Would you be able to comment on those items.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. Thank you, Representative, for that question.

You know, technology is a big part of our business, you know, as part of the core of PennDOT, in addition to safety and equity. We wanted to make sure that we leveraged technology for a number of different reasons. First of all -- I mean, all these factors are intertwined, right? If you bring in technology -- and we've managed to bring in technology to improve safety. We bring in technology to actually reduce the cost. We bring in technology to be able to do more.

And we did a lot of it.

We actually employed a lot of technology and transformation during the whole COVID-19 out of, you know, urgency and being able to get things done. So, technology's going to be a big part of everything we do.

Down in District 6, you talked about traffic management. A traffic management center in District 6 is going to be state-of-the-art traffic management. It's under construction right now. It's going to be a beautiful facility. We have access to all the traffic signals and the entire transportation system within that region. And also, eventually, we will be able to be a backup for the rest of the state, if it's needed, you know.

There's going to be, also, a lot of -- with the electrical vehicles coming out, we need to look into the charging stations obviously being available for the electrical vehicles. We are looking into multiple corridors to do an assessment and also looking into business opportunities for folks, working with DEP to encourage businesses to come and

invest in certain areas for charging stations.

With regards to automated vehicles and technology, Pennsylvania is one of the leaders in this field, and we've done a lot of work with the AV world. We actually put guidelines together. We developed our strategic plan. We are working with neighboring states on truck platooning. We are looking into developing policies and guidelines for the AV vehicles, to be able to maneuver through the construction areas, incident response. There's all kinds of stuff that we are doing on that side, too.

And recently, personal delivery
device was one that -- the bill was passed
last year, and we were very focused and
concerned about making sure that the
municipalities are comfortable with this new
device that's going to be riding over their
facilities, whether it's their sidewalk or
their shoulders, that there is a comfort level
and there is a safety level. So, we put
together -- and this is, again, working with
all the partners, from the local side and PO
side to law enforcement's technology side, to

make sure we have the proper policies and quidelines in place.

REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: I'm very pleased to hear all that, in particular, the District 6 facility. That's very interesting. And I can't wait for that come on line.

One more question for you and slightly different direction, relating to Act 131 of 2020, and this included a p7rovision where folks -- individuals experiencing homelessness could apply for renewable products from PennDOT, most importantly identification, and it would be free of charge. Have you seen utilization of this program? And any plans to expand that in the future?

Thank you very much.

report we have seventy folks who took
advantage of this newly enacted law, which
went into effect in January, on January 25th
of this year. We're very happy about that.
We had a joint media event with Secretary
Miller, from Human Services. And we talked
about -- I talked about how they can apply or

1	renew their documents and how we can help them
2	out in the process. And, of course, Secretary
3	Miller talked about how she can support them
4	with some of the programs in her area.
5	Obviously, as you know, every citizen
6	needs to have their own proper documents and
7	I.D. to be able to work, to take advantage of
8	the programs in place, and for these people to
9	put their lives back in place again. So, that
10	was extremely important to us.
11	REPRESENTATIVE SANCHEZ: Thank you
12	very much for those answers, and I appreciate
13	your testimony.
14	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: You're
16	welcome.
17	SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you.
18	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next
19	questioner is Representative John Lawrence.
20	REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chairman.
22	And thank you, Madam Secretary, for
23	being with us virtually today.
24	Before I get into my question, I do
25	want to just call out in your department,

Sarah Clark and David Lapadat; his team,

Amanda, Cass, and Joan; you know, James Falls

in District 6. These are folks that we work

with quite a bit in my office, and they do

great job. So, I just wanted to let you know

they're doing a good job.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: So, you had said earlier -- we have a lot of -- I think, a really robust conversation today, and you had said earlier that you're very concerned about the future of SEPTA. And I think there's a lot of folks who are concerned about the future of SEPTA. You said that the federal money, the billion dollars in CARES Act money will not be sufficient. And Secretary -former secretary, Leslie Richards, who's now in charge of SEPTA, testifying in front of the House Transportation Committee earlier, I quess it was about six months ago, she had commented, in response to a question I asked her, that fare box recovery in SEPTA has collapsed to less than 10 cents on the dollar. Which I'm hopeful that it's recovered a little bit since then, but, nevertheless, that's a

dramatic fall off from where it was in pre-COVID days. And we've heard about -- you know, there's been news stories and such about running empty buses and stuff like that in Philadelphia.

I guess, my question to you would be, is that we've gotten a one-time shot of federal money, and we've got a couple of funding cliffs that we're looking at, and we certainly have less demand for service right now that we're optimistic, hopefully, that that service will rebound once the COVID pandemic tails off a little bit.

Wouldn't it be smarter to use the one-time federal money now to invest in future infrastructure, maybe building out additional rail lines or getting those new rail cars I know SEPTA's looking to buy? Wouldn't it be smarter to use that money now rather than to kind of keep all those empty trains and empty buses running, and eventually that money's going to run out and we won't have the improved infrastructure?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, if I understand your question correctly, you're

referring to SEPTA should be spending their funding that they received under the CARES package on buying new cars for SEPTA facilities, expanding the rail system, and putting it back into economy. Honestly, this is not a question for me. This is a question for general manager Leslie Richards to answer, but, obviously, it's very important. SEPTA is a very important transportation means for many in the five counties that you just mentioned.

So, I'm sure it's everyone's concern to be able -- that the first thing they need to do is to maintain the services that they're providing for the people who are relying on SEPTA services. A lot of the people have no other option but to take the SEPTA bus or SEPTA trolley or SEPTA subway system, so that's really important to them.

And with regards to expansion,
there's been -- you know, SEPTA, actually,
while they're dealing with this economic
situation and the loss of the revenue and the
operational challenges, they're also keeping
up with also thinking about the future, as we
just said. This is a time, as much as it's

painful when you're seeing such a decline in your revenue and challenges with your operation, you also should be thinking about -- a good leader should be thinking about the future while addressing the current needs and what's the plan for the future, because, hopefully, we all will come out of the situation, and we're all going to see a day that, you know, the economy's going to go back to days of prosperity, and we are hoping for that day. And if we are not -- if we don't put our plan together for that, then we're going to be left behind for making major progress.

REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Yeah. And that actually works right into the next question that I was kind of looking to ask here. And I certainly respect that this is maybe — that my previous question was maybe more of a question for Leslie Richards and certainly we'll follow up with her as well. And I agree with you that having a plan for the future is really important, and I'm not trying to absolve the legislature's responsibility, because we have

responsibility, tremendous responsibility.

But I guess my question is, is that, with, you know, fuel taxes and fees; revenue being down; fare box recovery, you know, has collapsed during the pandemic; federal CARES Act money, one time, it's going to run out; the turnpike, 450 million dollars to mass transit, that's coming to an end, what is Wolf administration's plan for the future? What has the Wolf administration -- you know, where is the comprehensive plan to address all of these things from the Wolf administration?

mentioned, sir, we are -- we have developed this PennDOT Pathways program, and it's a comprehensive funding solution to all modes of transportation and to our funding problems, included in that is the Act 44 and the cancelation of Act 44 coming in 2022. Again, there are multiple options that are considered as part of that and will be coming to the public, to the legislators, to the stakeholders, to the business owners to talk about those options and evaluate each one of them and make sure that the right option is

picked for the problems that we are faced 1 2 today. That's where the administration is 3 4 with this question that you had related to the 5 funding. REPRESENTATIVE LAWRENCE: Very good. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good. 8 9 The next is Representative Carroll. 10 REPRESENTATIVE CARROLL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 12 And thank you, Madam Secretary. 13 Madam Secretary, you can lean back, because this is going to take me a little 14 15 while. Commentary more than anything else. Turns out that it's difficult to fund 16 17 transportation in our commonwealth. It's difficult to fund transit. It's difficult to 18 19 fund highways and bridges. 20 We as a Commonwealth and its general 21 assembly farm out from the turnpike 450 22 million dollars a year to fund transit. The 23 turnpike is in debt somewhere in the 24 neighborhood of 10 billion dollars because of

that policy. That was our decision.

25

Mr. Chairman, I often hear

Pennsylvania has such a high gas tax, how could PennDOT be in desperate in the need of money? A couple things. When we compare Pennsylvania to other states, we are not comparing apples to apples. Pennsylvania has, as many have heard in this room, 44,000 miles of highway, more than New York, New Jersey, and all the New England states combined. We have an SR network in this Commonwealth, on top of our state roads and on top of our interstate system, that is among the largest in the country.

And when PennDOT has that responsibility, we somehow have come to the conclusion that it's a smart idea to peel off about half of the gasoline and diesel tax that we collect -- 12 cents a gallon to the state police, 8 cents a gallon to local government, 3 cents a gallon to the Mon-Fayette southern beltway, 3 cents a gallon to the Department of Agriculture and other departments. Nearly half of the gasoline tax that we collect does not go to PennDOT's responsibility with respect to roads and bridges.

We tried last year to do a very
modest change in the law with respect to
electric vehicles. The bill that was mine
would have raised somewhere in the
neighborhood between 5 and 10 million
dollars -- very, very modest in the grand
scheme of motor license fund. We could not
get that done. Evidence again, it's hard to

fund transportation.

The Commonwealth and PennDOT this week, and as has been discussed today, with the bridge tolling plan that's now before us, is the direct result of an action in this room. In 2012, House Bill 3 was before this body. During the debate on House Bill 3, the following was said by one of the opponents of the bill.

(Reading) Today, we have before us a bill that hands off the direct authority to toll interstates, to toll bridges, and to toll other transportation network features to an unelected commission. If we are interested today in handing off that ability, then you should support House Bill 3. But the fact of the matter is, we were elected to make the

tough decisions in this chamber, and some of those tough decisions are going to be related to finding ways to fund highways and bridges.

And some of those decisions will involve either tolling or tax increases of one sort or another. It's simply not appropriate for us to hand off that authority, that ability to an unelected board. That is what we were elected to do, but we chose not to. (Concluded reading.)

Because this chamber supported and approved House Bill 3, that became Act 88. So for those that are lamenting the fact that we have PennDOT tolling before us, look in the mirror. This was the direct result of what this body approved.

And so, as PennDOT contemplates how to proceed with the full knowledge it's really difficult to find ways to fund transportation, and because this body has no appetite for actually funding the state police the way that we should or making sure that the turnpike doesn't have to become Bank of America, to spend 400 billion dollars a year to transit authorities across the state, those were

decisions that we made, that this general assembly made in an effort to try and find an easy path forward for admittedly very complicated problems.

So, as we move forward with respect to transportation funding dilemmas in the state and as we consider the proposals that come from PennDOT and the 3P board, I simply say to those that are opposed, please give us your recommendation for a solution, because absent a recommendation that generates that kind of money, I'm not sure what PennDOT is supposed to do, because, at the end of the day, we have to have a transportation network that doesn't include posted bridges on the interstate highway system. Because the day we have a posted bridge on the interstate highway system is the day that our industries and our manufacturers are crippled.

And so, it's time for us to be responsible with respect to the obligations

PennDOT has, and I'm hopeful that working with the chairman from the Chester County, we can achieve better results going forward.

Thank you.

1 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is 2 Chairman Tim Hennessey. REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: 3 Thank vou, 4 Mr. Chairman. 5 Madam Secretary, good afternoon. Thanks for being here. 6 I'd like to return just for a moment 7 8 to the idea of the projected highway and 9 bridge projects that PennDOT announces 10 frequently. We've heard a lot about the 11 impacts of the virus on PennDOT's revenues, but the federal CARES 2 package restored all 12 13 but 68 million dollars of that loss that PennDOT recognized as a result of the virus. 14 15 Similarly for -- and by the way, restoring that 68 million dollars still left us with 600 16 17 million dollars worth of projects that were 18 canceled that would not seem to be necessary. 19 Similarly, for the 2021 fiscal year, there's another 300 million dollars worth of 20 21 cuts in the projected projects, but it would 22 seem reasonable to assume that Congress will 23 pass a CARES 3 package and restore a large 24 part of that money.

25 Since we made back all but 68 million

dollars last year, and if CARES 3 does give us
a relative cushion as far as sufficient
funding to return to those projects, is
PennDOT willing to restore many of those
projects that were cut, to the tune of 9
hundred million dollars over the last two
years, when we get the results of CARES 3?
And how soon?

You know, I think a lot of people out there are looking to PennDOT to revise it's projections along these lines.

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Sure. Chair

Hennessey, if we have more money, we're going

to put it into the lettings and into

construction projects. The numbers that we've

shared with you is the losses to date, and

traffic is slowly coming back -- not slowly,

actually I should say it's at 85 percent right

now. So, we're very hopeful that the future

is bright for us and we can do more.

And, again, looking back at where we were the previous years and where we are today, we wanted to make sure, at a minimum, we can invest 1.9 billion into our letting program. And if there is more money to be put

1 into our construction projects, we will 2 definitely put it into construction projects. But we needed to adjust our program just to 3 4 make sure that we do not overspend. 5 Chair Hennessey --REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Well, I 6 7 think we all agree with that. 8 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Yes. 9 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Actually, 10 I'd like to move on, if I could, to another 11 issue. 12 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Absolutely. 13 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: The actual 14 motor license fund revenue receipts are down 15 only 2 and a half percent between 2020 and 16 2021, but PennDOT continues to project future 17 losses at a 15-percent rate. Those 18 projections are important indicators to a lot 19 of people and negatively affect a lot of 20 financial decisions that are made across the 21 commonwealth. 22 Can you give us any idea when we can 23 anticipate PennDOT revising some of those 24 projections to allow our people to feel more 25 secure in terms of what the future holds for

the transportation industry in Pennsylvania?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Chair Hennessey,
I'd like to know where you get the projections
from, the numbers from. We build our budget
and our program based on the numbers we get
from the Department of Revenue -- obviously,
that's how we develop our program -- and also,
the actual revenue that we see.

So, again, as we get more money, we do more projects. We don't put them away. We put them back into the projects.

REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Okay. I guess the point I'm trying to make, the sooner we make those decisions at PennDOT, the better for the industry in Pennsylvania.

We've had a lot of talk about P3 tolling prospects in Pennsylvania. I do want to ask one question.

I know it's authorized under the law, but why has PennDOT chosen to go the P3 route as opposed to -- at a time when bond interest rates are very low, why has PennDOT put all its chips into the one basket of going through P3 projects when, you know, we hear about a lot of bankruptcies, a lot of insecurities, a

lot of contract problems that have had -- that have occurred not only in Pennsylvania but in other states with regard to these kind of projects.

Why have we put such a substantial investment -- or proposing to put such a substantial investment into a P3 project category as opposed to just going ahead and bonding?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: So, P3 projects,
P3 contracting gives us the opportunity to pay
for the project through availability payment
and collection of tolls. We wanted to make
sure that the users are paying for these
projects, the people who are using the
facility, the traffic that goes over the
bridge, that traffic that's coming from out of
state going through the facilities are paying
their fair share. That was really important
to us.

You mentioned the bonding. Yes, it's at the lowest interest rate right now, and I agree with you. However, if we borrow, we need to pay. And for us to pay, it has to come from our motor license fund. If we

```
1
       borrow money, if I bond for our programs, it's
2
       going to come out of the future years to pay
       for those funds. When the times -- I mean,
3
4
       when there is no additional revenue coming
5
       into the department to pay for the bonds, that
       means that it's going to take away from the
 6
       future programs. That's why we're considering
7
8
       this Pathway tolling project.
9
                 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Okay. So,
10
       it's really reliance on the federal
11
       authorization for tolling that's driving the
12
       moved toward a P3 project framework as opposed
13
       to traditional bonding for highway projects.
                 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Federal
14
15
       authorization as well as the state
16
       authorization under the P3 law, Act 88.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE HENNESSEY: Okay.
18
       Thank you.
19
                 That's all I have.
20
                 SECRETARY GRAMIAN:
                                     Thank you.
                MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is
21
22
       Representative Bradford.
23
                REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you,
24
       Chairman.
25
                 Secretary, I just want to start with
```

a quick question for you. We know that we've not actually discussed it at any great length today, but we have a not insignificant funding cliff for Transportation that will come due for next year.

Are you aware of any legislation proposed in either the House or the Senate to deal with the Transportation funding cliff that we'll have to deal with in this session of the legislature?

SECRETARY GRAMIAN: I'm not aware of any.

REPRESENTATIVE BRADFORD: Thank you.

By means of commentary -- that's my only question, Secretary -- but I do think that shows what is the real problem, because what should be a budget hearing for talking about the challenges that we face over not just the next twelve months but, frankly, the next eighteen months, it is obvious that, while we should be having a budget hearing -- and I say this with -- I was joking with my fellow chairman that what should be a budget hearing broke into an emergency meeting of the free lunch caucus and joined by the NIMBY

caucus.

And I say that with a smile because, listen, everyone has parochial interests, and we respect and understand that. But the simple reality is there are big bills coming due. There is capital needs that have been deferred. And there's been suggestions made that boarder on demagoguery, and do not even remotely, in terms of scope, in terms of practicality, deal with the challenges in front of us.

I've heard we shouldn't have fees and we shouldn't have tolls, but we should have ATV and we shouldn't deal with any of the efficiencies that the transit agencies and PennDOT have come up, whether it was getting rid of stickers or shortening hours at offices.

Now, look, we can have legitimate discussions on any one of those topics, and I don't want to be Debbie Downer and say none of these are good ideas. Maybe they are, but they come with a cost. And this body -- and I think my good friend from Luzerne County, the Transportation chair, did an excellent job of

laying it out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have also heard some ideas that are, frankly, startling. The idea that we should just freeze operations in the five counties of our mass transit agency. The idea that anyone would propose that, some of the same folks who make blistering arguments about the need to be pro-business. The idea that workforce, that consumers, that our very economy could continue to run in southeastern Pennsylvania without mass transit is startling. And that's not coming from a progressive Democrat. That's coming from our chamber of commerce, that's coming from Republican leaders in southeastern Pennsylvania, who recognize that this is insanity, the very definition of it.

The demagoguery which is so problematic which makes these discussions even harder is those who would say, those dollars are going to be driven from northeastern PA to pay for SEPTA. Come on. That is insane. We all know that.

The reality is, in many ways, the economic engine in Pennsylvania happens to be

in southeastern Pennsylvania, and I have my own parochial concerns there, because that's where my legislative district is.

But we need to realize that southeastern Pennsylvania only does well if this whole commonwealth does well and vice versa. And when we artificially pit each other against each other, we do so at the detriment of our entire commonwealth. We are as invested in the port authority in Pittsburgh as we are in SEPTA in southeastern Pennsylvania and all those transit agencies in between. But demagoguery and needlessly pitting regions of Pennsylvania against each other is not only bad politics, it has led to bad policy.

And let me talk about that bad policy, and Chairman Carroll covered this pretty well already, going back to Act 44 and going back to money that was supposed to come from tolls back then and then the game that's been played and the debt that has been incurred by the turnpike. We now find ourselves with a turnpike with 11 billion dollars in debt. Tolls have gone up and will

continue to do so as far as the eye can see. New capacity projects, that is those of us who want to grow, like in southeastern Pennsylvania who know that we're going to need more in additional slip ramps to have that growth and that the economic vitality, are being put off because that agency is, instead of getting the ability to do pro-business opportunities like that, instead we are handing them crushing, mounting, unacceptable debt. Eleven billion dollars already, tolls going up. No ability to invest long term in

this economy.

And I hear my good friend talk about borrowing, instead of 3Ps. Let me tell you, that makes a lot of sense to a lot of us. But here's the problem, and the secretary hit the nail right on the head. P3s come with repayment; borrowing requires this body to show the courage to repay.

We demagogue taxes. We demagogue tolls. We demagogue and demagogue and demagogue. And we defer maintenance, and we defer capital investment. And we have budget hearings that turn into meetings of the free

lunch and the NIMBY caucus.

Let's start acting like serious

people who have serious challenges: A funding

cliff right in front of us and a horizon of

challenges that we have shown a complete

unwillingness to address.

Thank you, Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Let me start off, I think the general assembly has grown tired of this administration's want to raise taxes on everything. But I will say, Madam Secretary, that I think your proposal for P3s on the bridges are reasonable, things that can be discussed as we move forward. I think that the fact that it's going to have a lot of public hearings between now and the time that they're implemented is good.

Taxpayers across Pennsylvania will get an opportunity to voice their opinions, and that is always good when we have transparency.

Look, I think the most difficult problem out there is -- I have served as chairman of our technical and as well as our coordinating committee of the YAMPO in York, or the MPO, and have seen personally formulas

and the problems that are in our highway system, which average taxpayers across the state -- in fact, I would say most legislators don't understand, and that is that we are a state that sits on the Mason-Dixon Line. We freeze and thaw twenty-four to thirty-six times a year. We're a state with a lot of bridges. A lot of bridges. I think we are the second highest in the country. With that comes a lot.

What I also encourage people to do on both sides of the aisle is take a look at other states and how they fund it. The state of Maryland uses personal income taxes as well as highway gas tax. That's why their gas tax is lower. We, in Pennsylvania, are at a disadvantage. Many, many, many years ago, long before a lot of us in the institution were born, we had a governor who decided he was going to pave every dirt road in Pennsylvania. There's still a few left. And in doing so, he never gave those roads back to the townships and the boroughs, which has created a heavy burden.

Just to the south of us, since it's

my neighbor and my district borders it,

Maryland, most of their highways are county
highways.

So, when you have a state as diverse as we are -- Pittsburgh has many bridges, the southeast relies heavily on SEPTA -- we have to start looking at reforming the way we do things. SEPTA is never going to be back to where they were. Let's face reality.

Companies have figured out that they don't need to have everything in an office building. We're going to see office buildings up for sale, and there's going to be a lot of losses, of real estate loss, because those buildings are going to be empty now, whether it's in Philadelphia, York, or Pittsburgh, doesn't matter.

SEPTA is never going back to the amount of people on it. They need to now take this opportunity to rightsize, whatever that may be. I mean, when I've been to the King of Prussia mall, I see a bus at every story every fifteen minutes. Now, as somebody who's going to ride a bus, I don't need a bus at every store every fifteen minutes. SEPTA needs to

understand, people can wait a little bit
longer not to have a bus there every fifteen
minutes. So, SEPTA needs to reform.

We, as politicians, my colleague across the aisle, Representative Bradford, has mentioned about we all like to cry and whine.

Well, we do; we're politicians. But that goes for both sides of the aisle.

Last session I challenged both

Republican and Democratic chairmen of the

Transportation committees to come up with a

solution to the state police problem. Yet, no

bill came out of committee. I am inviting the

chairmen of the Transportation Committee,

Democrat and Republican, to help put

together -- and I'm glad to work with you and

I think a lot of us in this chamber will be

glad to work with you to come up with a

formula.

The governor keeps proposing the same formula, which even both sides of the aisle will never vote for. So, we need to come up with a proposal that politically makes sense for us to fund the state police. But it's got to be bipartisan. I, along with

Representative Sturla, have introduced proposals. I believe even Representative Seth Grove has introduced proposals in the past.

So, my suggestion is that if we start working bipartisanly to solve some of these transportation problems and quit pointing blame on one party or the other, we'll get there. But it's going to take a team effort, simply because nobody -- Pennsylvania's unique. Let's be honest. We are a unique state, not only where we're located, not only because we have the most highways in the country with wintertime versus other states in the south who have highways but nowhere near what we have, and they have warm winters and falls and everything else.

So, all I'm going to say here today is, Madam Secretary, is I've really appreciated your time and your straightforward answers to the questions today. And I look forward to working with you over the next session here in trying to delve into some of these problems and get solutions.

I know you have a very difficult job, and I very much appreciate the fact that you

come from the real world of the construction 1 industry. That's what secretaries of 2 Transportation should be, because they've 3 4 dealt with the problems in the private 5 industry before they came to state government. 6 So, again, I want to thank you for being here today and taking all the tough 7 8 questions. 9 And, with that, I'm going to adjourn 10 this meeting till 2 o'clock, when we will be 11 back here with the Department of General 12 Services. 13 Madam Secretary, before you go, if I 14 may, I forgot one question I did want to ask 15 you. Am I correct in saying that the average 16 Pennsylvania car driver spends roughly 380 17 dollars a year in gas taxes? 18 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: About, yes, or maybe even less. You're correct. 19 20 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Would you 21 agree that that should be the registration fee 22 for electric vehicles who are also going to 23 traveling that same amount of mileage? 24 SECRETARY GRAMIAN: Representative 25 Saylor, this can be debated, and I can share

with you what some of the other states are doing. Because we are talking about average, right? When we talk about average, there are people who actually drive more, they're people who drive less. Some solution to dealing with the average situation is, you put a high end to how much you want to charge, and if the drivers want to come through with showing documents that they're paying less, they can paying less; they can get the credit. This is one idea.

I think either way, something should be considered and should be implemented sooner rather than later.

And, Chair Saylor, I really appreciate your support. I do. Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Madam

Secretary, the problem has been -- and I think

all the members here need to realize -- that

if we don't pass this, some kind of major

registration fee for electric cars, none of

you are going to be willing to pass it in five

years from now, when a lot of people have

electric cars. We've got to get this right

now.

We can't pass it off and say, Well, we'll put it at 150 dollars or whatever it may be and we'll come back and raise that registration fee. Because across the east coast, the average registration fee for cars is right around 268 dollars in other states, except for the state of Maryland. I want to see any legislator here say they're going back home and tell the people who drive cars they're raising registration. It's not going to happen.

2.4

So, we got to get this right in the general assembly. We can't play games. I realize people who drive electric cars and who will in the future say, "I'm saving the environment," no disagreement, and I like the idea of electric cars. That's great. But everybody has to pay their share, and we have to do it right, because if we don't get it right, it will be another mistake this general assembly has made.

And with that, thank you very much.

(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 12:58 p.m.)

25 * * * * *

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript, to the best of my ability, produced from audio on the said proceedings. BRENDA J. PARDUN, RPR Court Reporter Notary Public