# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

# HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE BUDGET HEARING

MAIN CAPITOL HOUSE CHAMBER

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2021 3:30 P.M.

DEPARTMENT OF DRUG & ALCOHOL PROGRAMS

### **BEFORE:**

HONORABLE STANLEY SAYLOR, MAJORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE MATT BRADFORD, MINORITY CHAIRMAN HONORABLE ROSEMARY BROWN HONORABLE LYNDA SCHLEGEL-CULVER HONORABLE TORREN ECKER HONORABLE JONATHAN FRITZ HONORABLE KEITH GREINER HONORABLE DOYLE HEFFLEY HONORABLE JOHNATHAN HERSHEY HONORABLE LEE JAMES HONORABLE JOHN LAWRENCE HONORABLE ZACH MAKO HONORABLE NATALIE MIHALEK HONORABLE TIM O'NEAL HONORABLE CLINT OWLETT HONORABLE CHRIS QUINN HONORABLE GREG ROTHMAN HONORABLE MEGHAN SCHROEDER HONORABLE JAMES STRUZZI HONORABLE JESSE TOPPER HONORABLE RYAN WARNER HONORABLE JEFF WHEELAND HONORABLE DAVE ZIMMERMAN

> Pennsylvania House of Representatives Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

### BEFORE: (continued)

HONORABLE AMEN BROWN
HONORABLE DONNA BULLOCK
HONORABLE MORGAN CEPHAS
HONORABLE AUSTIN DAVIS
HONORABLE ELIZABETH FIEDLER
HONORABLE MARTY FLYNN
HONORABLE ED GAINEY
HONORABLE PATTY KIM
HONORABLE EMILY KINKEAD
HONORABLE STEPHEN KINSEY
HONORABLE LEANNE KRUEGER

HONORABLE BENJAMIN SANCHEZ

HONORABLE PETER SCHWEYER HONORABLE JOE WEBSTER

#### NON-COMMITTEE MEMBERS

HONORABLE MARK GILLEN
HONORABLE TIM TWARDZIK
HONORABLE CURT SONNEY
HONORABLE KATHY RAPP
HONORABLE ERIC NELSON
HONORABLE EDDIE DAY PASHINSKI
HONORABLE DARISHA PARKER
HONORABLE MARK LONGIETTI
HONORABLE DANILO BURGOS
HONORABLE NAPOLEON NELSON
HONORABLE DAN FRANKEL
HONORABLE BOB MERSKI
HONORABLE PERRY WARREN

Pennsylvania House of Representatives

1	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
2	COMMITTEE STAFF PRESENT:
3	DAVID DONLEY REPUBLICAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RITCHIE LAFAVER
4	REPUBLICAN DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
5	ANN BALOGA DEMOCRATIC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
6	TARA TREES  DEMOCRATIC CHIEF COUNSEL
7	DENOCKATIC CHIEF COUNSEL
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	TNDEV
2	I N D E X
3	TESTIFIERS
4	* * *
5	JENNIFER SMITH
6	SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF DRUG & ALCOHOL PROGRAMS5
7	
8	ELLEN DIDOMENICO DEPUTY SECRETARY,
9	DEPARTMENT OF DRUG & ALCOHOL PROGRAMS18
10	JENNIFER NEWELL BUREAU DIRECTOR
11	DEPARTMENT OF DRUG & ALCOHOL PROGRAMS
12	
13	
14	SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY
15	* * *
16	(See submitted written testimony.
17	and handouts online.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: All righty.
4	If everybody would take their seats,
5	please. We have a 4:30 time limit, so Secretary
6	Smith scan get to her next appointment or
7	meeting. So we're changing things up a little.
8	I will let you know. All members at this point,
9	we will have at this point, we only have a
10	little less than an hour to get questions in. So
11	there may be members who are not going to get
12	questions today. So I just want to make members
13	aware of that.
14	So let's see, Secretary Smith, are you
15	there?
16	SECRETARY SMITH: I'm here, Chairman.
17	Good afternoon.
18	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: You have with
19	you Deputy Secretary Ellen DiDomenico.
20	SECRETARY SMITH: DiDomenico.
21	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Thank you.
22	And Jennifer Newell.
23	SECRETARY SMITH: Newell, uh-huh.
24	MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Yep. Sorry
25	about that name pronunciation. That's

Pennsylvania Dutch. I have a little tough time with those names.

But if you would, Madam Secretary, and the rest of you, Ellen and Jennifer, if you would raise your right hand and I will swear you in and we'll get started.

(Whereupon, testifiers were sworn en masse)

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Thank you,

Madam Secretary. And to help you out, we're

going to get moving rather quickly here. The

first question is going to come from

Representative Doyle Heffley.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. And thank you Madam Secretary.

The question that I have is in regards to open beds and beds registry and how we connect those individuals that are looking for treatment, both inpatient and outpatient, how we connect them to the beds that are available. This body had passed legislation the last two sessions, I believe unanimously, for a bed registry. And I want to continue to look into those opportunities. Right now, you have a voluntary tool in resources for substance use professionals to community bed availability between the

providers and the SCAs and other treatment referral services. This website is not open to the public.

What percentage of providers and beds are currently listed on the PA Open Beds website?

SECRETARY SMITH: Hi, Representative.

It's good to see you.

I have to admit that your voice, the volume was really, really low. So I'm pretty sure I caught all of your question, but if my answer isn't comprehensive enough, you'll have to let me know.

So in terms of the bed registry, the open bed site that you're referring to is unfortunately not being utilized as widely as it had been the last time we spoke, but what I will say in terms of connection to treatment is that our hotline, which was also connected with our single-county authorities is really the most important mechanism for folks to access treatment services, because these are the entities that know, really, on a daily basis where capacity exists with their provider networks.

And so even with a bed registry type of tool, without it being absolutely realtime, there

's still a need for singly county authorities or hotlines to really kind of comb through providers and figure out whether open beds are really available or not available.

And the benefit that we have in Pennsylvania is that our single-county authorities have really, really close relationships with their providers and they pretty much know on a regular basis what is and is not available. And funneling folks through the single-county authority also has the ability of connecting them to services outside of treatment, making sure that they're receiving case management service, perhaps connecting them to housing, transportation, employment, child care, all those extra things --

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: But there's still --

Secretary SMITH: -- that folks might need.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: There's still a disconnect and wait sometimes for treatment. And I know we had sat in on a -- in a meeting; I believe you were there, as well. Looking at some of the tools that are out there right now, we do

have bed registry, every hospital has -- is a part of it. It's run by the federal government and that's for emergency disasters or a hurricane or something where you have a mass injury type event. So the EMS and first responders would know where to take patients if it's a head injury or if it's a burn, what beds are available where. So that already exist, right. And I believe the discussion that we had had was whether or not we could use that in a way to provide information to SCA so they could readily and easily -- much easier than now -- find available beds.

And I think the question that we had raised at that time was would the Federal government allow for that system to be expanded to become -- for treatment, both inpatient and outpatient?

Did the Department ever send a letter or inquire with the federal government whether that system that they currently pay for, which I believe is run through PEMA, and I think HAP is involved in that, as well, could be expanded?

I think that was the discussion that we had, whether the federal dollars could be used in that way. Did we ever get clarity from the

federal government of whether we could expand?

It was a wonderful system, just an incredible amount of information that was imbedded in the provider's computer system so they didn't even have to enter it. And it was realtime because it was updated automatically.

Did we ever find out from the federal government whether we would be able to expand that type of -- use that system in that expanded capacity?

SECRETARY SMITH: Yeah. So we partnered with the Department of Health to inquire about the possibility of that use. And it is my understanding that the answer was it was not available for us to utilize.

REPRESENTATIVE HEFFLEY: All right.

Thank you. And I think we're going to continue to work on that.

I'll definitely look at talking with our federal partners because there's nothing more heartbreaking, even as we go through this pandemic, we're still dealing with addiction.

We've seen, you know, the deaths were lower this year, but I still think we're waiting for a

couple months to come in for the reporting.

Just to change the subject real quick, one of the things that I'm hearing from our SCAs, from our police departments and law enforcement, is the emergence of meth. I know we've been focused so much on opioids. And that's still an epidemic, and we still have a lot to do with that, but looking forward, what is DDAP doing now to address the issue of the availability of meth? Because it's a different type of addiction. It's a different type of detox. And I just want to make sure that we're going to really be paying attention to that now, so in two or three years we're not dealing with what we dealt with a few years ago in the opioid epidemic.

Thank you, and my time is out.

SECRETARY SMITH: Thank you,

Representative. That's a great question.

And if you recall last year, I talked about the emergence of this particular trend. And in Pennsylvania, we're one of, I think really the only state that has now hosted two years of a psychostimulants symposium, where we pull folks from all over the State, professionals and folks who want to learn about these trends related to

stimulants and give information about what treatment looks like, how law enforcement can be involved, how folks present themselves and we hear information from the DEA and the State Police about seizures. So you are absolutely right that we started to see a trend over a year ago with increased use of particularly methamphetamine and cocaine.

We actually started to see seizures of those drugs increasing several years prior to that. And what we know is that there's a really strong correlation between drug seizures, and then what follows is the usage of those particular substances and folks presenting themselves for treatment with those substance use issues. So what we're seeing right now is just a tremendous increase in what we call polysubstance use, which just means the utilization of multiple types of substances.

And what we're seeing most commonly now is a combination of either methamphetamine with heroin or Fentanyl or cocaine with heroin and Fentanyl. And this is just a really, really deadly combination, and unfortunately, a combination that's very, very difficult to treat.

So all the work that we do around pushing out in the lock zone, which is that lifesaving overdose reversal drug for opioids may or may not be successful with some of these individuals who are utilizing multiple substances. It also affects the treatment that's available to them. Folks who are addicted to opioids, we have the opportunity to utilize medication-assisted treatment. For individuals utilizing stimulants, we have yet to see a really proven tried and true method of treating them with medication, which means they need to enter some kind of -- most cases, inpatient residential program.

So it really does have to alter the way we're thinking. And what we've done is, in addition to educating folks, raising awareness, making sure law enforcement and first responders are aware of these things and know how to treat these individuals, we're also ramping up our training for the field. We've made sure that some of the methods that are proven to be most effective for treating these types of individuals have been rolled out to our provider community.

We're looking at the prevention world and making sure that our SCAs are purchasing and

utilizing prevention programs that either incorporate this polysubstance use, or in some cases they're using programs that are specific to addressing stimulants. So there's a whole host of activities that we're doing to really prepare for this. We are aware of it, and believe that it, in combination with COVID-19, is what has contributed to the increase in overdose deaths in calendar year 2020.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good. We're going to move to Representative Cephas.

REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Thank you, Chairman, and good afternoon.

I want to focus my question and the discussion I'm going to have on the issue of maternal mortality and the role that the Department plays in addressing it. As we know, maternal mortality's definition is when a pregnant individual loses their life, either during pregnancy or up to a year post-partum. And we see nationally that this has been a conversation that has been elevated recently due to an article -- articles from the Time Magazine, due to a series of documentaries, one by Serena Williams about her complications. It's been

elevated to, you know, a large issue on both sides of the aisle.

So it is a bipartisan issue. There has been significant grants put to the issue recently here in Pennsylvania. One of my Republican colleagues ushered legislation to establish a maternal mortality review committee here in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

But unfortunately, about two or three years ago, a constituent of mine, Lashawna Gilmore -- we are around the same age -- she's 34 years old, African-American woman, lost her life during pregnancy. So as much as we're paying attention to the issue, as much as we're shifting policy to really address these challenges, we are still nowhere near out of the woods when it comes to reversing our trends here in Pennsylvania. So with that, I wanted to focus on the report that the MMRC just recently issued regarding pregnancy-associated deaths.

Based on their data and their findings here in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, we've seen our rates increase across the Commonwealth. And 40 percent of those deaths are due to accidental poisoning, which includes drug

overdoses. So can you speak to what your

Department is doing to reverse those trends,
specifically as it relates to poisoning,
accidental poisoning deaths?

SECRETARY SMITH: Absolutely. Thanks for the question. And my condolences on the loss of your friend.

So I'm going to talk a little bit about a couple of things, and I will ask my Deputy Secretary to jump in if I miss anything or she has anything to add. This is a little bit of a passion for her.

So in terms of what we're doing to address the issue, we have a lot of federal funding coming to our Department, as I think most of you know. That is coming through a grant called the State Opioid Response. That's a grant through the HHS and the Samsa [phonetic] arm of HHS. And one of the grant opportunities that we provided was focused on pregnant and parenting women, specifically. And that particular grant ended up being able to serve 500 mother and baby combinations just during the first year of the grant.

So there's a lot of efforts in terms of

how we're spending those federal dollars. We continue to participate in various Commonwealth work groups that are focused on bringing multiple sister agencies together, so Department of Health, Department of Human Services, and working to address issues like NAS, FASD, the health and well-being of mothers, both before and after birth.

One of the really exciting initiatives that we used some grant funding for was the creation of a Perinatal Quality Collaborative, and this is the thing that my Deputy Secretary is particularly excited about. So I'll talk a little bit about it and then, Ellen, you're welcome to jump in if I miss anything.

So this is an initiative that works to reduce -- specifically reduce maternal mortality and improve the care for pregnant and post-partum women, as well as their newborns who are affected by opioids. And what this project really does is pulls together teams, that creates learning sessions, they work together to launch different quality improvement projects. They are able to access coaching and resources to specifically improve the programs that they have in place.

And it's, again, specifically around maternal mortality, around the health and safety of both mother and baby.

So during the grant period that we issued, we were able to have a collaborative of 60 different birth sites. And that 60 different sites represented 86 percent of the live births in Pennsylvania. It included 14 commercial and Medicaid health plans involved in that collaboration. There were over 200 people that attended various learning sessions. There were over 100 different quality improvement projects implemented. And then there was also a specific pilot that was created to provide immediate long-term contraception to women post-birth.

So at this point, we've dedicated about \$1.9 million to that project and are looking to dedicate an additional \$700,000.

Ellen, is there anything you want to add?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: If I could. Thank you for the question. This really is an area that is so critical for the Commonwealth. One of the things that I think has made this project so much different than the

other kinds of work that we sometimes do is rather than just focusing on how we impact an individual woman -- certainly still important -- and her child -- certainly still important -- is we've looked at this from the opportunity to really change some of what's happening at a systemic level. So we're looking at the opportunities to have healthcare providers intimately involved in looking at their data and wanting to make a difference in terms of what that looks like.

Intimately involved in having the payers looking at their data and wanting to make sure that when they're looking at their numbers, they're realizing places that they may be able to make some differences. And I think it's that focus that really gives us the opportunity to think about a real systemic change, where certain populations don't fall through the cracks, where we can really focus everyone in terms of working towards a common goal of having much better outcomes for our pregnant women, much better outcomes after birth, and then really begin to look at how we can support, particularly, those infants born with substance abuse or who were a

product of a pregnancy that involved substance use and thinking about how we can give them the best treatment from day one. And sometimes for a very long time to get to a very good place in terms of both their social, emotional, and physical well-being. So it really is a project that we are very excited about and are looking to really expand that as we see these numbers around all kind of maternal outcomes, but really most of it related to opioid use and alcohol use during pregnancy.

REPRESENTATIVE CEPHAS: Well, thank you very much for your response and your efforts in this area. I mean, as I stated before, our numbers were already going into the wrong direction, so when you add on COVID-19 with increased isolation, increased reliance on tele-medicine, as well as at-home births, it just adds an additional layer and it's extremely nerve-wracking to just see where our data will go post COVID-19, so please just keep up your efforts. And anything that we can do as a General Assembly, please let us know.

Thank you, Chairman.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: You're

welcome.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just Madam Secretary, if you would keep your answers a little shorter if you can since you have very limited time and we're trying to fit everybody in.

SECRETARY SMITH: Yes, sir.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: I do appreciate sometimes they do need a long explanation, sometimes.

But next is Representative Greg Rothman.

REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: Madam Secretary, thank you for being here. And thank you for the great work you and your Department have done. During the pandemic and lockdown, drug and alcohol abuse have spiked while, at least according to your report, opiate deaths are I wanted to just talk to you in general down. about how your Department met the needs during the pandemic. And if you have any thoughts about tele-medicine and virtual access to addiction services, including opiates addiction medications during the lockdown, and if you believe there's any evidence of the effectiveness of in-person treatment versus tele-medicine treatment.

SECRETARY SMITH: Well, you packed a lot

in there right after the Chairman asked me to keep it brief. I'll do my best to keep it brief. And if you need some more information, I'd be happy to follow up with you after the hearing. So in terms of adaptations that we made during COVID, we were very fortunate that the federal government stepped in very quickly and made some changes on their end to allow for increased access, specifically to medication delivered through our opioid treatment programs. Some people known them as methadone clinics.

These are the places where folks often will go daily to receive medication dosages. If they don't go daily, sometimes they get an allotment of take-home medications. But the largest amount of take-home medication that they were permitted pre-COVID was 14 days. So at a minimum, these folks were coming back at least every two weeks to receive their medication and other services.

So the federal government very quickly relaxed and allowed for the expansion of those take-homes from 14 days to a maximum of 28 days. They also allowed for individuals who previously had been considered less stable in their

definition to now receive take-home medication.

So right after they made those changes, within days, we suspended our State level regulations to enable providers to take advantage of those expansions.

They also allowed for the waiver of face-to-face assessment requirements. And so that allowed some of our providers to utilize tele-health services to do assessments.

REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: Would your

Department be able to send us, or send our

Committee what your opinions are as far as the

effectiveness of in-person versus virtual?

Because I know our time is limited.

SECRETARY SMITH: Sure.

REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: And I did have another question for you. The Wolf Fetterman Administration has made the legalization of recreational marijuana a budget priority. I'm curious of your professional opinion on whether we as a General Assembly and the Commonwealth should legalize marijuana for recreational use.

SECRETARY SMITH: Great question. And probably I'm one of the most -- have the most difficult time answering that one. So I will

answer it this way. I whole-heartedly believe that we need to move towards decriminalizing I whole-heartedly believe in expunging offenses. records of individuals who have those charges on their record. I think if the General Assembly is interested in pursuing the legalization of recreational marijuana, my request is that we really ensure there's preventative measures include in that legislation to allow for expanded prevention efforts, specifically around marijuana. I also would request that we follow the lead that some other states like Colorado have taken in terms of requiring monitoring of the program and specifically statistics related to increased usage, how it affects motor-vehicle accidents, how it affects suicide rates, all those types of things --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: Do you -SECRETARY SMITH: -- because I think
it's important to watch those.

REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: I read that the CDC says that 1 in 10 marijuana users become addicted; and for people who begin using it at younger than age 18, it actual becomes one in 6. Do you believe that -- and again, it's your

1 professional opinion, do you believe that people 2 who start using marijuana under the age of 18 are more likely to become addicted to -- I mean, is 3 4 it -- I think the term is gateway drug. Do you believe it's a gateway drug? 5 SECRETARY SMITH: I don't know that I 6 7 can say for sure it's a gateway drug. What I can 8 say is the data shows us that many individuals 9 who come into our treatment system as an adult 10 report having used marijuana as some point in 11 their life. In some cases, it's currently. We 12 see a large number of treatment admissions where 13 they're reporting use of multiple substances. 14 One of those substances is marijuana. 15 REPRESENTATIVE ROTHMAN: Thank you so 16 much for your time. And keep up the good work. 17 SECRETARY SMITH: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Next is Representative Steve 19 Kinsey. 20 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And welcome Madam Secretary. 21 22 Madam Secretary, I'll be very brief. 23 I've been approached by constituents and 24 professionals in the city of Philadelphia and

we've had brief discussions on the PCMAT Program.

25

Can you briefly explain the Pennsylvania Coordinated Medication Assistance Treatment Program? And I'm curious to find out, because in our discussion we were talking about that this is a program that's designed to provide like a holistic approach, deal with the opioid addiction as well as others that are taking place.

Can you just briefly explain that program?

SECRETARY SMITH: Sure. Thanks. Yeah, the Pennsylvania Coordinated Medication Assisted Treatment, PCMAT.

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Yeah.

SECRETARY SMITH: This was a program that was really the brain child of former Health Secretary Dr. Levine and it's often referred to as a hub and spoke model. You'll hear that sometimes in other states. What it really means though is it's a program that's geared to allow physicians to treat their patients who have opioid use disorder within their current practice, who may not specialize in the treatment of substance use disorder.

So those doctors would be considered our spokes. And the hub would be some individual or

group of individuals within that health care network attached to the spokes that does have that -- and is able to provide that constant consultation and advice to the spokes in terms of treating those patients.

So the reason we established the model was because we were hearing that there was hesitancy by some doctors who didn't specialize in the treatment of substance use disorder.

There was a hesitancy for them to prescribe medication to their patients, patients that they already had on their caseload who had a diagnosis of substance abuse. They just didn't feel comfortable doing that. And so this hub and spoke model allows them constant access to experts and to resources that they otherwise wouldn't have had.

So it's really expanding the reach of medication-assisted treatment across the State of Pennsylvania. And if you're looking for additional information, we'd be happy to send you a little write-up about the program and maybe some statistics --

REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Okay.

SECRETARY SMITH: -- about what's

1 happening and where it is. 2 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Sure. I would appreciate that. And also, just very quickly, is 3 4 this program funded by federal dollars or a combination of State and federal dollars? 5 SECRETARY SMITH: It's funded through 6 7 federal dollars through the grants that we are 8 receiving from the federal government. 9 REPRESENTATIVE KINSEY: Thank you very 10 much, Madam Secretary. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 13 Representative Struzzi. 14 REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: Thank you, 15 Mr. Chairman. And good afternoon, Madam 16 Secretary. 17 SECRETARY SMITH: Hello 18 REPRESENTATIVE STRUZZI: I'd like to 19 talk a little bit about opioid deaths. Looking 20 at the numbers from 2020 -- and I believe we had 21 this discussion in Committee, that we really 22 expected overdose deaths to increase with the 23 amount of stress that's on people, being stuck at 24 home, depression and mental health and things

like that. But looking at the numbers, it

25

actually went down from 2019 to 2020. It went from 4,458 in 2019 to 3,954 in 2020, which is basically a reduction of 504 deaths or 11.3 percent.

And I can tell you that we have seen similar numbers in Indiana County, sort of staying level with 2019 into 2020. But I think the real concern, when you start to look at these numbers and you start to ask questions, which I did when I saw the Indiana County numbers, while deaths have remained somewhat consistent or declined, overdoses actually doubled. And to me, that's the real concern.

And the reason I asked this question of our single-county authority folks, the Armstrong Indiana Drug and Alcohol Task Force, I said why, if the overdose -- overdoses doubled, why did the deaths stay consistent? And the reason is Narcan. So that to me is a big concern because if we didn't have medical personnel available with Narcan or whatever the facility was that they were in, we would have seen those deaths double in 2020.

Now, my question is, are you seeing similar numbers, statistics, concerns around the

State? And what are your plans to address this?

And how are you working with the single-county
authorities and emergency responders to be
prepared?

SECRETARY SMITH: Yeah, great question.

And I hate to be the bearer of bad news, but I do believe the number that you have right now for 2020 is definitely not the final number. We absolutely expect to see the final death counts for 2020 up much closer to where we were at the peek of the crisis in 2017, when we had about 5400 deaths.

So the reason that the number right now is low is because those are only the confirmed deaths. And there's quite a bit of lag time, and of course COVID has contributed tremendously to increasing that lag time, for medical examiners and coroners, in order to get toxicology reports back, to finalize all their paperwork, and to enter it into the system. So sometimes it takes six, maybe even nine months after the actual death has occurred for them to report that to us.

So while we're seeing numbers now that look pretty close to 2019, the numbers are only going to continue to climb, and we really believe

there's still a significant amount of reporting to be done for the last quarter of calendar year 2022 -- or 2020, excuse me. So I think we are going to see that number rise, unfortunately.

We have though -- you are correct in that we have seen overdoses, that don't resolve in death, but overdoses in general, we have seen those rise. I do believe that the permeation of Naloxone into communities is absolutely a critical component leading to that. I think we have to continue our focus there. In fact, we're dedicating another \$9 million and providing Naloxone and a whole host of different and innovative ways in partnership with the Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency.

If you're interested in that strategy, we'd be happy to send you what that's going to look like in terms of different pockets within communities that we're targeting. But that's one really important piece. The other important piece is that we continued to market our hotline really heavily during the pandemic. And our SCAs were absolutely on the top of their game in terms of getting folks connected directly to those treatment resources and working to keep them

engaged.

So we're going to continue that work.

I'm hopeful that in 2021, we can see those
numbers turn the other direction again and head
downward, but we definitely have a lot of work to
do. And naloxone is a key component there.

REPRESENTATIVE Struzzi: Well, I appreciate that. And I appreciate your work and your attention to this. Unfortunately, I believe the numbers are going to continue to increase in 2021. And unfortunately, we've seen that already, I think, in Indiana County. So continue to do the due diligence and make sure that these providers have the tools they need to save people's lives and do everything we can to be preventative.

So thank you for your time.

SECRETARY SMITH: Thank you.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Next is Representative Meghan Schroeder.

 $\label{eq:REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Thank you,} \\ Chairperson.$ 

Good afternoon, Secretary Smith. Thanks for being here.

SECRETARY SMITH: Hello.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Hello.

My questioning is more about Act 59 of 2017, which requires your Department to regulate drug and alcohol recovery houses that receive public funds. The licensing program was supposed to begin June 2020. At your Department's budget hearing last year, you indicated that you expected to send the regulations to IRRC by the end of January 2020.

Why did that not occur? And there may be some merit to the delays related to the current pandemic, but Act 59 passed in December of 2017. So the Department had more than 2 1/2 years to implement the program.

What caused the delay?

SECRETARY SMITH: Yeah, I appreciate the frustration I hear in your voice. And I think we as a Department have experienced some of that frustration, too. So certainly COVID contributed a little bit towards the end of the process. But early in the process, the reason that it took longer than expected was although we were not required to go through a public comment period for these regulations, we were permitted to jump right to IRC.

We opted not to do that because there was such an interest from the stakeholder community about their ability to meet these requirements. There had been a number of them involved in stakeholder planning years prior to the passage of these -- of the legislation, and so there was just a lot of interest and concern and we felt it was really most appropriate to give them the opportunity to look at our draft regulations. So we did opt to put them out for public comment.

We received a lot of comments as a result of that. And so it did take us quite a bit of time to get through those, to make some alterations, to determine whether or not we could make some alterations based on their feedback. And then, as I said, COVID absolutely contributed a bit to the delay. I am happy to report, though, that this week we are working to schedule with the Oversight Chairs in the House and the Senate, delivery of those final regulations for review. So we expect that to happen next week.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: And I can appreciate that. And the question really comes because page 8 of your testimony states that now

that the designated legislative standing committees have been published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin, we will soon be reaching out to scheduled delivery of the final amended regulations.

SECRETARY SMITH: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: So are you saying that the delay of the regulations was due to not knowing which standing was responsible for oversight of DDAP?

SECRETARY SMITH: It was a few days worth of delay where we were ready to submit, but because there wasn't -- the committees weren't formally published on the website, we didn't have the opportunity to reach out to the Oversight Chairs because we didn't know who they were.

SECRETARY SMITH: But that was a very small contributing factor. Yeah.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Okay. Well, you know, standing committee designations for the prior legislative sessions were published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin on February 9th of 2019.

The Human Services Committee was responsible for DDAP then as it is now, right?

SECRETARY SMITH: Yes.

number?

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Okay. Just wanted to make sure. And because I have some more time, I just have one quick question about something you said last year.

Between 40 and 60 recovery houses receive public funds; is that still an accurate

SECRETARY SMITH: Ellen -- I'm looking to you; is that still correct?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: Yes.

That's still the number of those that receive funding from our single-county authorities. The legislation, of course, will allow us to really increase the numbers through licensure and other funding. And we're continuing to look for other funding opportunities for the single-county authorities.

SECRETARY SMITH: Okay. How many of those would you anticipate would comply with the licensing program?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: They will all need to comply with the licensing program if they want to continue to receive the public funding.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Okay. So

1 once the regulations are effective, what is the 2 timeline for licensing recovery houses? DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: As soon as 3 4 as soon as we finish the publication of the 5 regulations, we will begin to accept applications for licensing. The legislation provided a fee 6 7 for that, so that will need to be paid by those 8 providers, as well. We already have staff on 9 board and partially trained to begin to 10 immediately look at doing the on-site inspections 11 and other things that would be required to 12 actually obtain the licenses. 13 REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Okay. Do you 14 know what the fee will cost like for the recovery 15 house for certification of license? Was that set 16 yet? SECRETARY SMITH: Yes, it is. 17 18 DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: It was. 19 yeah. 20 SECRETARY SMITH: And I can't remember 21 because we talked about it changing a couple of 22 times. 23 DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: Yes. 24 REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: That's fine. 25 While you look for that, I just -- one more

question.

Are there plans in place to make sure that there is not a gap between when the regulations are effective and when recovery houses can actually become licensed?

So is there going to be any management of that?

DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Okay. Great.

SECRETARY SMITH: Yeah. We've already hired staff. We've already created the system by which they submit their application. And we do believe that the recovery houses that receive dollars now, they all have an intention of applying for and receiving a license.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Okay.

SECRETARY SMITH: In addition to those, there are several hundred more that have indicated interest in also wanting to be licensed. So we believe that we are ready to go as soon as those regulations --

REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Okay. Great.

Did you -- if you don't have the fee information

now -- I see my time is up -- if you could send

that to the Committee. That would be very

1 helpful. 2 SECRETARY SMITH: We will, yes. If I find it while we're still talking, I will shout 3 4 it out, too, maybe if you're still listening in. REPRESENTATIVE SCHROEDER: Thank you so 5 6 much. 7 SECRETARY SMITH: You're welcome. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: 8 Next is 9 Representatives James. 10 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Thank you. 11 Mr. Chairman, Madam Secretary. 12 SECRETARY SMITH: Hello. 13 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: A couple of quick 14 questions, maybe only one. Back home -- this is 15 -- I'm from Venango County out west. Back home, there's discussion -- let's put it that way --16 17 among people who are involved in this line of 18 work that there is a refined CBD oil that becomes 19 a very potential chemical substance comfortable 20 to meth. 21 Is there any truth in this? Do you have 22 any helpful comments about this? 23 SECRETARY SMITH: I can't say that I 24 have heard about that. Ellen or Jenn, have you? 25 DEPUY Secretary Ellen: We have seen

1 some newspaper articles about that, but I don't 2 think we have any independent collaboration or 3 confirmation of that. REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: All right. If I 4 5 hear more --SECRETARY SMITH: We can check into that 6 7 more for you, though. We'll ask some questions 8 of some of our partners. 9 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That would be 10 fine. And if I hear anymore, I will get back to 11 you. 12 SECRETARY SMITH: Thank you. 13 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: Well, then, I do 14 have one more question since that one was so 15 There's also some discussion about the brief. 16 diversion of Suboxone, which is, if I understand 17 it correctly -- oh, I actually wrote this down. 18 It's a mixed opioid agonist/antagonist drug --19 DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: 20 REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: -- designed to 21 lessen the effects of opioid substance 22 dependency. How's that? Is that true? Is there 23 a problem with that diversion of Suboxone in 24 areas of Pennsylvania? SECRETARY SMITH: So for those not 25

familiar, Suboxone is one of three FDA-approved medications to treat opioid use disorder. And so for many, many years, there was a lot of concern on the part of law enforcement and some community members about the potential diversion of that medication, which is often either in pill form, sometimes in the form of like film that dissolves on the tongue. And I think there was a lot of credibility to those concerns and issues several years ago.

I'm not sure that the concern is nearly as great today. And I'll tell you why that is. Pennsylvania, unfortunately, is one of the places in the nation where you can find some of the cheapest and most pure heroin on the street. And because of that, the diversion of medications like Suboxone, which doesn't nearly have the same effect for individuals who are looking to receive a high, really there's not enough of a cost difference to just folks wanting to look for that diverted medication when they can, in fact, but heroin on the street for the same price or cheaper.

And so I think it has not become as much of an issue. I also think that it's important

for us to remember that it is a medication to treat opioid use disorder. And so from the harm reduction standpoint, I don't want to hear that the potential risk and concern about diversion is standing in the way of us being able to get that medication out to as many people as possible who really need it.

So you know, it's definitely a balance of making sure that we're not getting it into the wrong hands. We don't want people taking it who it hasn't been prescribed for, just like any other medication, but I think given the crises and given the fact that we know that deaths are continuing to rise again, we really need to focus our attention on making sure that these medications are available as widely as they possibly can be. We can do what we can to mitigate the risks, but I don't want those concerns to stand in the way of individuals accessing their medication.

REPRESENTATIVE JAMES: That makes sense, Madam Secretary.

Mr. Chairman, that concludes my questioning.

MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Madam

1 Secretary, I thank you for your testimony today and coming before the Committee and answering the 2 questions. We'll let you get on your way to your 3 next meeting. That's all the questions that 4 members have indicated they have. 5 So again, thank you for the great job 6 7 you're doing over there. 8 SECRETARY SMITH: Thank you, Chairman. 9 And I'll quickly add, the recovery house 10 application fee is \$250. 11 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Very good. 12 The Representative says thank you. 13 With that, we will adjourn and we will 14 reconvene tomorrow morning at 10:00 a.m. when we 15 will have the Department of Health here. 16 Thank you. 17 SECRETARY SMITH: Thanks so much. 18 DEPUTY SECRETARY DIDOMENICO: Thank you. 19 MAJORITY CHAIRMAN SAYLOR: Madam 20 Secretary, if you're still there, I do want to 21 thank you for being so generous with your time 22 from the point of we've rescheduled you and being 23 here today. I apologize for messing up your 24 schedule.

(Whereupon, the hearing concluded.)

## CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me from audio of the within proceedings and that this is a correct transcript of the same. Tracy L. Powell Tracy . Powell Court Reporter